



Heriot-Watt University
Research Gateway

Moments of the first descending epoch for a random walk with negative drift

Citation for published version:

Foss, S & Prasolov, T 2022, 'Moments of the first descending epoch for a random walk with negative drift', *Statistics and Probability Letters*, vol. 189, 109547. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2022.109547>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.spl.2022.109547](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2022.109547)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Heriot-Watt Research Portal](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Statistics and Probability Letters

Publisher Rights Statement:

© 2022 Elsevier B.V.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Heriot-Watt Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

Heriot-Watt University has made every reasonable effort to ensure that the content in Heriot-Watt Research Portal complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact open.access@hw.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Moments of the first descending epoch for a random walk with negative drift

Sergey Foss^a, Timofei Prasolov^{b,*}

^aHeriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, EH14 4AS, UK

^bNovosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

Abstract

We consider the first descending ladder epoch $\tau = \min\{n \geq 1 : S_n \leq 0\}$ of a random walk $S_n = \sum_1^n \xi_i, n \geq 1$ with i.i.d. summands having a negative drift $\mathbb{E}\xi = -a < 0$. Let $\xi^+ = \max(0, \xi_1)$. It is well-known that, for any $\alpha > 1$, the finiteness of $\mathbb{E}(\xi^+)^\alpha$ implies the finiteness of $\mathbb{E}\tau^\alpha$ and, for any $\lambda > 0$, the finiteness of $\mathbb{E}\exp(\lambda\xi^+)$ implies that of $\mathbb{E}\exp(c\tau)$ where $c > 0$ is, in general, another constant that depends on the distribution of ξ_1 . We consider the intermediate case, assuming that $\mathbb{E}\exp(g(\xi^+)) < \infty$ for a positive increasing function g such that $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x)/\log x = \infty$ and $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x)/x = 0$, and that $\mathbb{E}\exp(\lambda\xi^+) = \infty$, for all $\lambda > 0$. Assuming a few further technical assumptions, we show that then $\mathbb{E}\exp((1 - \varepsilon)g((1 - \delta)a\tau)) < \infty$, for any $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$.

Keywords: random walk, negative drift, descending ladder epoch, existence of moments, heavy tail.

2000 MSC: 60G50, 60G40, 60K25

1. Introduction and the main result

Let $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \dots$ be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables (r.v.'s) with a common distribution function F having a finite negative mean $\mathbb{E}\xi = -a < 0$. Let $S_0 = 0, S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k, n \geq 1$ be a random walk, and $\tau = \min\{n \geq 1 : S_n \leq 0\} < \infty$ a.s. its first descending ladder epoch.

The descending ladder epoch τ plays an important role in theoretical and applied probability. In particular, τ represents the length of a busy cycle in a $GI/GI/1$ queueing system. Namely, consider a FIFO single-server queue with i.i.d. interarrival times $\{t_n\}$ with a finite mean $\mathbb{E}t_1 = a$ and independent of them i.i.d. service times $\{\sigma_n\}$ with a finite mean $\mathbb{E}\sigma_1 = b < a$. Let W_n be the waiting time of customer n . Assume $W_1 = 0$, i.e. customer 1 arrives at an empty queue. The sequence $\{W_n\}$ satisfies the *Lindley recursion*

$$W_{n+1} = \max(0, W_n + \sigma_n - t_n) \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1)$$

We may let $\xi_n = \sigma_n - t_n$ and conclude that τ is the number of customers served in the queue during the *first busy cycle*, i.e. customer $\tau + 1$ is the next customer after customer 1 that finds the queue empty.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: sergueiorfoss25@gmail.com (Sergey Foss), prasolov.tv@yandex.ru (Timofei Prasolov)

We are interested in the existence (finiteness) of moments of τ in terms of moments of the common distribution F of the summands. In particular, the existence of a power (or an exponential) moment of τ implies corresponding convergence rates in stability and continuity theorems for various single- and multi-server queueing systems, see e.g. Theorems 2 and 11 in Chapter 4 of [1].

