



Heriot-Watt University
Research Gateway

Spectral properties of Schwarzschild instantons

Citation for published version:

Jante, R & Schroers, BJ 2016, 'Spectral properties of Schwarzschild instantons', *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, vol. 33, no. 20, 205008. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/20/205008>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1088/0264-9381/33/20/205008](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/20/205008)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Heriot-Watt Research Portal](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Classical and Quantum Gravity

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Heriot-Watt Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

Heriot-Watt University has made every reasonable effort to ensure that the content in Heriot-Watt Research Portal complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact open.access@hw.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Spectral properties of Schwarzschild instantons

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2016 Class. Quantum Grav. 33 205008

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0264-9381/33/20/205008>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 137.195.8.21

This content was downloaded on 08/11/2016 at 16:32

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

You may also be interested in:

[Killing–Yano tensor and supersymmetry of the self-dual Plebanski–Demiaski solution](#)

Masato Nozawa and Tsuyoshi Hourii

[Integrable structures in quantum field theory](#)

Stefano Negro

[Numerical methods for finding stationary gravitational solutions](#)

Óscar J C Dias, Jorge E Santos and Benson Way

[The Klein–Gordon-Fock equation in the curved spacetime of the Kerr–Newman \(anti\) de Sitter black hole](#)

G V Kraniotis

[On the relation between reduced quantisation and quantum reduction for spherical symmetry in loop quantum gravity](#)

N Bodendorfer and A Zipfel

[Comments on supersymmetric solutions of minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions](#)

Davide Cassani, Jakob Lorenzen and Dario Martelli

Spectral properties of Schwarzschild instantons

Rogelio Jante and Bernd J Schroers¹

Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences and Department of Mathematics, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, UK

E-mail: rogelio_jante@hotmail.com and b.j.schroers@hw.ac.uk

Received 11 May 2016, revised 25 August 2016

Accepted for publication 30 August 2016

Published 26 September 2016



CrossMark

Abstract

We study spectral properties of the Dirac and scalar Laplace operator on the Euclidean Schwarzschild space, both twisted by a family of abelian connections with anti-self-dual curvature. We show that the zero-modes of the gauged Dirac operator, first studied by Pope, take a particularly simple form in terms of the radius of the Euclidean time orbits, and interpret them in the context of geometric models of matter. For the gauged Laplace operator, we study the spectrum of bound states numerically and observe that it can be approximated with remarkable accuracy by that of the exactly solvable gauged Laplace operator on the Euclidean Taub-NUT space.

Keywords: Euclidean Schwarzschild space, Euclidean Taub-NUT space, Dirac operator, spectrum of Laplace operator

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

The Euclidean Schwarzschild (ES) geometry is one of the simplest and best-studied solutions of the Euclidean Einstein equations in four dimensions. It has also been known for a long time that it supports a family of abelian instantons, i.e., (anti-) self-dual solutions of the (Euclidean) Maxwell equations. The purpose of this paper is to explore the effect of minimally coupling this family to the Dirac and scalar Laplace operator on the spectrum of these operators.

¹ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.



Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

The ES space was first considered by Hawking in [1] as an example of a gravitational instanton. The transition from the usual Lorentzian to the Euclidean Schwarzschild geometry involves a Wick rotation into an imaginary time direction and, crucially, the compactification of the Euclidean time to a circle.

Topologically, the ES space is a product of the two-sphere and the two-plane, but in anticipation of the metric structure we think of the latter as the open disk D^2 . The isometry group of the ES metric is $O(3) \times O(2)$, with $O(3)$ acting in the standard fashion on S^2 , and $O(2)$ acting naturally on the disk, fixing its origin O . In the terminology of [2], the two-sphere $\{O\} \times S^2$ of fixed points is a bolt; away from the bolt, ES space has the structure of a trivial circle bundle.

In the context of the recently proposed geometric models of matter [3], non-compact four-manifolds which are asymptotic circle fibrations are interpreted as models for particles, with the Chern number of the asymptotic bundle modelling a particle's electric charge. In [3], only compact four-manifolds were considered for neutral particles, but once non-compact manifolds are included, the ES space emerges as a natural candidate model for the neutron [4]. While this remains a speculative proposal, it triggered the work presented here.

The main motivation of this paper, however, lies in the intrinsic interest of the spectral properties of gravitational instantons, particularly those which are asymptotically locally flat, like the Taub-NUT (TN) geometry, the Atiyah–Hitchin manifold or the ES space. All of these support abelian instantons, and the spectral properties of the Dirac and scalar Laplace operators on these spaces, minimally coupled to the abelian gauge field, are very rich. The scalar Laplace operators display all the phenomena known from standard three-dimensional quantum mechanics—bound states, resonances and scattering—but do so in a completely geometrical and smooth context, see [5–9] for details.

Among the gravitational instantons, the TN space plays a role akin to that of the hydrogen atom in atomic physics. Topologically, it is simply \mathbb{R}^4 , but, away from the origin, it is useful to think of it as a non-trivial disk bundle over S^2 , with the fibre collapsing at the origin (the nut). There is a dynamical symmetry which allows for the spectrum to be determined exactly. Moreover, coupling the abelian instanton leads to a spectral problem which combines the interesting features of Landau levels ‘in the fibre’ with a ‘Coulomb problem in the base’ [9].

An important theme of this paper is the similarity between the spectral properties of the TN space and those of the ES space, both coupled to their respective abelian instantons. The similarities can all be traced back to a simple geometrical fact, which does not appear to have been pointed out in the literature: the metrics of the disk fibres in TN (where they are twisted) and in ES (untwisted) are the *same*. Qualitatively, the fibre metric is that of a cigar-shaped submanifold of Euclidean three-space.

To end this introduction, we summarise the organisation of the paper together with our main results. In section 2, we review the derivation of the ES geometry. We also review the family of abelian instantons on the ES space, first discussed in [10] and recently in more detail in [11]. These instantons are labelled by an integer and play a key role in our discussion. Restricted to any of the two-spheres, they are essentially Dirac monopoles, and the integer is the magnetic charge, denoted p in this paper.

