



Heriot-Watt University
Research Gateway

'I'd never cook it now': an exploration of intergenerational transference and its role in facilitating family food sustainability

Citation for published version:

Carrigan, M, Wells, V & Athwal, N 2023, 'I'd never cook it now': an exploration of intergenerational transference and its role in facilitating family food sustainability', *European Journal of Marketing*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 1352-1379. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-10-2021-0804>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1108/EJM-10-2021-0804](https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-10-2021-0804)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Heriot-Watt Research Portal](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

European Journal of Marketing

Publisher Rights Statement:

This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Heriot-Watt Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

Heriot-Watt University has made every reasonable effort to ensure that the content in Heriot-Watt Research Portal complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact open.access@hw.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



**'I'D NEVER COOK IT NOW':
AN EXPLORATION OF INTERGENERATIONAL TRANSFERENCE
AND ITS ROLE IN FACILITATING FAMILY FOOD
SUSTAINABILITY**

Journal:	<i>European Journal of Marketing</i>
Manuscript ID	EJM-10-2021-0804.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Intergenerational transference, Sustainability, Food, Continuity, Discontinuity

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

**'I'D NEVER COOK IT NOW':
AN EXPLORATION OF INTERGENERATIONAL TRANSFERENCE AND ITS
ROLE IN FACILITATING FAMILY FOOD SUSTAINABILITY**

European Journal of Marketing

Structured Abstract

Purpose: To develop a deeper understanding of what (un)sustainable food behaviours and values are transmitted across generations, to what extent this transference happens and the sustainability challenges resulting from this for individuals and households.

Design/methodology/approach: 25 semi-structured in-depth interviews are analysed regarding the value of inherited food, family food rituals, habits and traditions, aspects of food production and understanding of sustainability.

Findings: Intergenerational transfereces are significant in shaping (un)sustainable consumption throughout life, and those passed-on behaviours and values offer opportunities for lifelong sustainable change and food consumption reappraisal in daily life, beyond early years parenting, and across diverse households.

Originality: Our findings provide greater understanding about the mechanisms responsible for the sustainable transformation of consumption habits suggesting intergenerational transfereces are significant in shaping (un)sustainable food consumption throughout life.

The study shows secondary socialisation can play a critical role in the modification of early behaviour patterns of food socialisation. We found individuals replicate food behaviours and values from childhood, but through a process of lifelong learning can break formative habits, particularly with reverse socialisation influences that prioritise sustainable behaviours.

Limitations and Future Research

Participants were limited to British families, although the sample drew on multiple ethnic heritages. Future research could study collectivist versus more individualistic cultural influence; explore intergenerational transference of other diverse households such as multigeneration or in rural and urban locations, or whether sustainable crossover derived from familial socialization continues into behaviours and values beyond food.

Key words: intergenerational transference, sustainability, food, continuity, discontinuity

Paper type: Research paper

Introduction

There is significant interest and growing concern about the sustainability of how we produce and consume food. Marketers have long demonstrated an interest in understanding food consumption (Wilkie and Moore, 2003), and sourcing, cooking, and eating food is our most fundamental consumer behaviour (Voola et al., 2018). The energy in growing, processing, packaging, and transporting food accounts for approximately one quarter of global greenhouse emissions (Millward-Hopkins et al., 2020). What we should or should not eat has become one of the contemporary world's most controversial and contradictory issues (Warde and Yates, 2017; Barilla, 2021). Families are critical sites of food consumption (Kharuhayothin and Kerrane, 2018), but we are yet to fully understand the role of food socialisation across generations (Hartmann et al., 2014). This paper develops a deeper understanding of what (un)sustainable food behaviours and values are transmitted across generations, to what extent this transference happens and the sustainability challenges resulting from this for individuals and households.

Food matters to everyone as it is central to our lives and the sustainability of what we eat is shaped by our global and local food systems (Kemper and Ballantine, 2017). Consumer decisions about what to eat involve many factors, including taste and health (Coulthard et al., 2021), early years socialization (Kharuhayothin and Kerrane, 2018) and contextual cues (Stawartz et al., 2020) which guide what we consider 'eating well.' The sustainable food agenda stretches across areas that include improved 'field to fork' quality, low carbon and plant-based diets (Kemper and Ballantine, 2017), seasonality and local sourcing, Fairtrade, and animal welfare (Alonso, et al., 2020). Debates about food labelling (Brown et al., 2020), food justice and food poverty (Fleetwood, 2020), alternative food networks (Kessari et al., 2020), food tourism (Carrigan et al., 2017), slow food (Chaudhury and Albinsson, 2015) and food waste (Evans, 2014), increasingly inform policy and practice on the sustainability of food consumption. Alongside this, household food behaviours – how we 'do' food - are gaining scholarly attention from a sustainability perspective examining activities such as frugality (Stancu and Lähteenmäki, 2022), Soderman and Carter, 2008), thrift (Holmes, 2018), use of food leftovers (Talwar et al., 2021; Cappellini and Parsons, 2013), 'grow your own' (Wong, 2020) and food waste (Principato et al., 2021; Koivupuro et al., 2012; Evans, 2014).

Across the range of scholarly work, food socialisation has been primarily investigated in the context of early years (under 12's) socialisation and children (Kharuhayothin and Kerrane,

2018; Judd et al., 2014). Yet consumer socialisation is a dynamic process that continues throughout life (Ekström, 2006), noted in studies examining secondary or reverse socialisation linked to embracing pro-environmental behaviour (Singh et al., 2020; O'Neill and Buckley, 2019), technology such as smartphone usage (Marchant and O'Donohoe, 2014) or health awareness around breast cancer (Vel et al., 2016), but less extensively explored in the context of lifelong food socialisation (Hartmann et al., 2014). The capacity for intergenerational transference of (un)sustainable food choices is also less well studied (Evans, 2019), both in the context of primary (mainly in childhood) and secondary (mainly in adulthood) socialisation (Watne et al., 2011).

This study draws together three areas of research: intergenerational transference and consumer socialisation; familial food behaviours and values and (un)sustainable consumer behaviours and values. Examining sustainability through the lens of intergenerational transference and influence in the context of familial food behaviours, our paper responds to calls to advance the theorisation of sustainable consumption in consumer behaviour (Singh et al., 2022; Luchs and Kumar, 2017). The paper contributes to marketing scholarship that seeks to play a greater role in addressing the “grand challenge of our time: sustainability” (Davies et al., 2020, p.2929). The study contributes to a deeper understanding of intergenerational transference and influence, going beyond early years food socialisation to investigate lifelong food socialisation. Drawing conclusions regarding aspects of intergenerational transfereces that are significant for (un)sustainable consumption allows for a critical evaluation of whether the passing on or letting go of behaviours and values offer opportunities for lifelong sustainable change and food consumption reappraisal in daily life. Greater scholarly understanding of (un)sustainable family food behaviours also has the potential to “promote better dietary habits and patterns of consumption” (Davis et al. 2018, p. 2270), supporting future policy and practitioner initiatives around sustainability and wellbeing.

The paper is organised as follows. First, the literature on intergenerational transference, consumer socialisation, family food and (un)sustainable food behaviours is reviewed, followed by the methodology. The findings are presented, next, the discussion, and finally limitations and opportunities for further research.

Literature Review

Intergenerational Transference and Family Food

1
2
3 Researchers have signalled the role and importance of household food in shaping families and
4 individual identity and agency (Carrigan, 2018; Kharuhayothin and Kerrane, 2018; Valentine,
5 1999). Scholars have long discussed the nature of consumer transference across generations
6 and within families, describing the family as the critical consumer-socialisation agent,
7 particularly in early years (Caruana and Vassallo, 2003; Ward, 1974). Researchers differentiate
8 the socialisation process between primary socialisation and secondary socialisation (Watne et
9 al., 2011). Much consumer socialisation research explores how children learn to be consumers
10 in the marketplace (see Lueg and Finney's, 2007 study of offline/online shopping channels or
11 Chan's, 2006 study of textbook learning), yet consumer socialisation is acknowledged to be a
12 lifelong process (Moschis, 2019; Ekström, 2006). Later life learning is considered to differ
13 from primary socialisation that relates to initial patterns of behaviour: secondary socialisation
14 is associated with adjustment of early behaviour patterns (Berger and Luckmann, 1967).
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Family socialization may be intentional, when the family teaches norms, values, and culture,
27 such as dietary health (Hughner and Maher, 2006), or unintentional, when socialisation occurs
28 due to unstructured actions and interactions, such as eating together (Cappellini, and Parsons,
29 2012). Significant attention has been given to the transference of familial food traditions (i.e.,
30 routines or conventions) and behaviours and the preservation of traditions and identities. These
31 behaviours may include preparing homemade food, sharing recipes, loved cookery books or
32 food secrets and skills. The transference of these traditions marks intergenerational caregiving,
33 such as the food sacrifices made by women for other household members (Cappellini and
34 Parsons, 2012), with the potential of parents' food socialisation lessons to shape children's
35 lifelong food consumption, both positively and negatively (Kharuhayothin and Kerrane, 2018).
36 In a broader sense, some familial behaviours and values remain unchanged as they are passed
37 on, providing a sense of continuity, thus acting as a tangible connection with the past and a
38 linking thread to future generations (Scabini and Marta, 2006). In other cases, tried and tested
39 formulas are adapted and tweaked to lesser or greater extents demonstrating discontinuity,
40 often due to broader socioeconomic pressures or evolving values over time (Carrigan et al.,
41 2006).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 Early work sought to unpack mechanisms for intergenerational transference (Goodnow, 1997).
56 More recent work has observed that parental habits cue certain consumption patterns such as
57 addiction, where the transference of drinking styles from parent to offspring may be explained
58 by observation of parental drinking habits (Campbell and Oei, 2010). Children tend to form
59
60

1
2
3 their financial habits by observing how parents discuss and make financial decisions, including
4 disordered behaviours such as gambling and debt (Britt, 2016). More recently Gong et al.,
5 (2021) applied ecological socialization theory to demonstrate how Chinese parents' green
6 consumption values shape similar values in their children, while the environmental reverse
7 socialization of parents has been shown to be positively affected by the environmental concerns
8 of their teenagers (Singh et al., 2020). The transference of food behaviours and values
9 specifically within families is widely acknowledged (Davis et al., 2018). Kharuhayothin and
10 Kerrane's (2018) study of parental food socialisation explored thirty primary food preparers of
11 children aged under 12, a stage where parents exert greatest influence (Ward, 1974).
12 Investigating whether parents draw upon what they learned as children from their parents
13 regarding food socialisation, they uncovered intergenerational reflexivity played a crucial role
14 in shaping children's food socialisation processes but did not specifically explore how
15 transference takes place. As with other forms of intergenerational transference, food
16 behaviours and values can inform family identity (DeVault, 1994); while food gatekeepers in
17 the home strongly influence children's healthy food choices (Wijayarathne et al., 2018), family
18 food conflicts can impact eating disorders (Fernández-Aranda et al., 2007). Familial food
19 behaviours are far more dynamic and transformative than previously regarded (O'Connell and
20 Brannen, 2016). Meals and mealtimes are central to constructing family meanings and caring
21 (Carrigan et al., 2006). Biological and behavioural processes during childhood, and memories
22 of food production, sharing and consumption, can mould food practices later in life
23 (Kharuhayothin and Kerrane, 2018) and create legacies around food such as power hegemony
24 between men and women (Voola et al., 2018). For example, Kharuhayothin and Kerrane (2018)
25 found 'revisionists' radically departed from previous family food behaviours, while
26 'traditionalists' mimicked their parents' food. More recently Makhal et al. (2020) found that
27 parents' food choices and behaviours facilitate the acceptance of repurposing food waste in
28 children aged 5-11 years.