The following results are known (see, e.g., Theorems III.3.1 and 3.2 in [6], and also [7]). Let $\alpha > 1$ and $\lambda > 0$.

$$\text{If } \mathbb{E}(\xi^+)^\alpha < \infty, \text{ then } \mathbb{E}\tau^\alpha < \infty. \quad (2)$$

$$\text{If } \mathbb{E} \exp(\lambda\xi) < \infty, \text{ then there exists } c > 0 \text{ (that depends on } F) \text{ such that } \mathbb{E} \exp(c\tau) < \infty. \quad (3)$$

One can view (2) and (3) as two particular cases of the following implication:

$$\text{If } \mathbb{E}G(\xi^+) < \infty, \text{ then } \mathbb{E}G(C\tau) < \infty, \text{ for a certain } C > 0. \quad (4)$$

Indeed, (2) is a particular case of (4) with $G(x) = x^\alpha$, and (3) a particular case of (4) with $G(x) = \exp(\lambda x)$ (clearly, for $\lambda > 0$, exponential moments $\mathbb{E} \exp(\lambda\xi)$ and $\mathbb{E} \exp(\lambda\xi^+)$ are either finite or infinite simultaneously).

In this article, we consider the intermediate case where G is a monotone function that increases faster than any power function and slower than any exponential function. It is convenient to us to use representation $G(x) = e^{g(x)}$ and work with function g instead. Here is our main result.

Theorem 1. *Assume that $\mathbb{E} \exp(c\xi) = \infty$, for any $c > 0$. If a function g satisfies conditions (C1) – (C3), introduced below, and if*

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(g(\xi)) < \infty, \quad (5)$$

then

$$\mathbb{E} \exp((1 - \varepsilon)g((a - \delta)\tau)) < \infty, \text{ for any } \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \text{ and } \delta \in (0, a). \quad (6)$$

The conditions (C1) – (C3) are as follows:

- (C1) function g is positive, increasing and differentiable;
- (C2) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g'(x) = 0$;
- (C3) there exist a constant $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\int_1^\infty \exp(-(1 - \gamma)g(x)) dx < \infty \quad (7)$$

and positive constants x_0 and A such that, for any $x_0 < y \leq x/2$,

$$g(x) - g(x - y) \leq \gamma g(y) + A. \quad (8)$$

It follows from condition (C2) that $\sup_{x \geq x_0} g'(x) \downarrow 0$ as $x_0 \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we may choose x_0 in condition (C3) and constant $B > 0$ such that

$$g'(x) < B, \text{ for } x > x_0. \quad (9)$$

Remark 1. Conditions (C1) – (C3) are given in the form that are convenient to us, they may be weakened. For example, it is not necessary to assume differentiability, and condition (C2) can be adjusted to ‘dying’ growth rate that also gives us inequality (9). However, inequalities (7) and (8) are more substantial since they target heavy-tailed “Weibull-type” and “lognormal-type” distributions.

Example 1. Here are examples of functions g that satisfy conditions (C1) – (C3):

$g_1(x) = (\log \max(x, 1))^\alpha$, $g_2(x) = (x^+)^{\beta}$ and $g_3(x) = (x^+)^{\beta} \log(\max(x, 1))$, where $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$. More generally, the functions g_1 and g_3 continue to satisfy condition (C1) – (C3) if the logarithmic function therein is replaced by a “sufficiently smooth” increasing and slowly varying function.

Remark 2. Note that one can represent (6) in an equivalent form as:

$$\mathbb{E} \exp((1 - \varepsilon)g((1 - \varepsilon)a\tau)) < \infty, \text{ for any } \varepsilon \in (0, 1).$$

On the other hand, given condition (6), the inequality in (6) also holds for function g_1 from Example 1 with $\delta = 0$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and for functions g_2 and g_3 with $\varepsilon = 0$ and any $\delta \in (0, a)$. Let us show this for g_3 . Indeed, for any $\delta_1 \in (0, a)$ there exist $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $\delta_2 \in (0, a)$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_3((a - \delta_1)x)}{(1 - \varepsilon_2)g_3((a - \delta_2)x)} = \frac{(a - \delta_1)^\beta}{(1 - \varepsilon_2)(a - \delta_2)^\beta} < 1.$$

Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(g_3((a - \delta_1)\tau)) \leq c \mathbb{E} \exp((1 - \varepsilon_2)g_3((a - \delta_2)\tau)) < \infty.$$

Our proof of the theorem includes two steps. First, we show the existence of a r.v. $\tilde{\xi} \geq_{st} \xi$ that has a strong subexponential distribution, negative mean and certain finite moments. Second, we prove that the stopping time for the random walk with new increments $\{\tilde{\xi}_n\}$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

We use the following notation and conventions. For a distribution function F on the real line, $\bar{F}(x) = 1 - F(x)$ is its *tail distribution* function. For two strictly positive functions h_1 and h_2 , equivalence $h_1(x) \sim h_2(x)$ means that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h_1(x)/h_2(x) = 1$. For two r.v.s η_1 and η_2 , stochastic inequality $\eta_1 \leq_{st} \eta_2$ means that $\mathbb{P}(\eta_1 > x) \leq \mathbb{P}(\eta_2 > x)$, for all x . For an increasing function g , its (generalised) *inverse function* g^{-1} is defined as $g^{-1}(t) = \inf\{x : g(x) > t\}$. Then the sets $\{g(x) > t\}$ and $\{x > g^{-1}(t)\}$ do coincide. A function f is *slowly varying* if $f(\lambda x)/x \rightarrow 1$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for $\lambda > 0$, and *regularly varying with exponent* α if $f(\lambda x)/x \rightarrow \lambda^\alpha$.

2. Proof of the theorem

Recall the following definitions. Let F be a distribution on the real line with right-unbounded support. We say that F is *long-tailed* if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{F}(x - 1)/\bar{F}(x) = 1$. Since the tail function \bar{F} is monotone non-increasing, its long-tailedness implies that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{F}(x - y)/\bar{F}(x) = 1$, for any $y > 0$.

Further, let a distribution F have right-unbounded support and finite mean $m = \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(y)dy$ on the positive half line. We say that F is *strong subexponential* and write $F \in S^*$ if $\int_0^x \bar{F}(x - y)\bar{F}(y)dy \sim 2m\bar{F}(x)$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

The strong subexponentiality is a *tail property*: if a distribution function F is strong subexponential and if G is another distribution function such that $\bar{F}(x) \sim \bar{G}(x)$, then G is also strong subexponential (see, e.g., [4], Theorem 3.11).

2.1. Step one: an upper-bound random variable having a strong subexponential distribution

Let $\zeta = \exp(g(\xi))$. Since $\mathbb{E}\zeta < \infty$, $\mathbb{P}\{\zeta > x\} = o(x^{-1})$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then, in particular, one can choose $K \geq \exp(g(x_0))$ (where constant x_0 is from condition (C3)) such that $\mathbb{P}\{\zeta > x\} \leq Kx^{-1}$, for all $x > 0$, and introduce a new non-negative r.v. $\hat{\zeta}$ with the tail distribution

$$\mathbb{P}\{\hat{\zeta} > x\} = \min(1, Kx^{-1}), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{P}\{\zeta > x\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\hat{\zeta} > x\}$, for all x , and $\mathbb{E}\hat{\zeta}^{1-\varepsilon} < \infty$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Lemma 1. *Under the assumptions (C1) – (C3), the r.v. $\hat{\xi} = g^{-1}(\ln(\hat{\zeta}))$ has a strong subexponential distribution \hat{F} .*

Proof. We use the following result.

Proposition 1. *(This is a part of Theorem 3.30 from [4]). Let F be a long-tailed distribution on the real line. Let $R(x) = -\ln \bar{F}(x)$. Suppose that there exist $\gamma < 1$ and $A' < \infty$ such that*

$$R(x) - R(x - y) \leq \gamma R(y) + A', \quad (11)$$

for all $x > 0$ and $y \in [0, x/2]$. If, in addition,

$$\text{the function } \exp(-(1 - \gamma)R(x)) \text{ is integrable over } [0, \infty), \quad (12)$$

then $F \in \mathcal{S}^*$.