In section 3, we revisit the result due to Pope [10] that the Dirac operator twisted by an abelian instanton of charge p has a kernel of dimension $|p|^2$. We exhibit the zero-modes in complex coordinates on S^2 and on D^2 , and show that they take a particularly simple, essentially holomorphic form. In geometric models of matter, zero-modes of Dirac operators on four-manifolds are potential candidates for describing the spin degrees of freedom of an elementary particle. We therefore decompose the kernel of the Dirac operator into irreducible

representations of the spin group $SU(2)$, and show that, for magnetic charge p , the kernel contains precisely $|p|$ copies of the $|p|$ -dimensional representation.

Section 4 contains our results on the spectrum of the gauged scalar Laplace operator. We show that, unlike in the ungauged case studied in [12], the gauged Laplace operator has bound states, and compute their eigenvalues numerically. We show that the radial eigenvalue problem can be mapped onto the radial eigenvalue problem in the TN case, and, in this way, obtain an approximate formula for the eigenvalues which agrees with the numerically calculated eigenvalues to a remarkable accuracy (less than 0.01% discrepancy for the 11th or higher eigenvalues).

The final section 5 contains a discussion of our results, while the appendix gives a description of monopole spherical harmonics as local sections of suitable powers of the hyperplane bundle over S^2 , which we use throughout the paper.

Even though our calculations may have applications in quantum gravity, we adopt a more general, geometrical viewpoint in most of the paper and suppress physical constants. From the point of view of Euclidean quantum gravity, our units correspond to setting $c = G = \hbar = 1$.

2. ES Geometry

2.1. Vacuum Einstein equations

Natural coordinates on the ES space which make its $O(3) \times O(2)$ symmetry manifest are spherical coordinates (θ, ϕ) on S^2 and polar coordinates τ (the periodic Euclidean time) and r on D^2 . In terms of these, the metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = a^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) + f^2 dr^2 + c^2 d\tau^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where a , c and f are functions of r . The function f can be chosen to fix the radial coordinate r , but a and c are then determined as functions of r by the vanishing of the Ricci tensor. Geometrically, a determines the size of the $O(3)$ orbits and c the size of the $O(2)$ orbits. The function c vanishes on the bolt, where a takes its smallest value. The bolt is the Euclidean analogue of the horizon of the Schwarzschild black hole [13].

For our study of the Dirac and Laplace operator on the ES space we require a detailed understanding of the Riemannian geometry and the spin connection. We therefore briefly go through the solution of the Einstein equations, emphasising the choice of c as radial coordinate. Even though this is geometrically natural, it does not appear to have been considered in the literature. It is useful for us because we are interested in the comparison with the TN geometry, which was studied in analogous coordinates in [4].

We begin without fixing a radial or Euclidean time coordinate in (2.1). We write a' and c' for the derivatives of the coefficient functions a and c with respect to the generic radial coordinate r , and choose the vierbein

$$e^1 = a d\theta, \quad e^2 = a \sin\theta d\phi, \quad e^3 = f dr, \quad e^4 = c d\tau, \quad (2.2)$$

so that the dual vector fields, defined via $e^\alpha(E_\beta) = \delta^\alpha_\beta$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 4$, are

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{a} \partial_\theta, \quad E_2 = \frac{1}{a \sin\theta} \partial_\phi, \quad E_3 = \frac{1}{f} \partial_r, \quad E_4 = \frac{1}{c} \partial_\tau. \quad (2.3)$$

Calculating the components of the spin connection one-forms ω^α_β from $de^\alpha + \omega^\alpha_\beta \wedge e^\beta = 0$ (where the indices are placed up or down for convenience) and $\omega^\alpha_\beta = -\omega^\beta_\alpha$, we find the non-vanishing components

$$\omega^3_1 = -\frac{a'}{af}e^1, \quad \omega^3_2 = -\frac{a'}{af}e^2, \quad \omega^1_2 = -\frac{\cos\theta}{a\sin\theta}e^2, \quad \omega^4_3 = \frac{c'}{cf}e^4, \quad (2.4)$$

so that the non-vanishing Riemann curvature two-forms $R^\alpha_\beta = d\omega^\alpha_\beta + \omega^\alpha_\gamma \wedge \omega^\gamma_\beta$ come out as

$$\begin{aligned} R^1_2 &= \frac{1}{a^2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{a'}{f} \right)^2 \right] e^1 \wedge e^2, & R^3_1 &= -\frac{1}{af} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{a'}{f} \right) e^3 \wedge e^1, \\ R^3_2 &= -\frac{1}{af} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{a'}{f} \right) e^3 \wedge e^2, & R^4_1 &= -\frac{a'c'}{acf^2} e^4 \wedge e^1, \\ R^4_2 &= -\frac{a'c'}{acf^2} e^4 \wedge e^2, & R^4_3 &= -\frac{1}{cf} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{c'}{f} \right) e^4 \wedge e^3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

It follows that the Ricci tensor $R_{\alpha\beta} = R^\gamma_{\alpha\gamma\beta}$ is diagonal. Using spherical symmetry (or explicitly from (2.5)) we note that $R_{11} = R_{22}$, and so that the vanishing of the Ricci tensor gives three independent equations, from the vanishing of R_{11} , R_{33} and R_{44} :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{af} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{a'}{f} \right) + \frac{a'c'}{acf^2} - \frac{1}{a^2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{a'}{f} \right)^2 \right) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{cf} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{c'}{f} \right) + \frac{2}{af} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{a'}{f} \right) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{cf} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{c'}{f} \right) + \frac{2a'c'}{acf^2} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

From the last two equations we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{a'}{f} \right) = \frac{a'c'}{cf}, \quad (2.7)$$

which can be integrated to give

$$\frac{a'}{f} = \frac{c}{\Lambda}, \quad (2.8)$$

where Λ is a constant of dimension length. Inserting this into the first equation in (2.6) yields

$$\frac{2a}{f} \frac{c'}{\Lambda} = 1 - \frac{c^2}{\Lambda^2}. \quad (2.9)$$

We have obtained the two first order equations (2.8) and (2.9) from the three second order equation (2.6), but in fact the two equations (2.8) and (2.9) are equivalent to (2.6). To show this, solve (2.9) for c' , differentiate and use (2.9) again to deduce the third equation in (2.6). Together with (2.8) this then implies the second equation in (2.6). The first equation in (2.6) follows directly from (2.9) upon substitution of (2.8) and its differentiated form (2.7).