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Consumer socialization within households may stem from maternal influences, or others such
as fathers, husbands (Davis et al., 2018b; Kharuhayothin and Kerrane, 2018; Valentine, 1999)
and grandparents (Jingxiong et al., 2007). Children are recognised changemakers within
families during reverse socialisation (Ekström, 2007), with siblings also acting as agents of
consumer socialisation in the dynamics of the family network (Kerrane et al., 2015). Children
within the home have been shown to reverse socialize parents to adopt more sustainable
lifestyles in areas such as food waste (Lazell, 2016) and energy and water conservation (O'Neill

1
2
3 and Buckley, 2019; Grønhøj and Thøgersen, 2011). Children's participation in food decisions
4 extends to the manipulation of familial tastes for Fairtrade and organic food (Ritch and
5 Brownlie, 2016), and raises tensions when the children's desires conflict with their parents
6 under constrained circumstances (Hamilton and Catterall, 2010).
7
8
9

10
11 Family, whether immediate or extended, plays a central role in understanding how a society
12 inherits tangible and intangible legacies of the past, considers the present, and moves into the
13 future (Scabini and Marta, 2006). While some early generational theorists predict that culture
14 will evolve with each subsequent generation as they assert their own identity and emphasise
15 difference (Mannheim, 1952), others suggest that there remains shared and valued culture
16 between generations (Bengston and Kuypers, 1971). Scabini and Marta (2006) also note that
17 while generation theory predicts cultural transformation, there is less emphasis on explaining
18 that process of continuity and change within society. Transference is dynamic with behaviours
19 and traditions mutating as they pass through generations (Southerton et al., 2011) and though
20 family members may "reproduce, imagine and memorialise" family ties through time (Holmes,
21 2018, p. 1), those bonds may also loosen. Voola et al., (2018, p.2424) note how families have
22 been critical sites through history and "conduits of food consumption values and practices"
23 including "family-centred consumer socialisation processes" (Lindridge and Hogg, 2006).
24 They further note that changes to family and food consumption in contemporary settings have
25 accelerated, increasingly globalised and marketized and call for research to develop new
26 understanding of this topic. While many previous intergenerational food consumption studies
27 focus upon family eating and parental influences during primary food socialisation within the
28 home, less attention has been paid to secondary or lifelong socialisation (Warde, 1974; Ekström
29 2006). This paper seeks to address those scholarly gaps in the context of familial food
30 transference.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Further, family contexts such as households with older children, empty nests or families
49 without children and single householders feature less in extant research. Thus, our study
50 contributes to better understanding of intergenerational transference among families from a
51 greater diversity of household units. Extant work on intergenerational transference arguably
52 focuses on what is transmitted, while often neglecting how and why values and traditions are
53 transmitted (Scabini and Marta, 2006). By studying the modalities of transference in family
54 food behaviour as well as the contents of transference, our study contributes to a better
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 understanding of continuity and change in intergenerational food transference, providing
4 valuable insight for marketers.
5
6
7

8 *(Un)Sustainable Food Behaviours* 9

10 Evidence shows that how consumers choose to shop and eat has put significant stress on climate
11 change and exacerbated ecological devastation across the world, leading consumer researchers
12 to escalate the study of sustainability (Davies et al., 2020). The negative environmental impact
13 of contemporary food behaviours and values has led to wide ranging work on sustainable food
14 production and consumption. Verain et al. (2015) refer to two broad behavior strategies: the
15 first concerns opting for organic, free range or Fairtrade products, or alternative proteins and
16 locally produced foods (Ritch and Brownlie, 2016). The second involves sustainable dietary
17 patterns such as consumption curtailment within product categories, choices that are predicated
18 on the sustainability of choosing or avoiding certain foods or prioritising specific food
19 behaviours over others, for example pursuing veganism or reducing the consumption of red
20 meat (Malek et al., 2019). Food waste has also gained attention whether through the
21 transformation of food leftovers or waste management strategies (Graham-Rowe et al., 2019;
22 Evans, 2014; Cappellini and Parsons, 2012;). Additionally, the ‘slow food movement’
23 highlights sustainability by advocating good food, gastronomic pleasure, and a slow pace of
24 life (Slow Food, 2018).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36
37 Within sustainable consumer research, food studies have long identified the inconsistencies of
38 everyday consumption by intentionally sustainable consumers as they navigate their individual
39 concerns and competencies (Carrigan, 2018; Szmigin et al., 2009). For example, Torma et al.,
40 (2016) note how consumers make ‘coping’ choices to simplify and combine their options to
41 manage the numerous conflicts faced in sustainable food shopping. Sometimes these coping
42 strategies mean consumers behave less environmentally consciously than they desire. Often
43 neither intentional or unintentional, behaviours merely evidence the ambivalence felt when
44 faced with the complex balance of personal values and everyday life, underlining the “plurality
45 and diversity” of consumer circumstances, however much they might seek to exhibit a
46 sustainable persona (Szmigin et al., 2009, p. 229). Newholm (2005) suggested that consumers
47 are often entrenched in complex, conflicting, and sometimes morally irresolvable
48 circumstances, and this constrains what it is possible to attain. Sustainable food behaviours
49 have been linked to the development of a responsible consumer identity (Cho and Krasser,
50 2011; Hansen et al., 2018) and, as noted earlier, food choices and behaviours are linked to
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 family identities (Epp and Price, 2008; 2018). We expect, like other intergenerational
4 transference, that just as (un)sustainable food behaviours will be transferred, they may also be
5 discarded and adapted to reflect the identity development of younger generations, potentially
6 influenced by developments within a complex and challenging political and sustainable food
7 landscape (Paddock, 2017).
8
9

10
11
12
13 This paper examines the convergence of three areas of research: 1- intergenerational
14 transference; 2- family food behaviours and values, and 3- (un)sustainable behaviours and
15 values. This builds on the lack of research in transference of familial (un)sustainable food
16 behaviours, leading us to ask *what (un)sustainable food behaviours and values are shared or*
17 *discarded across generations (and why)?* In response to calls to study transference modalities
18 (how it is transmitted/transformed) as well as content of transference (what is transmitted)
19 (Scabini and Marta, 2006) we ask *to what extent (un)sustainable food behaviours and values*
20 *are transformed?* Additionally, we explore the transference of familial sustainable food
21 behaviours from production to disposal probing *what sustainability challenges do*
22 *contemporary generations face in everyday household food behaviours?* In doing so, this paper
23 represents a holistic examination of household food behaviors and values, and its global
24 sustainability impact.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 35 36 **Method**

37 We recruited participants who varied in age from 26 to 74 years. Participants also ranged in
38 nationality, ethnic background, social class, life stage, and family circumstances (some with
39 children/no children/empty-nest/impending parenthood; some living alone/living with partner)
40 to allow us to understand a wide range of perspectives on the issue of intergenerational
41 transference. Aligned to the aims of the study we adopted an interpretivist perspective to allow
42 for the exploration of subjective meanings and understandings (Patton, 2015), on the
43 transference of familial (un)sustainable behaviours. An exploratory approach was employed
44 during the data collection which consisted of semi-structured depth interviews, a method
45 previously recognised as helpful when discussing 'passing on' behaviours (Holmes, 2018), and
46 that enables participants to convey meaning in their own terms (Belk et al., 1991). A flexible
47 interview guide encouraged participants to talk about things that had been passed on to them
48 (see Table I), tangible and intangible, precious and mundane, and their thoughts about
49 sustainability. The wider study was focused on any element of intergenerational transference
50 but early in the interviewing process it emerged that participants in their stories often linked
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 inheritance with family food decisions and influences, drawing on inherited food items, values,
4 and traditions (often mundane items like cook books and kitchen utensils). To fully explore the
5 topic in further interviews, the interview guide was tweaked to ensure we probed those things
6 more deeply if mentioned (Strauss and Corbin, 1990), and to explore the context and the ‘how’
7 of the passing on that took place.
8
9
10
11

12
13 [Insert Table I: Semi-structured interview guide]
14
15

16 To help recruit the required range of participants, a mixed and emergent sampling strategy was
17 used, incorporating both purposive and snowball approaches. Initial calls for participants were
18 posted using easily accessible community and workplace Whatsapp groups in rural and urban
19 areas within England (North East; North West; Midlands) where the authors were situated and
20 had networks. The invitation sought participants that were willing to take part in a study and
21 might have some interesting stories to tell about things that have been passed to them, and/or
22 might wish to pass to others. Initial participants were then asked to recommend further people
23 to contact. This snowballing approach generated a diverse, but non-probability sample for the
24 study. The authors regularly updated each other with the participant profiles to ensure that a
25 range of participants were recruited (see Table II) and a range of viewpoints were being heard.
26 Twenty-five interviews were conducted over twelve months, and the researchers jointly shared
27 data collection and analysis.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Table two shows the participant profiles. To maintain research confidentiality and anonymity,
40 pseudonyms were used. Full ethical approval was granted, and informed consent to participate
41 and record data established. Each participant was offered a £10 “Love to Shop” online voucher
42 in recognition of their contribution.
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 [Insert Table II. Participant Profiles]
50

51 The interviews lasted between 45 minutes to 90 minutes, and took place in the participants’
52 homes, workplaces or other mutually agreed locations or online via Skype. The interview data
53 was supplemented by approximately twenty-pages of researcher notes and memos. By the
54 twenty first interview we established little new data or themes were emerging, and by interview
55 twenty-five we concluded that theoretical saturation had been reached.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Transcripts were professionally transcribed verbatim, and the original interviewer checked
4 each transcript against the recording to ensure accuracy. The scripts were stored on a shared,
5 password protected Google Drive. Throughout the data collection and analysis, the researchers
6 regularly met via Skype and in person to compare and discuss progress.
7
8
9

10
11 The first data analysis stage involved identifying overarching themes relating to
12 intergenerational behaviour and consumption for each participant (Braun and Clarke, 2013),
13 before moving to the next transcript. As the analysis progressed, and the authors tacked back
14 and forth between the data and literature (Glaser and Strauss, 1967; Cross and Gilly, 2014),
15 more detailed codes emerged and were organised around the relationship between the
16 overarching themes, and conscious and unconscious behaviours. During this stage we sought
17 to analyse the 'how' of (un)sustainability during intergenerational transference. This analysis
18 stage involved a comparative analysis (Fischer and Otnes, 2006), where the interview
19 transcripts were constantly compared to identify emerging themes. Table III demonstrates the
20 overarching themes and the sub-themes that emerged from the interview data (see Table III).
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 [Insert Table III: Themes]
32
33

34 To reiterate, the research questions guiding the study were:
35

- 36 • What (un)sustainable food behaviours and values are shared or discarded across
37 generations (and why)?
- 38 • To what extent are (un)sustainable food behaviours and values transformed?
- 39 • What sustainability challenges do contemporary generations face in everyday
40 household food behaviours?
41
42
43
44
45

46 Findings

47
48 Scabini and Marta (2006) note that while similarities and differences between intergenerational
49 transference are helpful to understand generational gaps, they say little about what generations
50 exchange and how those exchanges build identity. Further, Goodnow (1997) notes that even
51 when generations agree about the importance they attribute to a particular value, that does not
52 mean they will expend the same commitment in defending, supporting, or carrying out the
53 actions it implies. In our analysis we sought to understand what (un)sustainable behaviours and
54 to what extent sustainability was shared through intergenerational transference, and how
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 committed different generations were to those sustainable actions. We begin by examining
4 what is transmitted in terms of (un)sustainable behaviours and values, then examine how these
5 transferences are continued or discontinued and examine ambivalence in sustainability
6 transference.
7
8
9