To apply Proposition 1, we need to verify the long-tailedness of \hat{F} and conditions (11) and (12). First, we show the long-tailedness of \hat{F} . For a fixed $y > 0$ and large x , we have $\mathbb{P}\{\hat{\xi} > x + y\} = K \exp(-g(x + y))$.

From the first-order Taylor expansion $g(x + y) = g(x) + yg'(z)$, for some $z \in (x, x + y)$, and from condition (C2) we get

$$1 \geq \frac{\mathbb{P}\{\hat{\xi} > x + y\}}{\mathbb{P}\{\hat{\xi} > x\}} \geq \frac{\exp(-g(x) - yg'(z))}{\exp(-g(x))} = \exp(-yg'(z)) = \exp(o(1)) = (1 + o(1)),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the distribution of $\hat{\xi}$ is long-tailed.

Second, we verify condition (11). It is equivalent to

$$\frac{\bar{H}(x - y)}{\bar{H}(x)} \leq \frac{\exp(A')}{\bar{H}^\gamma(y)}, \quad (13)$$

where $\bar{H}(x) = \mathbb{P}\{\hat{\zeta} > \exp(g(x))\}$. We take γ from condition (C3). Next we show the existence of an appropriate constant A' .

Let $x_1 = \inf\{x : \overline{H}(x) < 1\}$. Since we have chosen $K > \exp(g(x_0))$, we get $x_1 \geq x_0$. We consider four cases depending on whether $\overline{H}(x) = 1$ or $\overline{H}(x) = K \exp(-g(x))$.

Assume $x \leq x_1$. Then inequality (13) holds if we take $A' \geq 0$.

Assume $x - y \leq x_1 < x$. Then (13) is equivalent to $K^{-1} \exp(g(x)) \leq \exp(A')$. Since $x/2 \leq x - y \leq x_1$, inequality $A' \geq g(2x_1) - \ln K$ is a sufficient condition on A' to satisfy (13).

Assume $y \leq x_1 < x - y$. Then (13) is equivalent to $\exp(g(x) - g(x - y)) \leq \exp(A')$. Since $g(x - y) = g(x) - yg'(z)$, for $z \in (x - y, x)$, we have $g(x) - g(x - y) = yg'(z) < Bx_1$. Therefore, it is sufficient to assume $A' \geq Bx_1$.

Next, assume $y > x_1$. Then (13) is equivalent to $\exp(g(x) - g(x - y)) \leq K^{-\gamma} \exp(\gamma g(y) + A')$. From condition (C3) it is sufficient to assume $A' \geq A + \gamma \ln K$ for the Proposition 2 to hold.

Finally, condition (12) follows directly from (C3). ■

By construction, $\mathbb{E} \exp((1 - \varepsilon)g(\widehat{\xi})) = \mathbb{E} \widehat{\xi}^{1-\varepsilon} < \infty$. However, we need our upper-bound to have sufficiently close mean to the original. Thus, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Assume that conditions (C1) – (C3) hold. For any $\delta \in (0, a)$, we can introduce a r.v. $\widetilde{\xi}$ such that $\widetilde{\xi} = g^{-1}(\ln(\widetilde{\zeta}))$ has a strong subexponential distribution, $\widetilde{\xi} \geq_{st} \xi$ and, in addition, $\mathbb{E} \widetilde{\xi} < \mathbb{E} \xi + \delta = -a + \delta < 0$.*

Proof. Since the distributions of ξ and $\widehat{\xi}$ have right-unbounded support, for all $V > 0$ we can find $V' > V$ such that there exists r.v. $\widetilde{\xi}$ with right tail

$$\mathbb{P}\{\widetilde{\xi} > t\} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}\{\xi > t\}, & t < V, \\ \mathbb{P}\{\xi > V\}, & V \leq t < V', \\ \mathbb{P}\{\widehat{\xi} > t\}, & t \geq V'. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\xi \leq_{st} \widetilde{\xi} \leq_{st} \widehat{\xi}$. Since $\widetilde{\xi}$ and $\widehat{\xi}$ have the same right tail, $\widetilde{\xi}$ has a strong subexponential distribution. By choosing sufficiently large V we can make $\mathbb{E} \widetilde{\xi} = \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\xi}; \widetilde{\xi} \leq V) + \int_V^\infty \mathbb{P}\{\widetilde{\xi} > t\} dt = \mathbb{E}(\xi; \xi \leq V) + \int_V^\infty \mathbb{P}\{\widehat{\xi} > t\} dt < -a + \delta$. ■