Eliminating f from the two equations (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain a differential relation between a and c which holds for any choice of radial coordinate:

$$d \ln \left(1 - \frac{c^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) = -d \ln a. \quad (2.10)$$

Integrating gives

$$a = \frac{L}{1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}}, \quad (2.11)$$

where L is the value of a when $c = 0$, i.e., L is the radius of the bolt. It has the dimension of length and is the only free parameter of the ES metric. It is therefore natural to rescale c so that $\Lambda = L$. Then c takes values in $[0, L)$ and a in $[L, \infty)$, and we have the following relations which will be used frequently in the following:

$$a = \frac{L}{1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}}, \quad \text{or} \quad 1 - \frac{L}{a} = \frac{c^2}{L^2}. \quad (2.12)$$

All the results derived so far are independent of the choice of the radial coordinate r . A geometrically natural choice for the radial coordinate is the function c itself. With $r = c$ we have $c' = 1$, so that (2.9) with $\Lambda = L$ gives

$$f = \frac{\frac{2a}{L}}{1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}} = \frac{2}{\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}\right)^2}, \quad (2.13)$$

and the ES metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{L^2}{\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}\right)^2} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) + 4 \frac{dc^2}{\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}\right)^4} + c^2 d\tau^2. \quad (2.14)$$

The metric $ds^2 = 4dc^2/\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}\right)^4 + c^2 d\tau^2$ on the D^2 factor of the ES space simplifies to $4dc^2 + c^2 d\tau^2$ near the origin. For the metric to be regular there, we therefore require τ to be an angular coordinate of range $[0, 4\pi)$. Defining a new angular coordinate

$$\chi = \frac{\tau}{2}, \quad (2.15)$$

with the usual range $[0, 2\pi)$, the metric on D^2 is

$$ds^2 = 4 \frac{dc^2}{\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}\right)^4} + 4c^2 d\chi^2, \quad c \in [0, L), \quad \chi \in [0, 2\pi). \quad (2.16)$$

The finite range of c is our reason for referring to this submanifold of the ES space as a disk.

The two-dimensional geometry defined by (2.16) can be visualised as a cigar-shaped surface embedded in Euclidean three-space. The Gauss curvature is maximal at the tip, where it equals $1/L^2$. Far away from the tip, the cigar is approximately a cylinder of radius $2L$. Thus, we find that the single length parameter L of the ES geometry appears in three apparently distinct guises: the radius of the bolt, the curvature of the cigar at the bolt and half of the asymptotic radius of the $O(2)$ orbits.

The choice of c as a radial coordinate and the use of the angular coordinate χ brings the ES metric into the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{L^2}{\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}\right)^2} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) + 4 \frac{dc^2}{\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}\right)^4} + 4c^2 d\chi^2, \quad (2.17)$$

which is remarkably similar to the TN metric when similarly parametrised in terms of the radius of its circle fibre:

$$ds_{\text{TN}}^2 = \frac{c^2}{\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}\right)^2} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) + 4 \frac{dc^2}{\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}\right)^4} + c^2 (d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi)^2. \quad (2.18)$$

Here ψ is the angular fibre coordinate for the TN geometry, with range $[0, 4\pi)$, see [4] for details. The TN geometry differs from the ES geometry in that its circle fibration is non-trivial, and the circles only shrink to zero size at one point (the nut, in the terminology of [2]) rather than on a two-sphere. However, both the ES and the TN spaces contain a two-sphere's worth of cigar-shaped submanifolds with the (same!) metric (2.16). In particular, in both cases the length scale associated with the asymptotic radius and the Gauss curvature at the tip is the same.

To end our discussion of the ES geometry, we show how to switch from the radial coordinate c to the more conventional Schwarzschild radial coordinate. This is traditionally also denoted r and we use this notation here even though it is strictly a specific choice for the generic coordinate r used earlier. The radial coordinate introduced by Schwarzschild (in the Lorentzian context) is the radius of the $O(3)$ -orbits in the ES geometry, so in terms of our notation (2.1), $r = a$. Inserting into (2.12) and solving for c and f gives

$$c = L \sqrt{1 - \frac{L}{r}}, \quad f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{L}{r}}}, \quad (2.19)$$

which result in the familiar form of the ES metric:

$$ds^2 = r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) + \frac{1}{V} dr^2 + 4L^2 V d\chi^2, \quad V = 1 - \frac{L}{r}, \quad (2.20)$$

with the following ranges of the coordinates:

$$r \geq L, \quad 0 \leq \chi < 2\pi, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi. \quad (2.21)$$

2.2. Abelian instantons on the ES space

Rotationally invariant, square-integrable, closed and (anti-) self-dual two-forms on the TN and ES spaces are discussed by Pope in [10]. They are solutions of the Euclidean Maxwell equations on these spaces with minimal (Euclidean) action. Interpreting them as curvatures of abelian connections, Pope also studied the Dirac operator minimally coupled to these connections. The two-form on the TN space is globally exact (though not L^2 -exact), but the two-form on the ES space is essentially a Dirac monopole field and is only locally exact. Possible gauge potentials are discussed in [10] and also more recently in [11], but both papers consider singular gauge potentials. We will avoid singularities in our treatment and therefore review the curvature and its gauge potentials.