10 11 **What is transferred?**

12 To better understand how the intergenerational transference of familial sustainable food
13 behaviours occurs we first explored what, if any, (un)sustainable behaviours and values were
14 passed on across generations. This was revealed to be sometimes reciprocal and bi-directional
15 (Scabini and Marta, 2006). For example, different food buying behaviours and values were
16 noted such as the purchase of free-range products (Vivien, Molly and Neha), or the importance
17 of buying locally (Brian and Emma) or 'growing your own' food (Anthony and Janice) as part
18 of 'proper', 'slow' or carbon reduction food values. Food planning, preferences and dislikes,
19 use of family recipes, attitudes towards food waste, frugality and repurposing meal leftovers
20 were frequently discussed.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 31 **How is it transferred?**

32 *Continuity: Sacred and Mundane*

33 The analysis highlights some (un)sustainable behaviours and values are transferred and shared
34 between generations unchanged. Sometimes those behaviours are deliberate and committed,
35 whereas others appear habitual or intuitive; the findings below point to both mindful and
36 routine continuity.
37
38
39
40
41

42 Many participants deliberately retained food behaviours and values intertwined with nostalgia,
43 with a few recalling experiences of close proximity to food production in childhood, such as
44 Janice recalling how women in her family passed on their love of baking and growing,
45 engendering a commitment to sustainability, values that she seeks to pass to her daughter, Ida
46 and her peers:
47
48
49
50

51 I would say from my mum...the thing I've inherited from her is baking because it was
52 childhood memories of baking cakes with her that's led me to...Growing food that's
53 been, I remember my aunt used to grow vegetables when I was very small and I always
54 remember going to pick vegetables in the garden and be amazed bringing food out the
55 garden, since I've had a garden myself I've been trying to you know grow bits of food
56 and it's really rewarding. And I would like Ida to know where food comes from and to
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 understand you know the importance of growing food...so I've talked about that
4 recently actually with a few of my friends who have got gardens.... And maybe it's the
5 way I've ended up you know I've always known about geography and sustainability
6 and the importance of not damaging the planet and actually growing our own food is
7 actually one of the most significant things you could do, but also just it's really
8 rewarding.
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 These familial bonds and shared values about understanding and respecting food shape Janice,
16 and as Scabini and Marta (2006) note, the interface between these actors, both inside and
17 outside the family, determine the continuation and transference of sustainable food behaviours.
18
19

20
21
22 Conscious continuity takes place due to an active appreciation of the behaviours and the
23 generative process which facilitates the development of identity and enhances family and social
24 histories. Like Janice, other participants fondly reminisced when discussing food behaviours
25 and values. For example, while discussing creative consumption (Kelsey et al., 2018), and
26 avoidance of food waste and frugality (Principato et al., 2021), Mandy acknowledged
27 connections between her father's bargain hunting behaviour and food thriftiness. Her own
28 satisfaction in prudent cooking habits was passed down between generations:
29
30
31
32

33
34 My dad would do the food shopping and always buy in the short date stuff. He
35 was a proper bargain hunter, yellow sticker hunter. We never had any money,
36 so that frugal mindset has been in me since childhood. It was very much like
37 buy the cheaper things but cook them well. If you don't have much money, buy
38 a big bag of lentils, and you just live on dahl like for months. I always take pride
39 in this frugal way of cooking.
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 Neha too explained her mother's passed on thrift underpinned her attitude to 'sell by' dates
47 and food waste:
48

49 No, God no, I think my husband will throw away something that's gone off by one
50 day and I'm like let's smell it and let's see if it's okay. We are not going to die!
51
52

53
54 Both Mandy and Neha are relatively affluent but inherited respect for the value of food remains
55 embedded in the replication of their family's robust attitudes to food waste and prudence.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Recent work has acknowledged the mental health and sustainability benefits of shared food
4 (Warde 2016). Shared eating featured frequently in participant narratives, such as Kiran who
5 spoke of how eating together buoys her mood:
6
7

8 Even to this day, when I go home, we have dinner together at the dinner table.
9
10 Growing up we didn't have a lot, but there would be 25 of us all having dinner
11 together. My mum and Bibi [paternal grandmother] would cook. All of it was
12 on a budget, they'd use the leftovers, and make this big meal for us all. We've
13 always eaten together, it's still our thing. It was one of the most important parts
14 of my day. As soon as I'd sit at the dinner table, eat good food and share ideas,
15 debate maybe argue, it'd lift my mood if I had an absolutely rubbish day.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Communal eating has prevailed down the generations in Kiran's family, embedded in the
23 cultural norms of her extended family. Returning home, she still looks forward to the pleasures
24 of 'good food' and relaxing built around family sharing, which brings wellbeing for the
25 individuals, but also rests on sustainable habits of intergenerational thriftiness and avoiding
26 food waste (Lazell, 2016). This links Kiran, like Mandy, Molly, and Neha, in a shared
27 understanding with older generations about what constitutes eating well (Goodman et al., 2017)
28 and provides a continuity with the past resulting in conscious reproduction and retention of
29 family behaviours and values (Scabini and Marta, 2006).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Continuity can also embrace change and the evolving nature of food behaviours. For example,
39 sustainable food knowledge such as family recipes are passed down, and valued, but pressures
40 of contemporary life may lead to their reimagining using convenience foods or modern
41 appliances because of busy lifestyles (Carrigan and Szmigin, 2006; Wheeler, 2018). Neha
42 demonstrates progressive continuity using passed on techniques for recipe shortcuts that enable
43 her to avoid food waste, use her freezer to short-circuit the time-consuming preparation, and
44 fashion leftovers into creative but traditional meals:
45
46
47
48
49

50 Mum's cooking was always quick she could cook a curry in no time. She's
51 shown me little cheats around preparing curry with what we have in and not
52 wasting food; like freeze this, defrost it. I've naturally become like her... When
53 we get fed up of the curry, then mum taught me to knead the leftovers into
54 chapati flour, it makes really tasty chapatis! It's win-win; healthy, cheap, and
55 tasty and no leftovers!
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Neha's uses her mother's passed on 'cheats' to allow the preparation of 'proper' curry,
4 traditionally important but also laborious, and prevent food waste. Leveraging technology and
5 passed down life hacks enabled intergenerational continuity for traditional foods in her modern
6 lifestyle, while eating healthily and sustainably. For Janice, the replication of her mum's and
7 grandmother's home baking boosts her wellbeing while managing a pressured job, enabled by
8 gadgetry:
9

10
11
12
13 ...when I got my permanent contract in this job I got the Kitchen Aid...I can afford one
14 now... I really did get into baking a lot as a stress [relief] activity. Over the years it's
15 become something I do to calm down and I really do like it I do enjoy it...
16
17
18

19
20 For some participants, the reproduction of past behaviours equates to 'muscle memory' and
21 were not immediately apparent until explored in the interviews. For Mandy, her actions in
22 stretching meals or shopping bargains are a conscious source of pride as she follows in her
23 father's thrifty footsteps. Others more instinctively repeat family habits, such as Molly who
24 highlights the quality of her Celtic gran's food and the pleasure of cooking with her:
25
26
27
28

29 My gran didn't have a lot of money and learnt to be really frugal, but she cooked
30 really good food and she always let me cook with her. She let me make as much
31 mess as I liked. It was always proper food, like soup and stews. One approach
32 to food that has gone right the way through my family is the lack of waste, that's
33 because with soups, you can use any old vegetables
34
35
36
37
38

39 Molly still replicates these patterns of using up 'leftovers' that are rooted in nostalgia and the
40 necessity of her family's past hardship despite her own contemporary affluence. Eating well
41 underpins her replication, rather than being frugal, but these thrifty habits represent good
42 practice in contemporary life, reducing food waste and driving household sustainability
43 (Principato et al., 2021; Koivupuro et al., 2012). Neha considers her food sustainability
44 unremarkable:
45
46
47
48

49 ...you make a curry - tomatoes, onions - I've got the spices and then add the chicken
50 or lentils and there you go there is your curry, it's thrifty but it's just that's our diet ...
51
52
53
54

55 Social or shared eating within families is well documented as stretching beyond food's bodily
56 sustenance to contribute to feelings of solidarity and bonding (Belk, 2010), highlighted by
57 Kiran above. With wellbeing at the forefront of the UN Sustainable Development Goals
58 (specifically SDG 3), the relationship between food and wellbeing is well documented (Ares
59
60

1
2
3 et al, 2014; Warde 2016), and the experiential pleasure of food is influenced by, for example,
4 the socio-cultural, economic, ideological, and symbolic, as is its contribution to family health,
5 food, and drink education, and individual or societal sustainability and wellbeing. More
6 recently commensality is recognised as behaviour that favours sustainability (Medina, 2021),
7 and is being harnessed, within social eating initiatives replicating family meals in communities,
8 as a solution to social isolation and retail food waste (Smith and Harvey, 2021). In the study
9 Emma told how her “*family life has always been centred around the kitchen*” throughout the
10 generations and continues to be in her own and daughters’ homes. The passing on and
11 replication of kitchen food socialising is reflexive and spontaneous, borne out of a passed-on
12 love of commensality in the kitchen. Commensality is often thought as in decline, particularly
13 the family meal, yet it has deep social and cultural significance through time (Jönsson, et al.,
14 2021), unveiling different dimensions of social relations across generations. Like Emma, Derek
15 spoke about the imperative for shared eating emerging from a “mixture of osmosis and taught
16 behaviour” that have culminated in his love of eating around the table:

17
18 ... the main thing that has probably been passed down has been probably around
19 attitudes to food, attitudes to eating and enjoyment of certain things...Just enjoyment
20 of sitting round and eating togetherit was always something that we would sit all
21 down together, and it would be a home cooked meal and we would enjoy eating it ...
22 Because I take time and enjoy cooking enjoy sitting down and taking the time and care
23 to do it both prepare and to eat...

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Emma, and Derek’s instinctive routine of shared eating forms an unconscious bridge between
their present and past selves, much like Kiran’s overt recognition of its role in her life, linking
the generations, creating connections between the self across different life stages, and
generating a sense of clarity, wellbeing, and assurance in their identity.