2.2. Step two: existence of moments of the first descending epoch for strong subexponential distributions

We have introduced a r.v. $\widetilde{\xi}$ with negative drift $\mathbb{E} \widetilde{\xi} = -\widetilde{a} < -a + \delta < 0$ and a finite moment $\mathbb{E} \exp((1 - \varepsilon)g(\widetilde{\xi})) < \infty$, such that $\xi \leq_{st} \widetilde{\xi}$. Now we want to show that the stopping time $\widetilde{\tau}$ satisfies $\mathbb{E} \exp((1 - \varepsilon)g((a - \delta)\widetilde{\tau})) < \infty$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the distribution \widetilde{F} of the r.v.'s $\widetilde{\xi}_k$ is bounded below, i.e. $\widetilde{\xi}_k \geq -L$ a.s., for some $L \in (0, \infty)$. Indeed, let us choose an arbitrary $L > 0$ and take $\xi'_i = \max(\widetilde{\xi}_i, -L)$, $i \geq 1$. Then the random walk $S'_0 = 0$, $S'_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi'_k$ satisfies $S'_n \geq \widetilde{S}_n$ a.s., for all n and, therefore, $\tau' = \inf\{n \geq 1 : S'_n \leq 0\} \geq \widetilde{\tau}$ a.s.

By taking L large enough, we can make $\mathbb{E}\xi' = \mathbb{E}\tilde{\xi} - \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\xi} + L; \tilde{\xi} \leq -L)$ as close to $\mathbb{E}\tilde{\xi}$ as one wishes and, in particular, smaller than zero. Since $\sup_{x \leq 0} g(x) < \infty$, condition (5) implies the finiteness of $\mathbb{E} \exp(g(\xi'))$ too. If we prove the statement of Theorem 1 for the random walk with increments ξ'_n , then we prove it for the initial random walk, too.

We write $h(\cdot) = (1 - \varepsilon)g(\cdot)$ for short. We prove now that $\mathbb{E} \exp(h((a - \delta)\tilde{\tau})) < \infty$. Let $\chi = S_{\tilde{\tau}}$, $\chi \in [-L, 0]$. We have

$$(a - \delta)\tilde{\tau} = (a - \delta)\tilde{\tau} + \chi - \chi \leq ((a - \delta)\tilde{\tau} + \chi) + L = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{\tau}} (\tilde{\xi}_i + a - \delta) + L.$$

Let $\psi_i = \tilde{\xi}_i + a - \delta$. Thus, $\mathbb{E}\psi_1 < 0$ and, since $\mathbb{P}\{\psi_1 > x\} \sim \mathbb{P}\{\tilde{\xi}_1 > x\}$, r.v. ψ_1 has a strong subexponential distribution. From inequality (9) and the first-order Taylor expansion for h we get $h(x + y) \leq h(x) + (1 - \varepsilon)By$, for $x > x_0$, and thus,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(h((a - \delta)\tilde{\tau})) \leq \mathbb{E} \exp \left(h \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{\tau}} \psi_i + L \right) \right) \leq \exp(h(x_0 + L)) + \exp((1 - \varepsilon)BL) \mathbb{E} \exp \left(h \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{\tau}} \psi_i \right) \right).$$

Further,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(h \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{\tau}} \psi_i \right) \right) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exp \left(h \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{\tau}} \psi_i \right) \right) > t \right\} dt \leq \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{\tau}} \psi_i > h^{-1}(\ln t) \right\} dt. \quad (14)$$

Next, we need the following result:

Proposition 2. (Theorem 1 in [5]). *Let $\mathbb{E} \psi < 0$ and let τ be a stopping time for $\{\psi_n\}$. Denote $M_\tau = \max_{0 \leq j \leq \tau} \sum_{i=1}^j \psi_i$ and let $F_\psi(x)$ be the distribution function of ψ . Under condition $F_\psi \in \mathcal{S}^*$ we have*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P\{M_\tau > x\}}{F_\psi(x)} = \mathbb{E}\tau.$$

Clearly, we can apply Proposition 2: we have $\mathbb{E}\psi < 0$, and ψ has a strong subexponential distribution. Also, the r.v. $\tilde{\tau}$ is a stopping time w.r.t. $\{\tilde{\xi}_n\}$ and, therefore, w.r.t. $\{\psi_n\}$. Thus, the conditions of Proposition 2 hold. Now, combining this with (14), we get that, for every $\Delta > 0$, there exists a constant N such that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(h \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{\tau}} \psi_i \right) \right) \leq N + \int_N^\infty \mathbb{P}\{M_{\tilde{\tau}} > h^{-1}(\ln t)\} dt \leq N + (\mathbb{E}\tilde{\tau} + \Delta) \int_N^\infty \mathbb{P}\{\tilde{\xi} + a - \delta > h^{-1}(\ln t)\} dt,$$

and the integral on the right-hand side of the latter inequality is finite. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

3. Further comments

In our theorem, the coefficients $(1 - \varepsilon)$ and $(1 - \delta)$ appear because the first moment of the upper-bound distribution in (10) is infinite. The following nice result may help to eliminate the coefficients under certain assumptions discussed below.

Proposition 3. (Corollary 1 in [2]) *Let ζ be a nonnegative r.v. and $\mathbb{E}\zeta^\alpha < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then there exists a r.v. $\hat{\zeta}$ such that $\mathbb{E}\hat{\zeta}^\alpha < \infty$, $\mathbb{P}\{\hat{\zeta} > t\}$ is a function of regular variation with exponent $-\alpha$, and $\zeta \leq_{st} \hat{\zeta}$.*

We can apply Proposition 3 with $\alpha = 1$, $\zeta = \exp(g(\xi))$, and then the upper bound $\widehat{\zeta}$ has the tail distribution $\mathbb{P}\{\widehat{\zeta} \geq x\} \sim l(x)/x$, which is integrable. Here $l(x)$ is a slowly varying function. If in addition $l(x)$ is sufficiently smooth (to be justified), there is a chance to show that $\widehat{\xi} = g^{-1}(\ln \widehat{\zeta})$ has a strong subexponential distribution and $\mathbb{E} \exp(g(\widehat{\xi})) < \infty$. Then the statement of the theorem holds with $\varepsilon = \delta = 0$.

Another way to apply Proposition 3 is to provide an alternative proof of Theorem III.3.1 in [6]. Indeed, in this case the distribution of $(\xi^+)^{\alpha}$ possesses an integrable majorant having a regularly varying distribution. Since any power of a regularly varying function is also a regularly varying function, the distribution of ξ^+ possesses a majorant having a regularly varying distribution with finite moment of order α . And it is known that any regularly varying distribution with finite mean is strong subexponential.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by RSF grant No. 17-11-01173.

References

- [1] Borovkov, A.A. (1984). Asymptotic methods in queueing theory. *Wiley*.
- [2] Denisov, D. (2006). On the existence of a regularly varying majorant of an integrable monotone function. *Mathematical Notes*, **79**, 129-133.
- [3] Denisov, D., Foss, S. and Korshunov, D. (2010). Asymptotics of randomly stopped sums in the presence of heavy tails. *Bernoulli*, **16**, 971-994.
- [4] Foss, S., Korshunov, D., Zachary, S. (2011) An Introduction to Heavy-Tailed and Subexponential Distributions. *Springer-Verlag*.
- [5] Foss, S. and Zachary, S. (2003). The maximum on a random time interval of a random walk with long-tailed increments and negative drift. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, **13**, 37-53.
- [6] Gut, A. (2009) Stopped Random Walks: Limit Theorems and Applications. *Springer-Verlag New York*.
- [7] Heyde, C. C. (1964). Two probability theorems and their application to some first passage problem. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **4**, 699-710.