In our notation, and with the orientation defined by the volume form

$$e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4 = 2a^2 fc \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi \wedge dr \wedge d\chi, \quad (2.22)$$

the two-form considered in [10, 11] is anti-self-dual, and can be written as

$$F = \frac{ip}{2a^2} (-e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4) = -\frac{ip}{2} \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi + \frac{ipfc}{a^2} dr \wedge d\chi. \quad (2.23)$$

The first term in the last expression is the field of a Dirac monopole, and can be written as the exterior derivative of the usual gauge potentials $\frac{ip}{2} (\cos \theta \pm 1) d\phi$. Using (2.8), we can write the second term as

$$\frac{ipfc}{a^2}dr \wedge d\chi = \frac{ipLa'}{a^2}dr \wedge d\chi = ipd\left(-\frac{L}{a}d\chi\right), \quad (2.24)$$

so that the term in brackets is a natural candidate for a gauge potential. This is the choice adopted in [10], but it is singular at the bolt, where $a = L$ but the angle χ is not defined. We obtain a regular gauge potential by adding $d\chi$, leading to the overall gauge potentials

$$A_N = i\frac{P}{2}(\cos\theta - 1)d\phi + ip\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right)d\chi, \quad A_S = i\frac{P}{2}(\cos\theta + 1) + ip\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right)d\chi, \quad (2.25)$$

which are well defined on the northern and southern hemispheres of S^2 respectively. They are related to each other by a $U(1)$ gauge transformation on the overlap

$$A_N = A_S + \gamma^{-1}d\gamma, \quad (2.26)$$

where $\gamma = e^{-ip\phi}$. Hence p is an integer by the Dirac quantisation condition; from a three-dimensional point of view (ignoring the fibre), it specifies the magnetic charge ‘inside the bolt’.

Continuing our comparison with the situation on the TN space we note that, as a result of (2.12), the contraction of the gauge potential with the generator ∂_χ of the $U(1)$ isometry of the ES space is

$$\iota_{\partial_\chi} A_N = \iota_{\partial_\chi} A_S = ip\frac{c^2}{L^2}. \quad (2.27)$$

This is formally also the function one obtains when contracting the gauge potential

$$ip\frac{c^2}{2L^2}(\cos\theta d\phi + d\psi) \quad (2.28)$$

for the self-dual two-form on the TN space with the generator $2\partial_\psi$ of the $U(1)$ isometry of TN, see [4] for details.

3. The gauged Dirac operator and its zero-modes

3.1. The gauged Dirac operator on the ES space

In this section we compute the zero-modes of the Dirac operator on the ES space coupled to the gauge potential (2.25). Our results confirm the result of Pope in [10] that the space of normalisable zero-modes has dimension $|p|^2$, but we are particularly interested in the transformation behaviour of the zero-modes under the spin group $SU(2)$ covering the isometry group $SO(3)$ of the ES geometry. The notation and technology we use is similar to that used in the analysis of the Dirac zero-modes on TN space in [8], and designed to ease the comparison between the ES and TN situation.

In particular, we use complex coordinates on the two-sphere obtained by the stereographic projection from the south pole, see [8] for details on our conventions

$$z = \tan\frac{\theta}{2}e^{i\phi}, \quad \bar{z} = \tan\frac{\theta}{2}e^{-i\phi}, \quad (3.1)$$

which are regular on a ‘northern patch’, covering all but the south pole of the two-sphere. We then work with the gauge potential A_N (2.25), which is well defined on this patch. With the abbreviation

$$q = 1 + \bar{z}z, \quad (3.2)$$

one checks that

$$A_N = \frac{p}{2q}(zd\bar{z} - \bar{z}dz) + ip\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right)d\chi. \quad (3.3)$$

As in [8] we adopt the Clifford algebra convention

$$\{\gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\beta\} = -2\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.4)$$

and the following choice of γ -matrices:

$$\gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau_i \\ -\tau_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\tau_0 \\ -i\tau_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.5)$$

where τ_0 is the 2×2 identity matrix and τ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, are the Pauli matrices. Then

$$[\gamma^i, \gamma^j] = -2i\epsilon_{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_k & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad [\gamma^4, \gamma^i] = 2i \begin{pmatrix} \tau_i & 0 \\ 0 & -\tau_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.6)$$

so that, with the components ω^a_b given in (2.4), the spin connection

$$\Gamma = -\frac{1}{8}[\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\beta]\omega_{\alpha\beta} \quad (3.7)$$

comes out as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma = & \frac{-a'}{2f} \begin{pmatrix} i\tau_2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\tau_2 \end{pmatrix} d\theta + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i\cos\theta}{2}\tau_3 + \frac{ia'\sin\theta}{2f}\tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{i\cos\theta}{2}\tau_3 + \frac{ia'\sin\theta}{2f}\tau_1 \end{pmatrix} d\phi \\ & + \frac{c'}{f} \begin{pmatrix} -i\tau_3 & 0 \\ 0 & i\tau_3 \end{pmatrix} d\chi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We know from (2.9) and (2.12) that

$$\frac{c'}{f} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}\right)^2, \quad (3.9)$$

which does not vanish when $c = 0$. Hence the spin connection (3.8) is singular at the bolt, where χ is not defined. As for the abelian connection discussed in the previous section, we can switch to a regular gauge by applying the (singular) gauge transformation

$$g(\chi) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\frac{\chi}{2}\tau_3} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{\chi}{2}\tau_3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.10)$$

However, it is more convenient to solve the Dirac equation in the singular gauge (3.8) and to apply the gauge transformation (3.10) to the solution. Since the gauge transformation satisfies

$$g(\chi = 2\pi) = -1 \quad (3.11)$$

we will need to impose $\psi(\chi + 2\pi) = -\psi(\chi)$ on spinors when solving the Dirac equation in the singular gauge.