Ambivalence

Scabini and Marta (2006) describe ambivalence in intergenerational transference occurring
where there is a co-existence between harmony and conflict, which sometimes manifests in
opposing degrees of affinity between individuals across generations. Different coping
strategies deal with these convergences and divergencies, illustrating the complexity of those
relations between generations. Scabini and Marta (2006) suggest individuals have a certain
amount of freedom to deal with the values of past generations; either accept, reject, or transform
that legacy. However, our study reveals that the reality is more ambiguous, with inconsistencies

1
2
3 frequently arising as the participants battle inner conflict, wishing to maintain the rituals of the
4 past but wrestling the reality of incompatible modern lifestyles (Szmigin et al., 2009). Simi
5 revealed how, as a child of immigrants, she has changed the way she prepares food, aligned to
6 her contemporary lifestyle but diverging from her Indian upbringing:
7
8
9

10 Being from India, Mum only ever knew how to cook Indian food, so it was quite
11 limited. Now living more of a western life and that I'm always on the go, it's
12 all about convenience. It isn't always eco-friendly because of the packaging and
13 my kids waste a lot of food. I know it's bad. By cooking Indian and English
14 food, it does make it varied for us. I try my best to cook Indian from scratch,
15 but I don't always have time and I haven't always got all of the ingredients in.
16 Then, they'll just waste it, and I hate that when I've put effort in to cook. At
17 least with ready-made meals, you just put it in the oven and eat it on the day. I
18 know there also lots of food packaging and I'll try to throw it in the recycling
19 bin, if I remember.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Simi displays both acculturation (Jamal, 1998) and assimilation, a preference for adopting the
30 host culture's values and traditions over time, while gradually drifting away from maintaining
31 one's heritage culture (Kizgin et al., 2018). She acknowledges her guilt and internal conflict
32 as she sometimes emancipates herself from her mother's Indian cooking style and its
33 sustainability, instead making pragmatic western fast-food choices that increase packaging
34 waste. However, residual intergenerational influences remain in her aspiration to cook more
35 sustainably 'from scratch' when life allows her.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Negotiation between the past and present with elements of harmony and conflict is
44 characteristic of ambivalence, which may also facilitate social change. Anthony spoke about
45 his long family history of gardening, highlighting conflicts with the nostalgic sustainable
46 values he would like to uphold (growing fruit and vegetables and reducing food miles) and the
47 financial conundrum he faces in the local superstore:
48
49
50

51 We come from a family of market gardeners who used to grow fruit and
52 vegetables for a living. My grandpa was a very keen gardener, my mum and dad
53 were slower to embrace that, but now they are enthusiastic gardeners. I must
54 admit I have just had my garden redone, slightly ashamedly I haven't had it
55 done as a vegetable garden. I don't have enough time; I like the idea of it...but
56 compared to the price of the veg, I mean we got a huge, horrible Asda nearby,
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and you walk in and get carrots for 35p a bag. The effort required to grow your
4 own carrots, when it costs 35p to go sort it out instantly in Asda, doesn't quite
5 seem worth the candle
6
7
8
9

10 Anthony neutralises his 'torn self' (Jafari and Goulding, 2008), where he is conflicted between
11 two contradictory ideologies, by citing the convenience and economy of his unsustainable
12 preferences. Ambivalence also permeated Derek's reflections on how food skills ebbed and
13 flowed through the generations, the importance of retaining them, and the impact of a new
14 generation with food intolerances that, in his eyes, threatens the generative commensality of
15 their family food sharing:
16
17
18
19

20 ... All three of them are good cooks...I think the generation above me...mum and my
21 dad and my aunties and uncles were the generation that started the food thing.... I think
22 they would have been disappointed if I didn't enjoy food. I've got a niece who has
23 intolerances or is allergic to nuts and stuff and therefore her, although she's only
24 relatively young, her [sighs] exposure to food is fairly limited and she doesn't really
25 enjoy food in the same way and I think that frustrates everybody really because we can't
26 go and sit round and enjoy food because there is always an underlying Eloise can't eat
27 X Y and Z.....
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Anthony's discomfort and Derek's frustration echo Scabini and Marta's (2006) ideas around
37 coping mechanisms of conflictive separation, or atomisation with the family, as the resolution
38 to their dilemmas disrupts the continuation of previous generations' sustainable food
39 behaviours.
40
41
42
43
44

45 ***Discontinuity: Sacred and Profane***

46 As Anthony, Simi, and Derek show, not all (un)sustainable behaviours discussed by
47 participants were continued and upheld across generations; transference is not absolute but can
48 be prone to continual negotiation and redefinition (Goodnow, 1997). Discontinuity, whether
49 intentional or drifting, was apparent in the participants' recollections.
50
51
52
53
54

55 For some participants, ritual familial food behaviours and values are entirely violated,
56 particularly where individuals seek to create their own identity and detach themselves from
57 negative childhood memories. Emma explains:
58
59
60

1
2
3 Mum used to cook corned beef hash, that processed meat. I used to come in
4 from school and it was a massive pan that could feed 5000, but there were only
5 four of us. The onions, the potatoes and the carrots were leftovers, which is fine,
6 it wasn't a good healthy dinner, but we had to finish our plates, and I hated it.
7 I'd never cook it now, especially that processed crap. I always buy organic now.
8
9
10
11
12

13 Emma's unhappy memories of processed food and the misguided eating rules regarding clean
14 plates (linked to the moral significance of food in post WW2 homes) have led her to choose
15 healthier and more sustainable options, detaching her family from her own unpleasant food
16 experiences. As Kharuhayothin and Kerrane (2018) reported, the response to being forced to
17 eat food one dislikes, can lead to a visceral rejection of early food encounters. Emma making
18 organic rather than processed food choices for her family also shows how societal changes can
19 influence secondary socialisation and disrupt behaviours across generations. Likewise, Vivien
20 shows irreverence for her mother's processed food choices and opting instead for local, organic
21 alternatives, rather than recreate unpleasant family food memories:
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 My mum would buy everything processed if she got her way, so when I buy
30 locally produced foods and organic vegetables, I think it's slightly rebelling
31 against her and my childhood.
32
33
34
35

36 Emma and Vivien turned their negative emotions around family meals into more sustainable
37 food choices, deliberately rejecting past generations' misplaced values. Vivien has undergone
38 secondary socialisation through her partner, who mainly cooks, and prioritises sustainability in
39 local sourcing and 'proper' cooking (Valentine, 1999)
40
41
42

43 I always, my husband especially, always tries to buy local food... our butchers is all
44 local meat as well so it costs a bit more but actually it's nice to know that the lamb you
45 are eating is from down the road... Well we wouldn't...buy burgers for example we
46 would make them... always make it from scratch and we would never buy a fish pie...
47 that certainly hasn't come down through my family.
48
49
50
51
52

53 Discontinuity can arise less intentionally, where change results from drifting life transitions or
54 contextual cues rather than overt generational rejection. Values such as food skills may
55 continue through generations, but strategies to enact these may evolve and transform the
56 behaviour. Meal planning and better food storage are common strategies to avoid food waste
57 (<https://www.lovefoodhatewaste.com>). Andrew's mother's food planning involved
58
59
60

1
2
3 overstocking the fridge to ‘be prepared’, leading to significant waste. Andrew’s ability to cook
4 ‘whatever’s there’ avoids this, and is ultimately more sustainable, although not something he
5 deliberately practiced in response to his mother’s excess:
6
7

8 I wasn’t really aware of food surplus and waste particularly much before I met
9 Marie ...I have always I think done quite well in just buying just what we need
10 and using it up. I’m not afraid to let the supplies run quite low as long as I know
11 that I can make at least one or two meals out of what we have got left; that’s
12 distinct and really different from my parents...where it seems to be an article of
13 faith that you must always have a completely full stocked fridge and a
14 completely full vegetable basket. I have heard my dad complain several times
15 at the amount of vegetables they’ve thrown away, but that’s because mum
16 insists on having all vegetables in at any one time ...
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 Andrew shows himself to be more of an impromptu cook than his mother and adopts more
26 moderate food storage that mitigates food surplus and waste. Although the influence of his
27 partner has heightened his awareness of excess and waste, his improvised meal-making is not
28 a direct rebuttal of his parents’ excessive habits. Nonetheless, the outcome represents
29 intergenerational discontinuity, as Andrew shapes his own more sustainable lifestyle through
30 self-learning and secondary socialization.
31
32
33
34
35

36 For some participants the generational ruptures evolved as they moved away from cultural
37 influences, such as Nicoletta. Growing up in the Romanian countryside, her parents and
38 grandparents had passed on “the respect to nature and animals,” and while at home the family
39 farmed:
40
41
42
43
44

45 “cows and pigs and all sorts of animals...while growing up I was surrounded by
46 them...you know care a lot for those animals...you always put their like wellbeing
47 first...they have to be fed they have to you know be cleaned and everything before
48 anything else.”
49
50
51

52 Nicoletta’s care for animals endures, but over time she has slowly shifted from the family’s
53 carnivorous farm food culture to practice vegetarianism, partly through changing context,
54 lifestyle, and social trends. Kiran spoke of dropping her family’s strict adherence to
55 vegetarianism and eating meat, a transition that appears bound up in her selective relationship
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 with aspects of the family's Hindu culture, a birth identity she doesn't observe in everyday
4 food:

5
6 I'm a Hindu more so because I was born a Hindu... I only pick and choose what I like
7 from Hinduism...people confuse a lot of like culture and tradition with religion.... it's
8 supposed to evolve with the times to fit the times... I eat, like my husband drinks and I
9 eat meat...We all drink and eat meat in our house...drink and eat meat out. And
10 technically the belief is that God's all around you...
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 Kiran is comfortable with the seemingly contradictory choices she makes, such as eating meat,
18 while also locally sourcing food or conserving energy. The intergenerational flux of habits can
19 both positively and negatively drive sustainable outcomes, highlighting the complexity of
20 sustainable transference.
21
22
23
24

25 ***Reverse Discontinuity***

26 Reverse socialisation (Kerrane et al., 2015) featured as part of the lifelong learning about food
27 for those participants with children, an intentional reverse discontinuity enacted by
28 participants. Jake told how leaving home changed his behaviours, a life transition that
29 commonly triggers new consumption behaviours, in this instance a move towards more
30 responsible food consumption. Jake socialized with new peer groups, and explained how he
31 teaches his parents better ways with food (Moore, 2018):
32
33
34
35

36 We had a roast dinner and I'd make it my job to not throw things away but make
37 sure they kind of were put into boxes to use up later. I remember in University
38 I used to go back to my parent's house, and I used to just get all the stuff out the
39 bottom of the fridge because it was all going off. I would take it back with me
40 because they [my parents] wouldn't use it. I know now my dad wastes less and
41 through talking to my mum, she's sort of changing.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Jake passes back new behaviours, reverse socialisation grounded in his evolving sustainable
51 identity. Jake's adoption of his wife's and peers' sustainable lifestyles is a reassessment of his
52 own and his parents' previous overconsumption and throwaway food habits, while consciously
53 disrupting and recalibrating his parents' actions.
54
55
56
57

58 Emma also spoke of increasingly following her daughters' lead to adjust her food habits, that
59 still displayed vestiges of her parents' now devalued household food routines:
60

1
2
3 Corned beef...tinned tuna always used to go down... tuna fish in a cheese
4 sauce...We've still got the pantry under the stairs and it wasn't long ago that
5 Jen [her daughter] came in, we had just done a food shop and Jen looked in the
6 pantry, and said you have already got so much stuff in there...you don't eat
7 tinned stuff anymore. And I really thought well that's ridiculous, just ridiculous.
8
9
10
11
12

13 When asked what she would prefer she said:

14
15 I suppose if it could be how the girls live how Jen and Lisa live...I think they
16 have just got everything right, they just have a healthier life... I think all our
17 fruit and veg are most of it is just from the supermarket but I want it to be home
18 grown stuff. I don't want stuff from South Africa or whatever, and Jess and
19 Lucy are like that as well...I think our age group are a very different generation,
20 very different to what our parents are and have been.
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Watching her daughters develop their own identity, values and lifestyles has made Emma
28 question her own, aspiring to greater sustainability, avoiding food miles or reflecting on the need
29 to resist the desire to stockpile tinned food that she doesn't even like or use, a food socialisation
30 hangover from her mother. Emma's and Jake's parents' transition is still a work in progress,
31 but illustrates the potential for lifelong socialisation, and the ebb and flow of intergenerational
32 transference over time.
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Discussion**

40
41 This study sought to develop a deeper understanding of what (un)sustainable food behaviours
42 and values are passed across generations, to what extent this transference takes place and what
43 everyday sustainability challenges emerge for individuals and households. The study makes a
44 range of contributions to our understanding of how intergenerational transfereces shape
45 (un)sustainable consumption throughout life and offer opportunities for lifelong sustainable
46 change and food consumption reappraisal in daily life, beyond early years parenting, and across
47 diverse households. The theoretical and practical implications are discussed next.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 *Theoretical implications*

56 Firstly, our findings provide greater understanding about the mechanisms responsible for the
57 sustainable transformation of our consumption habits through intergenerational transference,
58 and the critical role of secondary socialisation outside early years family influence (Sheth,
59
60

2020; O'Neill and Buckley, 2019; Kharuhayothin and Kerrane, 2018; Judd et al., 2014). The findings suggest that intergenerational transferences are significant in shaping (un)sustainable food consumption throughout life. Passed-on behaviours and values within families offer opportunities for lifelong sustainable change and food consumption reappraisal in daily life, beyond early years parenting, and across diverse households. Secondly, the findings contribute to the theorisation of sustainable consumption using the lens of intergenerational transference, demonstrating that secondary socialisation can play a critical role in the modification of early behaviour patterns of food socialisation (Berger and Luckmann, 1967); habits can be changed. Thirdly, the findings also extend our understanding of sustainable intergenerational transference in more diverse household contexts, building our knowledge of the dynamics of family food socialisation (Moore et al., 2017), with a greater emphasis on the everyday and mundane (Hall and Holmes, 2020) and focusing on the modalities of transference as well as the contents (Scabini and Marta, 2006).

We found that individuals replicate food behaviours and values from childhood, but these are malleable, and may be disrupted or reinvented in a process of lifelong learning and adjustment (Moschis, 2019; Ekström, 2006). Kharuhayothin and Kerrane (2018) found that positive emotional reflexivity associated with childhood food creates an enduring legacy around certain food behaviours and parenting. Our findings show that such intergenerational transference can still endure even when food memories are negative, such as stockpiling unwanted food. However, these formative habits can be broken, particularly with reverse socialisation influences from older children who seek their own identity and introduce parents to new ways, for example prioritising local sourcing and healthier diets. The findings reveal that life transitions such as leaving home (Burningham and Venn, 2017) may weaken intergenerational transference, and cultural bonds may lose their resonance, or be reinterpreted for new situations. Discarding meat for vegetarianism or loosening religious food boundaries by younger generations suggest that childhood socialisation may not always endure when contexts shift (Stawartz et al., 2020).

The findings expose the complexity and contradictions faced by families and individuals as they strive to live and support more sustainable lifestyles (Porpino et al., 2015). New generations may choose to only inherit only what they value (Scabini and Marta, 2006) or can conveniently integrate from the family's culture or past lifestyle. The discarding of sustainable habits to simplify pressured lives can sometimes trigger inner conflict and guilt (Torma et al.,

1
2
3 2016). Convenience food is a staple for busy contemporary families (Carrigan and Szmigin,
4 2006) but increases food and packaging waste, and cheap supermarket food deals present a
5 tempting alternative to the time commitment and labour of growing your own. More research
6 that explores how contextual cues and social trends disrupt and reframe early food socialisation
7 would further our understanding of (un)sustainable consumption in later life and inform
8 marketing and policy strategies to promote sustainable food retailing and consumption.
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 A growing research topic (Jönsson et al., 2021), commensality, warrants further investigation,
16 particularly the potential role that family commensality and retail food strategies can play in
17 public health, reducing food waste and isolation at a time when eating together is said to be on
18 the decline (Jarosz, 2017). The focus on shared eating in participants' stories suggests this is a
19 critical site of food socialisation, replete with intergenerational influences. It is culturally
20 significant, boundary spans generations, class and ethnicity, and is a source of nostalgic
21 attachment that is deliberately and habitually imitated by new generations. Family
22 commensality is mirrored in social eating movements, combating community isolation with
23 the support of surplus food from retailers tackling food waste (Smith and Harvey, 2021). The
24 findings reveal the wellbeing and sustainable benefits the participants gained from shared
25 eating (Warde, 2016), linked to the different aspects of intergenerational social relations and
26 the interactions between the individuals and the food itself. The findings show how central the
27 act of preparing and sharing food across and between generations was to social communion,
28 family identity, and mental wellbeing, a rich context for future theoretical development.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 The findings also contribute to growing research interest around life course transitions as
42 potential turning points for sustainable consumer behaviour, meaningful periods in
43 participants' lives (Verplanken et al., 2018; Burningham and Venn, 2017) – leaving home;
44 empty nests - that represent moments of change to embark on new food behaviours, and the
45 findings reflect reverse socialisation borne out of household reconfiguration, as adult children
46 develop their independent sustainable food identities and (re)socialise their parents (O'Neill
47 and Buckley, 2019; Lazell, 2016).
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 *Practical Implications*

56 This paper provides insight for marketers and policy makers planning sustainable food strategy,
57 most notably demonstrating the importance of families and intergenerational transference to
58 the embedding of sustainable consumption behaviours and traditions (Davies et al., 2020). In
59
60

1
2
3 her recent review of the sociocultural impacts of food marketing and policy, Cairns (2019)
4 emphasised that anticipating and meeting shifting consumer food requirements should be a
5 strategic priority for marketers (Cairns, 2019), not least in supporting greater future food
6 sustainability (Davis et al., 2018). The participants' stories told the importance of mundane
7 family life as a critical source of sustainable learning, one that is gaining traction (Hall and
8 Holmes, 2020) as marketers recognise insufficient attention has been paid to the context and
9 relationships that drive sustainable consumer choices (Carrigan, 2018). Passed on food skills
10 were often the result of 'osmosis' not teaching, and the role of extended family, not just parents,
11 was fundamental to that inadvertent development. Many participants thrifty formative food
12 socialisation was retained in more affluent circumstances. Meanwhile life 'hacks' such as
13 cooking shortcuts, meal stretching, and vegetable growing were borne out life lessons from
14 parents and extended family. Redolent of the oppositional potential of legacy Kharuhayothin
15 and Kerrane (2018) found within their study, negative memories of childhood meals dominated
16 by unpleasant processed foods led to the construction of sustainable food identities linked to
17 pleasure, organic and authentic provisioning (Hedegaard and Hémar-Nicolas, 2020). By
18 exploring how sustainability is transferred across generations, the findings show that there are
19 opportunities for intentional and unintentional sustainable learning throughout life, and that
20 marketers and policy makers can both disrupt unsustainable and encourage sustainable
21 behaviours with appropriate interventions, such as messages that harness generational,
22 nostalgic or wellbeing signals.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 The findings from this study indicate important implications for practice and policy
40 interventions targeted at sustainability and food. Our participants demonstrated considerable
41 awareness of the need to improve the sustainability of how we source and use food, what
42 constitutes eating responsibly, and understood the role of individual and family food choices
43 in contributing to those goals. Harnessing and embedding the sustainable food behaviours and
44 breaking the cycle of unsustainable familial food habits will be important future policy
45 imperatives. However, the paper sheds light on flexible sustainable identities, with participants
46 demonstrating how ambivalence or accelerated lives could deflect how policy messages are
47 received, preventing sustainable choices. Both marketers and policy makers can draw on the
48 findings – lessons on why and how we retain or let go of food behaviours - to encourage the
49 continuity of generational values of thrift and frugality while emphasising the importance of
50 good food and commensality to wellbeing and sustainability. As austerity looms in global
51 society, messages that emphasise good value, sustainability and family wellbeing will be
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 critical for marketers and consumers. Thrifty food habits, waste avoidance, growing your own,
4 and retaining sustainable lessons from the past can support individuals and families in their
5 aspirations to be more sustainable while balancing limited budgets.
6
7
8
9

10 Finally, this study offers insights for food policy makers in a contemporary landscape where
11 policy driven reports regarding climate change and food behaviours increasingly feature but
12 have yet to fully understand the role of intergenerational transference across households as a
13 model for sustainable change. For instance, the 2019 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
14 Change (IPCC) report recommends a few steps households can take to make a difference to
15 food sustainability, but there is more that can be encouraged. Despite the value of the findings,
16 further effective food policies that leverage household and community pro-sustainability
17 behaviours and values are needed, including those that capitalize on intergenerational food
18 socialization, particularly beyond early years. This includes policies surrounding food waste as
19 well as disrupting unsustainable habits and encouraging retail and shopping behaviours around
20 more locally sourced seasonal foods, low carbon diets, food planning and food sharing. Such
21 policies and accessible communication of the IPCC will be integral to minimising the impact
22 of food behaviours on the climate.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Limitations and Future Research**