With the conventions of [8] for the Dirac operator on a manifold with orthonormal frame E_α minimally coupled to an abelian connection A

$$\not{D}_A = \gamma^\alpha L_{E_\alpha}(d + A + \Gamma), \quad (3.12)$$

we find the following form of the Dirac operator on the ES space minimally coupled to the connection (3.3):

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\text{ES},p} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{T}_p^\dagger \\ \tilde{T}_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\tilde{T}_p = - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{f}\partial_r + \frac{i}{2c}\partial_\chi - \frac{p}{2c}\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right) + \frac{a'}{af} + \frac{c'}{2cf} & \frac{1}{a}\bar{\partial}_s \\ \frac{1}{a}\bar{\partial}_s & -\frac{1}{f}\partial_r + \frac{i}{2c}\partial_\chi - \frac{p}{2c}\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right) - \frac{a'}{af} - \frac{c'}{2cf} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{T}_p^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{f}\partial_r - \frac{i}{2c}\partial_\chi + \frac{p}{2c}\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right) + \frac{a'}{af} + \frac{c'}{2cf} & \frac{1}{a}\bar{\partial}_s \\ \frac{1}{a}\bar{\partial}_s & -\frac{1}{f}\partial_r - \frac{i}{2c}\partial_\chi + \frac{p}{2c}\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right) - \frac{a'}{af} - \frac{c'}{2cf} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.14)$$

Here we have used the ‘edth’ operators

$$\bar{\partial}_s = \partial_\theta + i\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\phi - s\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}, \quad s = \frac{1}{2}(p-1),$$

$$\bar{\partial}_{\bar{s}} = \partial_\theta - i\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\phi + \bar{s}\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}, \quad \bar{s} = \frac{1}{2}(p+1), \quad (3.15)$$

introduced by Newman and Penrose [14] and frequently used to write the Dirac operator on the two-sphere, see for example [15]. As explained in [8], they are related to operators in terms of our complex coordinate z via

$$(q\bar{\partial}_z + sz)e^{is\phi} = e^{is\phi}\bar{\partial}_s \quad \text{and} \quad (q\partial_z - \bar{s}\bar{z})e^{is\phi} = e^{is\phi}\bar{\partial}_{\bar{s}}. \quad (3.16)$$

It follows that, with the gauge change

$$G(\phi) = \text{diag}(e^{is\phi}, e^{i\bar{s}\phi}, e^{is\phi}, e^{i\bar{s}\phi}), \quad (3.17)$$

the operator

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{ES},p} = G(\phi)\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\text{ES},p}G^{-1}(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_p^\dagger \\ T_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.18)$$

which we will study for the remainder of this section, has the simpler form

$$T_p = - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{f}\partial_r + \frac{i}{2c}\partial_\chi - \frac{p}{2c}\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right) + \frac{a'}{af} + \frac{c'}{2cf} & \frac{1}{a}(q\partial_z - \frac{1}{2}(p+1)\bar{z}) \\ \frac{1}{a}(q\bar{\partial}_z + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)z) & -\frac{1}{f}\partial_r + \frac{i}{2c}\partial_\chi - \frac{p}{2c}\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right) - \frac{a'}{af} - \frac{c'}{2cf} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_p^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{f}\partial_r - \frac{i}{2c}\partial_\chi + \frac{p}{2c}\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right) + \frac{a'}{af} + \frac{c'}{2cf} & \frac{1}{a}(q\partial_z - \frac{1}{2}(p+1)\bar{z}) \\ \frac{1}{a}(q\bar{\partial}_z + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)z) & -\frac{1}{f}\partial_r - \frac{i}{2c}\partial_\chi + \frac{p}{2c}\left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right) - \frac{a'}{af} - \frac{c'}{2cf} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.19)$$

Note that the z -dependence of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{ES},p}$ only occurs in the off-diagonal part of both T_p and T_p^\dagger as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q\partial_z - \frac{1}{2}(p+1)\bar{z} \\ q\bar{\partial}_z + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)z & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.20)$$

This is the Dirac operator on the two-sphere twisted by a Dirac monopole, and was studied in [9] using the same complex coordinate z used here. As explained there and reviewed in the

appendix, the spin bundle over the two-sphere twisted by the Dirac monopole of charge p is a direct sum of line bundles $H^{p-1} \oplus H^{p+1}$, where H is the hyperplane bundle H over $S^2 \simeq \mathbb{CP}^1$. The operator $q\bar{\partial}_z + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)z$ maps local sections of H^{p-1} to local sections of H^{p+1} , while the operator $q\partial_z - \frac{1}{2}(p+1)\bar{z}$ maps local sections of H^{p+1} to local sections of H^{p-1} . It follows from

$$q\bar{\partial}_z + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)z = q^{\frac{1}{2}(-p+3)}\bar{\partial}_z q^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}, \quad q\partial_z - \frac{1}{2}(p+1)\bar{z} = q^{\frac{1}{2}(p+3)}\partial_z q^{-\frac{1}{2}(p+1)}, \quad (3.21)$$

that these operators annihilate local sections of the form $q^{\frac{1}{2}(1-p)}p_1(z)$ and $q^{\frac{1}{2}(1+p)}p_2(\bar{z})$, with regularity at $z=0$ requiring that $p_1(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $p-1$ and $p_2(\bar{z})$ to be a polynomial of degree $-p-1$. Clearly, the former is only possible for $p \geq 1$ and the latter if $p \leq -1$.

3.2. Zero-modes in complex coordinates

In order to solve for the zero-modes of the Dirac operator, it is best to rewrite it using the identities (2.8), (2.9) and (2.12). In particular, we use

$$\frac{f}{2c} \left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right) = \frac{fc}{2L^2} = \frac{a'}{2L}, \quad (3.22)$$

and

$$\frac{f}{2c} = \frac{f}{2c} \left(1 - \frac{L}{a}\right) + \frac{Lf}{2ac} = \frac{a'}{2L} + \frac{c'}{c} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{c^2}{L^2}} = \frac{a'}{2L} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \ln(ac^2). \quad (3.23)$$

The observations made at the end of the previous section suggest that a simple ansatz for zero-modes involves a spinor with local sections of the form $q^{\frac{1}{2}(1-p)}p_1(z)$ in the first or third and $q^{\frac{1}{2}(1+p)}p_2(\bar{z})$ in the second or fourth component, multiplied by functions of r and χ . We can assume the spinor to be an eigenspinor of the symmetry generator ∂_χ , but need to take into account the condition (3.11). These considerations lead to the ansatz

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ R(r)e^{-i(n+\frac{1}{2})\chi} q^{\frac{1}{2}(1-p)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_k z^k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \geq 1. \quad (3.24)$$

Inserting it into $\mathcal{D}_{\text{ES},p}\Psi = 0$ produces the radial equation

$$\frac{d \ln R}{dr} + \frac{d \ln a \sqrt{c}}{dr} = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{d \ln c \sqrt{a}}{dr} + \frac{n + \frac{1}{2} - p}{2L} \frac{da}{dr}, \quad (3.25)$$

which can be integrated to

$$R = Kc^n a^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{3}{4}} e^{(-p+n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{a}{2L}}, \quad (3.26)$$

where K is an arbitrary constant. Note that this expression was obtained without choosing any particular radial coordinate r . The function R is square-integrable with respect to the measure $ca^2 f dr$ if the exponential factor is decaying for large a and if the (integer) power of c is non-negative, i.e., if

$$0 \leq n \leq p - 1. \quad (3.27)$$

Similarly, the ansatz

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ R(r)e^{i(n+\frac{1}{2})\chi}q^{\frac{1}{2}(1+p)}\sum_{k=0}^{-p-1}a_k\bar{z}^k \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \leq -1, \quad (3.28)$$

leads to the radial equation

$$\frac{d \ln R}{dr} + \frac{d \ln a\sqrt{c}}{dr} = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{d \ln c\sqrt{a}}{dr} + \frac{n + \frac{1}{2} + p}{2L} \frac{da}{dr}. \quad (3.29)$$

It is solved by

$$R = Kc^n a^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{3}{4}} e^{(p+n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{a}{2L}}, \quad (3.30)$$

which is square-integrable if

$$0 \leq n \leq -p - 1. \quad (3.31)$$

It is easy to check that a similar ansatz with non-vanishing entries in the first two components of the spinor Ψ does not produce solutions with the behaviour at the bolt and at infinity required for square-integrability.

Using (3.10) to switch to the regular gauge, we thus have the following general form

$$\Psi_{\text{reg}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{-in\chi}c^n a^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{3}{4}} e^{(-p+n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{a}{2L}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(1-p)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_k z^k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq n \leq p - 1, \quad (3.32)$$

of zero-modes for positive p , and

$$\Psi_{\text{reg}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{in\chi}c^n a^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{3}{4}} e^{(p+n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{a}{2L}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(1+p)} \sum_{k=0}^{-p-1} a_k \bar{z}^k \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \leq -1, \quad 0 \leq n \leq -p - 1, \quad (3.33)$$


for negative p .

The expressions (3.32) and (3.33) give the zero-modes entirely in terms of geometrical data associated to the ES space. They warrant several comments.

The functions $q^{\frac{1}{2}(1-p)}z^k$ and $q^{\frac{1}{2}(1+p)}\bar{z}^k$, $k = 0, \dots, |p| + 1$, expressing the S^2 -dependence of the zero-modes form irreducible representations of $SU(2)$ of spin $j = (p - 1)/2$ for $p \geq 1$ and $j = (-p - 1)/2$ for $p \leq -1$. This is shown in [8] and reviewed in the appendix, see particularly (A.28) and (A.29). Hence, the space of the above zero-modes splits into $|p|$ copies of $|p|$ -dimensional irreducible representations of $SU(2)$. This reproduces the dimension $|p|^2$ computed by Pope, and exhibits the transformation behaviour under $SU(2)$.

Note also that the zero-modes are holomorphic in the coordinate

$$w = ce^{-i\chi} \quad (3.34)$$



when p is positive, and anti-holomorphic in w when p is negative. This echoes a similar observation for the TN manifold. In both cases, the zero-modes are particularly simple when written in terms of complex coordinates for the cigar-shaped submanifolds.

Finally, we express the zero-modes in terms of the Schwarzschild coordinate r . They then take the form

$$\Psi_{\text{reg}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{-in\chi}(r-L)^{\frac{n}{2}}r^{-\frac{3}{4}}e^{(-p+n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{r}{2L}q^{\frac{1}{2}(1-p)}\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}a_kz^k} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq n \leq p-1, \tag{3.35}$$

of zero-modes for positive p , and

$$\Psi_{\text{reg}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{in\chi}(r-L)^{\frac{n}{2}}r^{-\frac{3}{4}}e^{(p+n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{r}{2L}q^{\frac{1}{2}(1+p)}\sum_{k=0}^{-p-1}a_k\bar{z}^k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \leq -1, \quad 0 \leq n \leq -p-1, \tag{3.36}$$

for negative p .

Focusing on the case $p \geq 1$ and using $k = m + j$, where $j = (p - 1)/2$, the functional dependance of the zero-mode (3.35) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r, z, \tau) &= e^{-in\chi}(r-L)^{\frac{n}{2}}r^{-\frac{3}{4}}e^{(-p+n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{r}{2L}q^{-j}}\sum_{m=-j}^j a_m z^{m+j} \\ &= e^{-in\chi}(r-L)^{\frac{n}{2}}r^{-\frac{3}{4}}e^{(-p+n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{r}{2L}}\sum_{m=-j}^j a_m \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{j-m}\left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{j+m}e^{i(j+m)\phi}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

where we have used (3.1). Fixing a value of m and neglecting overall factors, we obtain the typical probability distribution

$$|\psi|^2(r, z, \tau) = (r-L)^n r^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{(-p+n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{r}{L}} (r-x_3)^{j+m} (r+x_3)^{j-m}, \tag{3.38}$$

where $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (r \sin \theta \cos \phi, r \sin \theta \sin \phi, r \cos \theta)$, which resembles the distribution of the zero-modes of the Dirac operator on TN plotted in [8]. Note that, in the Schwarzschild coordinates, the radial volume element used to normalise the probability distributions is the same as in \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e., $cf a^2 dr = r^2 dr$.

Finally, we focus on the case of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ states, i.e., $j = \frac{1}{2}$ which, assuming for simplicity $p \geq 0$, are obtained from (3.35) by picking $p = 2$. In this case we have $n = 0, 1$ and obtain two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ doublets

$$\psi_{\frac{1}{2}}^0 = r^{-\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{4L}r} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} (a_0 + a_1 z), \quad \psi_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 = e^{-i\chi} (r - L)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{1}{4L}r} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} (a_0 + a_1 z). \quad (3.39)$$

Both states are exponentially localised near the bolt. At the bolt ($r = L$), $\psi_{\frac{1}{2}}^0$ has a finite value but $\psi_{\frac{1}{2}}^1$ vanishes. We plot the r -dependence for the squared norm of both zero-modes in figure 1.