37 This paper's limitations can be addressed in future research. Methodologically, most of the
38 participants were British, although the sample drew on multiple ethnic heritages. Future
39 research could extend the geographical and socio-demographic scope of families to understand
40 and draw comparisons across cultures on the transference of sustainable familial food
41 behaviours and values. For example, Mediterranean diet and shared eating have been shown to
42 promote good health and sustainability (Medina, 2021), food habits that could be prioritized in
43 policy and practice messages, with an emphasis on changing appropriate sourcing, cooking,
44 and eating behaviours. By only interviewing one member from each household, we limit the
45 opportunity to draw on the experiences and understandings of different family members, which
46 could be addressed in the future by taking a more networked/systems approach as advocated
47 by Epp and Price (2008). However, many of the family members discussed by the participants
48 had either died, lived abroad, were no longer in the household or unwilling to participate, which
49 constrained our opportunities to talk to them. Further research exploring intergenerational
50 transference of households with multigeneration, extended families (Brombach, 2017);
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 comparing households in rural and urban locations with short and long food supply chains
4 (Vittersø et al., 2019); households facing food insecurity (Ullevig et al., 2021), or studying
5 households with and without spaces for growing food (Shaw et al., 2016) would be fruitful
6 avenues to widen the scope of this study. One interesting avenue is the exploration of
7 collectivist versus more individualistic cultural influences. This would extend the body of
8 research conducted on specific cultures such as Finnish household food waste (Koivupuro et
9 al., 2012), Brazilian consumers food disposal (Porpino et al., 2015) Italian families sustainable
10 food consumption (Vassallo et al., 2016), Dutch adults (Verain et al., 2015) as well as
11 multicultural societies (Reddy and van Dam, 2020).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 This paper found that sustainable food behaviour transformation was partially a response to
21 current trends in sustainable, local, healthy eating. Thus, further research might seek to explore
22 different generational responses to media and influencer trends emerging around food such as
23 veganism (Phua et al., 2020) or pandemic-led consumer responses towards processed food
24 hoarding, food insecurities and scarcities (Dickins and Schalz, 2020). Future research needs to
25 examine how likely family members are to integrate sustainable food behaviours such as
26 avoiding waste or low carbon diets in response to media and policy messaging, particularly
27 when facing contextual challenges or financial penalties to do so. How these media and
28 contextual issues affect the behaviour of individuals in different generations or the flow
29 between them, given our findings, demonstrate how sustainable behaviours can pass back and
30 between generations. Future research could also investigate the possible strategies employed
31 to encourage sustainable behaviour and values via an intergenerational approach.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Finally, future research can extend the theoretical developments presented in this paper. For
44 example, to examine the potential for sustainable intergenerational transference within other
45 consumption spaces such as fast fashion or fast homeware, both sectors with increasingly
46 unsustainable impacts (O'Sullivan, 2021). This paper found significant crossover between
47 different sustainable food behaviours and food cultures, suggesting evidence of trickle-down,
48 trickle-up and trickle-across diffusions reminiscent of other sectors (Atik and First, 2013).
49 Thus, it would be useful in future research to continue to investigate whether this crossover
50 derived from familial socialization continues into other sustainable behaviour and values
51 beyond food.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Alonso, M.E., González-Montaña, J.R, and Lomillos J. M. (2020), “Consumers’ concerns and perceptions of farm animal welfare”, *Animals*, Vol10 No.3, p.385.
- Ares, G., De Saldamando, L., Giménez, A. and Deliza, R., (2014), “Food and wellbeing. Towards a consumer-based approach”, *Appetite*, Vol 74, pp.61-69.
- Atik, D., and Firat, A. F. (2013), “Fashion creation and diffusion: The institution of marketing”, *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol29 Nos7-8, pp. 836–860.
- Barilla, (2021), “*Food Sustainability Index: A Study on Global Food Sustainability*”, Available at: https://www.barillacfn.com/en/food_sustainability_index/
- Belk, R.W., Wallendorf, M and Sherry, Jr. J.F. (1991), “The Sacred and the Profane in Consumer Behavior: Theodicy on the Odyssey”, in Belk, R.W. (Eds), *Highways and Buyways: Naturalistic Research from the Consumer Behavior Odyssey*, Association for Consumer Research, Provo, UT, 59-101.
- Belk, R., (2010), Sharing. *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol 36 No 5, pp.715-734.
- Berger, P L & Luckmann, T. (1967), *The Social Construction of Reality*, Penguin Press, London.
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V., (2013), *Successful Qualitative Research: A Practical Guide for Beginners*, Sage, London.
- Britt, S.L., (2016), The intergenerational transference of money attitudes and behaviors. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, Vol 50 No 3, pp.539-556.
- Brombach, C., (2017), “Meals and eating practices within a multi-generational approach: a qualitative insight study”, *International Journal of Clinical Nutrition & Dietetics*, Vol3 No 122, pp. 1-6.
- Brown, K.A., Harris, F., Potter, C. and Knai, C., (2020), “The future of environmental sustainability labelling on food products”, *The Lancet Planetary Health*, Vol 4 No 4, pp. 137-138.
- Burningham, K. and Venn, S. (2017), “Are lifecourse transitions opportunities for moving to more sustainable consumption?” *Journal of Consumer Culture*, Vol 20, No 1, pp.102-121.
- Campbell, J.M. and Oei, T.P. (2010), “A cognitive model for the intergenerational transference of alcohol use behavior”, *Addictive Behaviour*, Vol 35 No 2, pp.73-83.
- Cappellini, B. and Parsons, E. (2012), “Sharing the meal: food consumption and family identity”, in Belk, R.W. (Eds), *Research in Consumer Behavior*, Routledge, London, pp.109-128.
- Cappellini, B. and Parsons, E. (2013), “Practising thrift at dinnertime: mealtime leftovers, sacrifice and family membership”, *The Sociological Review*, Vol60 No. 2, pp. 121-134.

1
2
3
4 Carrigan, M. and Szmigin, I. (2006), "Mothers of invention: maternal empowerment and
5 convenience consumption", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 40 Nos. 9/10, pp.1122-1142.
6

7
8 Carrigan, M., Szmigin, I. and Leek, S. (2006), "Managing routine food choices in UK families:
9 The role of convenience consumption," *Appetite*, Vol 47 No.3, pp.372-383.
10

11 Carrigan, M., Lazell, J., Bosangit, C. and Magrizos, S. (2017), "Burgers for tourists who give
12 a damn! Driving disruptive social change upstream and downstream in the tourist food supply
13 chain", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, Vol 25 No.11, pp. 1563-1582.
14

15
16 Carrigan, M. (2018), "Food and Ethical Consumption", *Journal of Consumer Ethics*, Vol 2 No
17 2, Available at: <https://journal.ethicalconsumer.org/journal-issues/issue-1/>
18

19 Caruana, A. and Vassallo, R., (2003), "Children's perception of their influence over purchases:
20 the role of parental communication patterns", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol20 No.1,
21 pp.55-66.
22

23
24 Chan, K., (2006), "Consumer socialization of Chinese children in schools: analysis of
25 consumption values in textbooks", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol 23 No 3, pp.125-132.
26

27 Chaudhury, S.R. and Albinsson, P.S. (2015), "Citizen consumer-oriented practices in
28 naturalistic foodways: The case of the slow food movement", *Journal of Macromarketing*, Vol
29 35 No 1, pp.36-52.
30

31
32 Cho, S. and Krasser, A.H. (2011), "What makes us care? The impact of cultural values,
33 individual factors, and attention to media content on motivation for ethical consumerism",
34 *International Social Science Review*, Vol 86, pp.1-2.
35

36
37 Coulthard, H., Sharps, M., Cunliffe, L. and van den Tol, A., (2021), "Eating in the lockdown
38 during the Covid 19 pandemic; self-reported changes in eating behaviour, and associations with
39 BMI, eating style, coping and health anxiety", *Appetite*, p.161.
40

41
42 Cross, S.N.N. and Gilly, M.C. (2014), "Cultural competence and cultural compensatory
43 mechanisms in binational households", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol 78 (May), pp.121-139.
44

45 Davies, I., Oates, C., Tynan, C., Carrigan, M., Casey, K., Pereira-Heath, T., Henninger, C.,
46 Lichrou, M., McDonagh, P., McDonald, S., McKechnie, S., McLeay, F., O'Malley, L. and
47 Wells, V.K. (2020), "Seeking Sustainable Futures in marketing and consumer research",
48 *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 54 No 11, pp.2911-2939.
49

50
51 Davis, T., Hogg, M.K., Marshall, D., Petersen, A. and Schneider, T. (2018), "Families and
52 Food: Marketing, Consuming and Managing", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 52 No 12,
53 pp.2270-2272.
54

55
56 Davis, T., Hogg, M.K., Marshall, D., Petersen, A. and Schneider, T. (2018b), "Intersectional
57 research stories of responsabilising the family for food, feeding and health in the twenty-first
58 century", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 52 No 12, pp. 2273-2288.
59
60

1
2
3 De Vault, M.L. (1994), *Feeding the Family: The Social Organization of Caring as Gendered*
4 *Work*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

5
6 Dickins. T.E and Schalz, S. (2020) "Food Shopping under Risk and Uncertainty", *Learning*
7 *and Motivation*, p.72, doi: 10.1016/j.lmot.2020.101681

8
9 Ekström, K. M. (2006), 'Consumer Socialization Revisited', in Belk, R W (ed) *Research in*
10 *Consumer Behavior*, Vol 10, Elsevier Science Ltd., Oxford: UK, pp. 71-98.

11
12 Ekström, K. (2007), "Parental consumer learning or keeping up with the children", *Journal of*
13 *Consumer Behaviour*, Vol 6 No 4, pp.203–217.

14
15 Epp, A.M. and Price, L.L. (2008), "Family identity: a framework of identity interplay in
16 consumption practices", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol 35 No 1, pp.50-70.

17
18 Epp, A.M. and Price, L.L. (2018), "Constraints and possibilities in the thrown togetherness of
19 feeding the family", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 52 No 12, pp.2499-2511.

20
21 Evans, D. (2014), *Food Waste: Home Consumption, Material Culture and Everyday Life*,
22 Bloomsbury Publishers, London.

23
24 Evans, D. (2019), "What is consumption, where has it been going, and does it still matter?",
25 *The Sociological Review*, Vol 67 No 3, pp.499-517

26
27 Evans, D., Welch, D. and Swaffield, J. (2017), "Constructing and mobilizing 'the consumer':
28 Responsibility, consumption and the politics of sustainability", *Environment and Planning A*,
29 Vol 49 No 6, pp.1396-1412.

30
31 Fernández-Aranda, F., Krug, I., Granero, R., Ramón, J.M., Badia, A., Giménez, L., Solano, R.,
32 Collier, D., Karwautz, A. and Treasure, J., (2007), "Individual and family eating patterns
33 during childhood and early adolescence: an analysis of associated eating disorder factor",
34 *Appetite*, Vol 49 No 2, 476-485.

35
36 Fischer, E. and Otnes, C.C. (2006), "Breaking New Ground: Developing Grounded Theories
37 in Marketing and Consumer Behavior", in Belk, R.W (Eds), *Handbook of Qualitative Research*
38 *Methods in Marketing*, Edward Elgar Publishing, London, pp.19-30.

39
40 Fleetwood, J. (2020), "Social justice, food loss, and the sustainable development goals in the
41 era of COVID-19", *Sustainability*, Vol 12 No 12, p.5027.

42
43 Glaser, B. and Strauss, A. (1967), *The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for*
44 *Qualitative Research*, Aldine, Chicago.

45
46 Gong, Y., Li, J., Xie, J., Zhang, L. and Lou, Q. (2021) "Will "Green" Parents Have "Green"
47 Children? The Relationship Between Parents' and Early Adolescents' Green Consumption
48 Values", *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1-17.

49
50 Goodman, M., Johnston, J. and Cairns, K. (2017), "Food, media and space: the mediated
51 biopolitics of eating", *Geoforum*, Vol 84, pp.161-244.

1
2
3 Goodnow, J. (1997), "Parenting and the transmission and internalization of values: from social-cultural perspectives to within-family analysis", in Grusec, J. and Kuczynski, L. (Eds),
4 *Parenting Strategies and Children's Internalization of Values: A Handbook of Theoretical and*
5 *Research Proposal*, Wiley, New York, pp. 333–361.
6
7

8
9 Graham-Rowe, E., Jessop, D.C. and Sparks, P. (2019) "Self-affirmation theory and pro-
10 environmental behaviour: Promoting a reduction in household food waste", *Journal of*
11 *Environmental Psychology*, Vol 62, pp.124-132.
12

13 Grønhøj, A. and Thøgersen, J. (2011), "Feedback on household electricity consumption:
14 learning and social influence processes", *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol 35,
15 pp.138-145.
16

17
18 Hall, S-M. and Holmes, H. (2017), "Making do and getting by? Beyond a romantic politics of
19 austerity and crisis", *Discover Society*, available at:
20 [https://archive.discoverociety.org/2017/05/02/making-do-and-getting-by-beyond-a-](https://archive.discoverociety.org/2017/05/02/making-do-and-getting-by-beyond-a-romantic-politics-of-austerity-and-crisis/)
21 [romantic-politics-of-austerity-and-crisis/](https://archive.discoverociety.org/2017/05/02/making-do-and-getting-by-beyond-a-romantic-politics-of-austerity-and-crisis/)
22

23
24 Hall, S.M. and Holmes, H., (2020), "Introduction: mundane methods and the extra-ordinary
25 everyday", in *Mundane Methods*, Manchester University Press, pp. 1-14.
26

27 Hamilton, K. and Catterall, M. (2010), "Consuming Love in Poor Families: Children's
28 Influence on Consumption Decisions", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol 22 Nos 9-10,
29 pp.1031-1052.
30

31
32 Hansen, T., Sørensen, M.I. and Eriksen, M.L.R (2018), "How the interplay between consumer
33 motivations and values influences organic food identity and behavior", *Food Policy*, Vol 74,
34 pp. 39-52.
35

36
37 Hartmann, C., Dohle, S. and Siegrist, M., (2014), "Time for change? Food choices in the
38 transition to cohabitation and parenthood", *Public Health Nutrition*, Vol 17 No 12, pp.2730-
39 2739.
40

41 Hedegaard, L. and Hémar-Nicolas, V. (2020), "Rethinking food well-being as reconciliation
42 between pleasure and sustainability", *International Journal of Food Design*, Vol 5 Nos 1-2,
43 pp.57-166.
44

45
46 Holloway, W. and Jefferson, T. (2013), *Doing Qualitative Research Differently: A*
47 *Psychosocial Approach (2nd edition)*, Sage Publishers, London.
48

49 Holmes, H. (2018), "Unpicking contemporary thrift: Getting on and getting by in everyday
50 life", *The Sociological Review*, Vol 67 No 1, pp.126-142.
51

52
53 Hughner, R.S. and Maher, J.K., (2006), "Factors that influence parental food purchases for
54 children: Implications for dietary health", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol 22 Nos 9-
55 10, pp.929-954.
56

57 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, (2019), "*Special Report on Climate Change and*
58 *Land*", available at:
59 https://en.linkfang.org/wiki/Special_Report_on_Climate_Change_and_Land .
60

1
2
3
4 Jafari, A. and Goulding, C. (2008), "We are not terrorists!" UK-based Iranians, consumption
5 practices and the "torn self", *Consumption Markets and Culture*, Vol 11 No 2, pp.73-91.
6

7
8 Jamal A. (1998), "Food consumption among ethnic minorities: the case of British-Pakistanis
9 in Bradford, UK", *British Food Journal*, Vol 100 No 5, pp. 221-227.
10

11 Jarosz, E., (2017), "Class and eating: Family meals in Britain", *Appetite*, Vol116, pp.527-535.
12

13 Jingxiong, R., Rosenqvist, U., Huishan, W., Greiner, T., Guangli, L. and Sarkadi, A. (2007),
14 "Influence of grandparents on eating behaviours of young children in Chinese three-generation
15 families", *Journal of Appetite*, Vol 48, pp.377-383.
16

17
18 Jönsson, H., Michaud, M. and Neuman, N., (2021), "What is commensality? A critical
19 discussion of an expanding research field", *International Journal of Environmental Research
20 and Public Health*, Vol 18 No 12, p.6235.
21

22
23 Judd, S.M., Newton, J.D., Newton, F.J. and Ewing, M.T., (2014), "When nutritional guidelines
24 and life collide: family fruit and vegetable socialisation practices in low socioeconomic
25 communities", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol 30 Nos 15-16, pp.1625-1653.
26

27
28 Kelsey, S., Morris, C. and Crewe, L. (2018), "Yellow-sticker shopping as competent, creative
29 consumption", *Area*, Vol 51 No1, pp.64-71.
30

31 Kemper, J.A. and Ballantine, P.W. (2017), "Socio-technical transitions and institutional
32 change: Addressing obesity through macro-social marketing", *Journal of Macromarketing*,
33 Vol 37 No 4, pp.81-392.
34

35
36 Kerrane, B., Bettany, S.M and Kerrane, K. (2015), "Siblings as socialization agents", *European
37 Journal of Marketing*, Vol 49 Nos 5/6, pp.713-735.
38

39 Kessari, M., Joly, C., Jaouen, A. and Jaeck, M. (2020), "Alternative food networks: good
40 practices for sustainable performance", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol 36 Nos 15-16,
41 pp. 1-30.
42

43 Kharuhayothin, T. and Kerrane, B. (2018), "Learning from the past? An exploratory study of
44 familial food socialization processes using the lens of emotional reflexivity", *European
45 Journal of Marketing*, Vol 52 No12 , pp.2312-2333.
46

47
48 Kizgin, H., Jamal, A., Dey, B.L. and Rana, N.P. (2018), "The Impact of Social Media on
49 Consumers' Acculturation and Purchase Intentions", *Information Systems Frontiers*, Vol 20
50 No 3, pp.503-514.
51

52
53 Koivupuro, H. K., Hartikaine, H., Silvennoinen, K., Katajajuuri, J.M., Heikintalo, N.,
54 Reinikainen, A. and Jalkanen, L. (2012), "Influence of socio-demographical, behavioural and
55 attitudinal factors on the amount of avoidable food waste generated in Finnish households",
56 *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol 36 No 2, pp. 183-191.
57

58
59 Lazell, J. (2016), "Consumer food waste behaviour in universities: Sharing as a means of
60 prevention", *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, Vol 15 No 5, pp.430-439.

1
2
3
4 Luchs, M.G. and Kumar, M. (2017), “Yes, but this other one looks better/works better: how do
5 consumers respond to trade-offs between sustainability and other valued attributes?” *Journal*
6 *of Business Ethics*, Vol 140 No 3, pp.567-584
7

8
9 Lueg, J.E. and Finney, R.Z., (2007), “Interpersonal communication in the consumer
10 socialization process: scale development and validation”, *Journal of Marketing Theory and*
11 *Practice*, Vol 15 No 1, pp.25-39.
12

13
14 Makhal, A., Robertson, K., Thyne, M. and Miroso, M. (2020) “Normalising the “ugly” to
15 reduce food waste: Exploring the socialisations that form appearance preferences for fresh
16 fruits and vegetables”, *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*. Vol20 No.5, pp. 1025-1039
17

18
19 Malek, L., Umberger, W.J. and Goddard, E. (2019) “Committed vs. uncommitted meat eaters:
20 Understanding willingness to change protein consumption”, *Appetite*, Vol 138, pp.115-126.
21

22
23 Mannheim, K. (1952), “The problem of generations”, in Kecskemeti, P. (Ed), *Essays on the*
24 *Sociology of Knowledge*, Routledge, London, pp.76–320.
25

26
27 Marchant, C. and O’Donohoe, S., (2014), “Edging out of the nest: emerging adults’ use of
28 smartphones in maintaining and transforming family relationships”, *Journal of Marketing*
29 *Management*, Vol 30 Nos 15-16, pp.1554-1576.
30

31
32 Millward-Hopkins, J., Steinberger, J.K., Rao, N.D. and Oswald, Y. (2020), “Providing decent
33 living with minimum energy: A global scenario”, *Global Environmental Change*, Vol 65,
34 p.102168.
35

36
37 Moore, E.S. (2018), “Intergenerational influences on children’s food preferences, and eating
38 styles: A review and call for research”, *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 52 No12, pp.2533-
39 2544.
40

41
42 Moore, E.S., Wilkie, W.L. and Desrochers, D.M. (2017) “All in the family? Parental roles in
43 the epidemic of childhood obesity”, *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol43, pp.824-859.
44

45
46 Moschis, G.P., (2019), *Consumer Behavior over the Life Course Research Frontiers and New*
47 *Directions*, Springer, Nature.
48

49
50 O’Connell, R. and Brannen, J. (2016), *Food, Families and Work*, London, Bloomsbury
51 Publishing.
52

53
54 O’Neill, C., and Buckley, J. (2019) “Mum, did you just leave that tap running?!” The role of
55 positive pester power in prompting sustainable consumption. *International Journal of*
56 *Consumer Studies*, Vol 43 No 3, pp.253–262.
57

58
59 O’Sullivan, S. (2021) “Fast Homeware Is Now A Problem We Can’t Ignore”, *Refiner29*,
60 available at <https://www.refinery29.com/en-gb/fast-homeware-sustainability-problem>

Paddock, J. (2017), “Household consumption and environmental change: rethinking the policy
problem through narratives of food practices”, *Journal of Consumer Culture*, Vol 17 No
1, pp.122-139.

1
2
3
4 Patton, M.Q. (2015), *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods: Integrating Theory and*
5 *Practice* (4th ed), Sage Publishers, Thousand Oaks, CA.
6

7
8 Phua, J., Jin, S.V. and Kim, J., (2020), “The roles of celebrity endorsers’ and consumers’ vegan
9 identity in marketing communication about veganism”, *Journal of Marketing*
10 *Communications*, Vol 26 No 8, pp.813-835.
11

12 Porpino, G., Parente, J. and Wansink, B. (2015), “Food waste paradox: antecedents of food
13 disposal in low-income households”, *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol 39 No 6,
14 pp.619-629.
15

16
17 Principato, L., Mattia, G., Di Leo, A. and Pratesi, C.A., (2021) The household wasteful
18 behaviour framework: A systematic review of consumer food waste. *Industrial Marketing*
19 *Management*, Vol 93, pp.641-649.
20

21 Reddy, G. and van Dam, R.M., (2020), “Food, culture, and identity in multicultural societies:
22 Insights from Singapore”, *Appetite*, Vol 149, p.104633.
23

24
25 Ritch, E.L. and Brownlie, D. (2016), “Doing it for the kids: the role of sustainability in family
26 consumption”, *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol 44 No 11,
27 pp.1100-1117.
28

29 Scabini, E. and Marta, E. (2006), “Changing intergenerational relationship”, *European Review*,
30 Vol 14 No 1, pp.81-98.
31

32
33 Shaw, D., Crossan, J. , Cumbers, A. , McMaster, R. , Trebeck, K. and Black, I. (2016) “Open
34 Space: Places of presumption: Community gardens putting the ‘we’ into neighbourhoods”,
35 *Families, Relationships and Societies*, Vol 5 No 3, pp.473-479.
36

37 Sheth, J., (2020) Impact of Covid-19 on consumer behavior: Will the old habits return or die?
38 *Journal of Business Research*, Vol 117, pp.280-283.
39

40
41 Singh, P., Sahadev, S., Oates, C.J. and Alevizou, P., (2020), “Pro-environmental behavior in
42 families: A reverse socialization perspective”, *Journal of Business Research*, Vol 115,
43 pp.110-121.
44

45 Singh, P., Henninger, C.E., Oates, C.J., Newman, N. and Alevizou, P.J., (2022) Children and
46 young people: opportunities and tensions for sustainability marketing. *Journal of Marketing*
47 *Management*, Vol 38 No 9-10, pp.831-843.
48

49
50 Slow Food. (2018), “*What is slow food?*” available at:
51 <https://www.slowfood.org.uk/about/about/what-we-do/>
52

53 Soderman, B. and Carter, R. (2008), “The auto salvage: a space of second chances”, *Space and*
54 *Culture*, Vol 11 No 1, pp.20-38.
55

56
57 Southerton, D., McMeekin, A. and Evans, D. (2011), *International review of behaviour change*
58 *initiatives*, available at: www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2011/02/01104638/0
59
60

1
2
3 Stancu, V. and Lähteenmäki, L., (2022) Consumer-related antecedents of food provisioning
4 behaviors that promote food waste. *Food Policy*, Vol 108, p.102236.

5
6 Stawarz, K., Gardner, B., Cox, A. and Blandford, A., (2020), “What influences the selection
7 of contextual cues when starting a new routine behaviour? An exploratory study”, *BMC*
8 *Psychology*, Vol 8 No 1, pp.1-11.

9
10 Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1998), *Basics of Qualitative Research: Grounded Theory*
11 *Procedures and Technique* (2nd ed.) Sage Publications, London.

12
13 Talwar, S., Kaur, P., Okumus, B., Ahmed, U. and Dhir, A., (2021) Food waste reduction and
14 taking away leftovers: Interplay of food-ordering routine, planning routine, and motives.
15 *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol 98, p.103033.

16
17 Ullevig, S.L., Vasquez, L.L., Ratcliffe, L.G., Oswalt, S.B., Lee, N. and Lobitz, C.A., (2021),
18 “Establishing a campus garden and food pantry to address food insecurity: Lessons learned”,
19 *Journal of American College Health*, Vol 69 No 6, pp.684-688.