4. The gauged scalar Laplace operator and its bound states

4.1. Dirac Laplacian versus scalar Laplacian

The general Lichnerowicz–Weitzenböck formula for a Dirac operator on a Riemannian manifold twisted by a $U(1)$ gauge potential A (see for example [16]) is

$$\not{D}_A^\dagger \not{D}_A = -g^{\mu\nu} D_\mu D_\nu + \frac{1}{4} R + \frac{1}{2} [\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\beta] F_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (4.1)$$

where F is the curvature of A and $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - \Gamma_\mu + A_\mu$. Using this, and taking into account that the scalar curvature R of the ES geometry is zero, we see that, for a scalar ϕ and a spinor Ψ ,

$$\not{D}_A^\dagger \not{D}_A \phi \Psi = -(g^{\mu\nu} D_\mu \partial_\nu \phi) \Psi - (g^{\mu\nu} D_\mu D_\nu \Psi) \phi + \frac{1}{2} [\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\beta] F_{\alpha\beta} \phi \Psi. \quad (4.2)$$

For vanishing scalar curvature R and (anti-) self-dual curvature F , the presence of covariantly constant spinors would allow one to relate the spectrum of the Dirac Laplacian $\not{D}_A^\dagger \not{D}_A$ to the gauged scalar Laplacian

$$\Delta_A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} (\partial_\mu + A_\mu) g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{g} (\partial_\nu + A_\nu). \quad (4.3)$$

This was the case for TN [8, 9], but the ES space does not admit covariantly constant spinors. In this paper we therefore only look at the spectrum of the gauged scalar Laplacian.

4.2. The gauged scalar Laplace operator on the ES space

The Laplace operator (4.3) associated to the generalised metric (2.1) is

$$\Delta_{\text{ES}} = \frac{1}{a^2 c f} \partial_r \left(\frac{a^2 c}{f} \right) \partial_r + \frac{1}{4c^2} \partial_\chi^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{S^2}, \quad (4.4)$$

where Δ_{S^2} is the Laplace operator on the two-sphere of unit radius:

$$\Delta_{S^2} = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\theta \sin \theta \partial_\theta = q^2 \partial_z \bar{\partial}_z. \quad (4.5)$$

Minimally coupling the Laplace operator to the potential (3.3) yields

$$\Delta_{\text{ES},p} = \frac{1}{a^2 c f} \partial_r \left(\frac{a^2 c}{f} \right) \partial_r + \frac{1}{4c^2} \left(\partial_\chi + ip \left(1 - \frac{L}{a} \right) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{S^2,p}, \quad (4.6)$$

where now $\Delta_{S^2,p}$ is the Laplace operator on the two-sphere of unit radius minimally coupled to the potential (2.25) which, on the two-sphere, is the potential of the Dirac monopole. The Laplace operator on the two-sphere coupled to the Dirac monopole is a much studied operator, and its eigensections, often called monopole spherical harmonics, are well known. The non-triviality of the monopole bundle means that one has to work in patches, and many different conventions exist in the literature, using different coordinates on the two-sphere and different coverings. We will work with the complex stereographic coordinate z already used in the discussion of the Dirac operator, which covers all of the two-sphere except the south pole. For details we refer to the [appendix](#), where it is shown that

$$\Delta_{S^2,p} = \frac{1}{2} (q^{\frac{p}{2}+2} \partial_z q^{-p} \bar{\partial}_z q^{\frac{p}{2}} + q^{-\frac{p}{2}+2} \bar{\partial}_z q^p \partial_z q^{-\frac{p}{2}}). \quad (4.7)$$

Local eigensections of this operator are given in terms of complex coordinates in (A.23) in the [appendix](#), where they are denoted as follows:

$$y_{\frac{z}{2}m}^j, \quad j = \frac{|p|}{2} + \mathbb{N}^0, \quad m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j-1, j. \quad (4.8)$$

They satisfy

$$\Delta_{S^2,p} y_{\frac{z}{2}m}^j = \left(-j(j+1) + \frac{p^2}{4} \right) y_{\frac{z}{2}m}^j. \quad (4.9)$$

When looking for solutions of

$$-\Delta_{\text{ES},p} \phi = \lambda \phi \quad (4.10)$$

we therefore assume the factorised form

$$\phi = R(r) e^{in\chi} y_{\frac{z}{2}m}^j, \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (4.12)$$

since the range of χ is $[0, 2\pi)$. This ansatz yields the following radial equation:

$$\begin{aligned} V \frac{d^2 R(r)}{dr^2} + \left(\frac{L}{r^2} + \frac{2V}{r} \right) \frac{dR(r)}{dr} - \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{n}{2L} + \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{1}{L} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right)^2 R(r) \\ + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(-j(j+1) + \frac{p^2}{4} \right) R(r) + \lambda R(r) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

In order to facilitate comparison with previous analysis of the spectrum of the ES geometry in the ungauged case [12], we introduce the dimensionless coordinate

$$x = \frac{r}{L}, \quad (4.14)$$

and the so-called tortoise coordinate

$$x^* = x + \ln(x-1). \quad (4.15)$$

In terms of the rescaled eigenvalue $\bar{\lambda} = L^2\lambda$, the radial eigenvalue equation (4.13) then reads

$$\frac{x-1}{x} \frac{d^2R}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{2}{x} - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \frac{dR}{dx} + \left[-\frac{x}{x-1} \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{p}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)\right)^2 - \frac{j(j+1)}{x^2} + \frac{p^2}{4x^2} + \bar{\lambda} \right] R = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Setting

$$f(x) = xR(xL), \quad (4.17)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{x^3} \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \frac{d^2f}{dx^2} - \frac{f}{x^4} + \left[-\frac{1}{x-1} \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{p}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)\right)^2 - \frac{j(j+1)}{x^3} + \frac{p^2}{4x^3} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{x} \right] f = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

Finally noting that

$$\frac{1}{x^3} \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \frac{d^2f}{dx^2} = \frac{1}{x-1} \frac{d^2f}{dx^{*2}}, \quad (4.19)$$

and multiplying by $x-1$, the above equation can be recast as

$$\frac{d^2f}{dx^{*2}} = \left[\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{p}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)\right)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) \left(-\frac{j(j+1)}{x^2} + \frac{p^2}{4x^2} - \frac{1}{x^3} + \bar{\lambda}\right) \right] f, \quad (4.20)$$

which, in the case $p=0$, reduces to the eigenvalue equation discussed in [12].