20
21 United Nations. (2019), *World's Food Supply Made Insecure*, available at:
22 <https://www.un.org/en/academic-impact/worlds-food-supply-made-insecure-climate-change>

23
24 Valentine, G. (1999), “Eating in: home, consumption and identity”, *The Sociological Review*,
25 Vol 47 No 3, pp.491-524.

26
27 Vassallo, M., Scalvedi, M.L. and Saba, A. (2016), “Investigating psychosocial determinants in
28 influencing sustainable food consumption in Italy”, *International Journal of Consumer*
29 *Studies*, Vol 40, pp.422-434.

30
31 Vel, P., Mathew, P. and Shirkhodae, E., 2016. Social marketing, pester power and reverse
32 socialisation. *The Marketing Review*, Vol 16 No 4, pp.433-449

33
34 Verain, M.C.D., Dagevos, H. and Antonides, G. (2015), “Sustainable food consumption.
35 Product choice or curtailment?”, *Appetite*, Vol 91, pp.375-384.

36
37 Verplanken, B., Roy, D. and Whitmarsh, L., (2018), *Cracks in the wall: Habit discontinuities*
38 *as vehicles for behaviour change*, In *The Psychology of Habit* (pp. 189-205). Springer, Cham.

39
40 Vittersø, G., Torjusen, H., Laitala, K., Tocco, B., Biasini, B., Csillag, P., De Labarre, M.D.,
41 Lecoœur, J.L., Maj, A., Majewski, E. and Malak-Rawlikowska, A., (2019) “Short food supply
42 chains and their contributions to sustainability: Participants’ views and perceptions from 12
43 European cases”, *Sustainability*, Vol 11 No 17), p.4800.

44
45 Voola, A.P., Wyllie, J., Carlson, J. and Sridharan, S. (2018), “Families and food: exploring
46 food well-being in poverty”, *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 52 No 12, pp.2423-2448

47
48 Ward, S. (1974), “Consumer Socialization”, *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol 1, No. 2, pp.
49 1- 14.

50
51 Warde, A. and Yates, L. (2016), “Understanding Eating Events: Snacks and Meal Patterns in
52 Great Britain”, *Food, Culture and Society*, Vol 20 No 1, pp.15-36.

1
2
3
4 Watne, T., Lobo, A. and Brennan, L. (2011), "Children as agents of secondary socialisation for
5 their parents", *Young Consumers*, Vol 12 No. 4, pp. 285-294.
6

7
8 Wheeler, K. (2018), "The moral economy of ready-made food, *The British Journal of*
9 *Sociology*, Vol 69 No 4, pp.1271-1292.
10

11 Wijayaratne, S.P., Reid, M., Westberg, K., Worsley, A. and Mavondo, F. (2018), "Food
12 literacy, healthy eating barriers and household diet", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 52
13 No 12), pp.2449-2477.
14

15
16 Wong, J. (2020), "Grow (just a bit of) your own", *New Scientist*, Vol 246 No 3278, p.22.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table I. Semi-Structured Interview Guide

Objectives	Questions
Introduction	Nature of research and how it will be used
'Warm up'	<p>Now tell me a little bit about yourself, e.g.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age (or age range); • Your occupation; • Do you have children? • Do you care for any elderly relatives? • Relationship status • Where and who you live with • Any religious or spiritual beliefs
General attitudes and experiences linked to given or bequeathed items and family traditions	<p>So, let's talk about things that have been passed on to you;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can I ask you firstly to think about something that has been passed to you, or you have inherited that holds any special significance or importance for you? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>(Prompts can include food, furniture, clothing etc)</i> ○ Who gave it to you; how long have you had it; do you like it; why is it important to keep it; would you hand it on to anyone else or keep it? • Does your family own any cherished possessions? Tell me about them? <i>(Use follow up questions to probe)</i> • Are there any items that you own, or have been passed to you that within your culture that are considered particularly special or cherished? Can you tell me about that? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Prompt: (e.g. Scottish culture might be a family tartan)</i> • Tell me about some of the other items or family things that you have or are important to you/ you particularly like/ are fond of? What makes it special? <i>(might be tangible/intangible - clothing; family recipes; cooking items; books; music; furniture; tools; gardening items or traditions)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Is this/are these something you would like to hand on to anyone? • Can you tell me about any specific inherited item – one that stands out to you? Tell me about that, e.g. <i>(Prompts)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Who gave it you? ○ What is it? ○ When was it given?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Will you be keeping it? ○ Will you pass it down to the next generation? ○ Do you store or display it? ○ What is the motive to pass on the item? (<i>Probe here</i>) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Does your family have any traditions? (<i>Could be rituals, things we like to replicate on special occasions; could be anything from Sunday dinner; camping in the summer; birthday celebrations etc.</i>) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What are they? ○ How important are they to you? ○ Will you look to pass these traditions down to the next generation? ○ Why does it matter for you to pass on these traditions? ● Do you possess any particular skills or knowledge (<i>Prompt e.g. cooking skills; how to knit or sew; maintaining a car</i>) ● Did anyone in your family or friends teach you any skills or expertise that are important to you? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ How did you learn those skills? ○ Would you wish to pass them on to future generations? (<i>Prompt: might be to workplace, friends or other family, not just children</i>) ○ What is the motive to pass on these ideas or knowledge? (<i>Probe here</i>) ● [<i>If not yet mentioned</i>]: Do you have any special food or food traditions in your family? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What are they? ○ How important are they to you? ○ Will you look to pass these traditions down to the next generation? ○ Why does it matter for you to pass on these traditions?
General sustainability and social responsibility attitudes	<p>So, let's talk about your broader views on sustainability/social responsibility...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Do you think about the sustainability of a product when you are shopping? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What (if any) socially responsible/sustainable rules and issues do you use to guide your choices, behaviours and purchases? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Prompt: (e.g. recycled; environmentally safe; fairtrade; local; food choices)</i> ○ are these different for different products?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Prompt: (e.g. do you apply them when buying food, cars, clothes, furniture etc?)</i> • What has led you to think about these things when buying or using products; did anything trigger your interest in <i>sustainability/local/fairtrade</i> that you remember? • Do your social/cultural/religious groups i.e. colleagues, workmates, family, church, social groups – have any influence upon your sustainable choices generally? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Probe here</i> • What kind of (if any) sustainable/responsible products have you bought in the past? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Tell me about some of those you buy regularly? ○ What is behind your decision to buy those in particular? • Have you ever bought second hand products or products that you know are recycled? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What made you interested in buying second hand?
Links between inheritance and sustainability	<p>Let's talk a bit more about things that have been passed on and sustainability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passing on items, or inheriting them is a way of stopping them from being destroyed or keeping them in circulation longer. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Do you have any thoughts about that – do you think it is something we should encourage? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Probe here depending on answer</i> ○ What are your thoughts about recycling/reusing/ or keep using old things more generally? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Do you see any good points or perhaps challenges? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Probe here</i> • Things we inherit can be relevant or useful, but not always. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Can you give me an example of something useful and relevant you have had passed to you? ○ Can you give me an example of something that is not useful and relevant? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Probe here</i> • Can you tell us about any actions that you are taking that may impact on younger or future generations? E.g. <i>how you dispose of things or pass them on yourself</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Do you have anything that you can imagine yourself one day passing onto the next generation? Tell us about it <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Is it something that you use or do currently? ○ Have you thought about not buying or passing on something because of the damage it might cause in the future?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ What about any ideas or knowledge that you may wish to pass on relating to sustainability and social issues?
Any other relevant aspects not discussed	The discussion is coming to an end now, so: Is there anything we haven't talked about that you would like to discuss or say anything else about?

European Journal of Marketing

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table II. Participant Profiles

Pseudonym	Nationality	Home Residence England	Age	Family Set
Audrey	British	Urban; Midlands	74	Living alone, widow, no children.
Brian	British	Urban; Midlands	60	Living with wife, empty nester, two children (aged 24 and 21)
Leah	British	Rural; North East	37	Living with husband
Derek	British	Rural; North East	39	Living with wife, no children.
Simi	British Asian	Urban; Midlands	32	Living with husband and three children (aged 10, 6, 3)
Neha	British Asian	Urban; Midlands	29	Living with husband and daughter.
Kiran	British Asian	Urban; South East	28	Living with friends, single, no children
Vivien	British	Urban; North East	41	Living with husband, no children
Carla	German	Urban; North West	32	Living alone, single, no children
Emma	British	Urban; Midlands	53	Living with husband, empty nester, two children (aged 24 and 21)
Janice	British	Urban; Midlands	33	Living with husband, one child
Molly	British	Urban; Midlands	57	Living with husband and one child (aged 17)
Daniel	British and Irish	Urban; North West	65	Living with wife, empty nester, two children (aged 27 and 30)
Rajan	British Asian	Urban; Midlands	27	Living with girlfriend, no children
Deepak	British Asian	Urban; South East	31	Living with wife, expecting first child
Patricia	British	Urban; North West	67	Living alone, divorced, three children (aged 47, 43, and 39) and four grandchildren
Nicoletta	Romanian	Urban; Midlands	34	Living with husband, no children
Mandy	British		45	Living with one child (aged 15), single
Nina	British Asian	Urban; South East	29	Living with husband and two children (aged 1 and 4)

Jake	British	Urban; Midlands	26	Living with wife, no children
Amira	Belgian and Moroccan	Urban; North East	39	Living alone, divorced and single, no children
Anthony	British	Urban; North West	41	Living with girlfriend, one children from previous relationship
Megan	British	Urban; North West	40	Living with wife and one child (aged 5)
Marian	British Asian	Urban; Midlands	45	Living with husband and two children (aged 13 and 15)
Andrew	British	Urban; Midlands	55	Living with two children (aged 17 and 20), divorced.

European Journal of Marketing

Table III. Themes and Coding at Three Levels

Descriptive codes	Interpretive codes	Overarching theme
Behaviours, values and rituals passed down, embedded in religion, culture, practices Interconnecting generations acting as bridges between older and younger generations; keep values and rituals going Obligation of relationships, respect, affection and shared, inherited practices	Conscious continuity Actively retained behaviours and practices Sacred rituals	Continuity
Habitual purchasing and replication of generational behaviours Inherited behaviour simulating past generations struggles or constraints Customary thrift practices Informal learning; learning by doing Social eating, eating together; shared meals	Routine, mundane continuity More habitual, passive than active	
Conflict over familial sustainable food practices Elements of past behaviours or new traditions (re)created and shared with next generation. Inherited expectations as a responsibility and burden; Disruptions to traditions; generational discord; flexible interpretations	Ambivalence in Transference Co-existence between harmony and conflict; Coping mechanisms; inconsistencies and conflictive separation Discarding with discomfort	Ambivalence
Breaking the chain; disruption Disregarding practices around frugality Rejection: processed foods, finishing your plate habits and tensions Tensions in household between wasteful and frugal	Deliberate, intentional discontinuity Behaviours and values are rejected entirely; irreverence	Discontinuity
Dwindling importance of feast day rituals and family get togethers, loosening of ties Convenient modernising of recipes around changing lifestyles Flexible or diminishing cultural or religious standards Change and continuity in recipes and food rituals; adaption and development	Contextual discontinuity; lifestyle changes and life transitions; interpretive or drifting discontinuity	

Changes with life transitions, passing back new behaviours to others in the family; Following the lead or being influenced by new knowledge in the family	Reverse socialisation, intentional reverse discontinuity	Reverse Discontinuity
--	--	-----------------------

European Journal of Marketing