We have not been able to solve the eigenvalue problem (4.13) exactly. However, we can bring it into a standard form and then solve it numerically by factoring

$$R = \frac{g(r)}{r\sqrt{V}}. \quad (4.21)$$

This turns (4.13) into the Sturm–Liouville problem

$$-g'' + Qg = \frac{\lambda}{V}g, \quad r \in [L, \infty), \quad (4.22)$$

with

$$Q(r) = -\frac{L^2}{4r^4V^2} + \frac{1}{V^2} \left(\frac{n+p}{2L} - \frac{p}{2r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{Vr^2} \left[j(j+1) - \frac{p^2}{4}\right]. \quad (4.23)$$

This Sturm–Liouville problem can be solved numerically even though the function

$$1/V = r/(r-L) \quad (4.24)$$

appearing on the right-hand side is singular at $r=L$.

Before turning to the numerical calculations, we can gain an intuitive understanding of why the potential (4.23) supports bound states by considering the Sturm–Liouville problem (4.22) in the limit of large r . Rather surprisingly, it then takes the form of an eigenvalue equation for the gauged Laplace operator on the TN space, which can be solved exactly and which was shown in [9] to have infinitely many bound states.

To obtain the form in the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, we use

$$\frac{1}{V} = 1 + \frac{L}{r} + \frac{L^2}{r^2} + \dots \tag{4.25}$$

Inserting this into $Q(r)$, and keeping terms up to order r^{-2} we get

$$Q(r) \approx \frac{(n+p)^2}{4L^2} + \frac{n(n+p)}{2Lr} + \left(\frac{(n+p)(3n-p)}{4} + j(j+1) \right) \frac{1}{r^2}. \tag{4.26}$$

We can see that in the case $p \geq 0$ the Coulomb term is attractive if $-p < n < 0$ while for $p < 0$ it is attractive if $0 < n < -p$. Combining these, the condition for an attractive Coulomb potential is

$$0 < n^2 < p^2. \tag{4.27}$$

Replacing the function Q appearing in (4.22) by its asymptotic form (4.26) and approximating $1/V \approx 1 + \frac{L}{r}$ yields the Sturm–Liouville equation

$$\begin{aligned} -g'' + \left(\frac{(n+p)^2}{4L^2} + \frac{n(n+p)}{2Lr} + \left(\frac{(n+p)(3n-p)}{4} + j(j+1) \right) \frac{1}{r^2} \right) g \\ = \lambda \left(1 + \frac{L}{r} \right) g, \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

which is of the same form as the radial equation appearing in the study of the gauged Laplace operator on the TN space in [9]. That equation also involves parameters, called s , p and j in [9]. Re-naming them s , \tilde{p} and \tilde{j} to avoid confusion with the ES parameters, the equation reads

$$\left[-\frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r (r^2 \partial_r) + \frac{\tilde{j}(\tilde{j}+1)}{r^2} + \left(\frac{2s^2}{L} - \frac{\tilde{p}s}{L} - EL \right) \frac{1}{r} + \left(\left(\frac{s - \frac{\tilde{p}}{2}}{L} \right)^2 - E \right) \right] R(r) = 0. \tag{4.29}$$

In terms of $u(r) = rR(r)$, it takes the form

$$-u'' + \left(\frac{(2s - \tilde{p})^2}{4L^2} + \frac{2s(2s - \tilde{p})}{2Lr} + \tilde{j}(\tilde{j}+1) \frac{1}{r^2} \right) u = E \left(1 + \frac{L}{r} \right) u. \tag{4.30}$$

This agrees with (4.28) provided we identify

$$\tilde{p} = p, \quad 2s = -n \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{p} = -p, \quad 2s = n, \tag{4.31}$$

and

$$\tilde{j}(\tilde{j}+1) = \frac{(n+p)(3n-p)}{4} + j(j+1). \tag{4.32}$$

The TN spectrum can be computed exactly [9], and is given by

$$E(\tilde{p}, s, N) = \frac{2}{L^2} \left(s^2 - \frac{\tilde{p}s}{2} + N \sqrt{N^2 - s^2 + \frac{\tilde{p}^2}{4}} - N^2 \right), \quad N = |s| + 1, |s| + 2, \dots \tag{4.33}$$

With the substitution (4.31), this gives the following formula for approximate eigenvalues of (4.22):

$$E\left(p, -\frac{n}{2}, N\right) = \frac{2}{L^2} \left(\frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{pn}{4} + N\sqrt{N^2 - \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{p^2}{4}} - N^2 \right),$$

$$N = \frac{|n|}{2} + 1, \frac{|n|}{2} + 2, \dots \tag{4.34}$$

Note that it does not matter which of the two substitutions in (4.31) we use. Note also that the value of j does not enter the approximation since the TN eigenvalues do not depend on it (though it does restrict the range of allowed values for \tilde{j}). We will compare the approximations (4.34) with numerical solutions of the eigenvalue problem (4.22) in the next section.

Before leaving the TN problem, we note that the necessary condition (4.27) for bound states in the gauged ES problem has a close analogue in the condition $4\tilde{s}^2 < \tilde{p}^2$, which was shown in [9] to be necessary for bound states in the gauged TN problem. This condition can be understood in terms of the binding due to the magnetic field restricted to the cigar-shaped submanifold with the metric (2.16), as discussed in detail in [9]. Even though that discussion takes place entirely in the context of the TN geometry, it applies to the ES space, too, because of the similarities in the magnetic fields and the cigar geometries in the two spaces.

4.3. Numerical computation of the spectrum

The numerical solution of the eigenvalue problem (4.22) in the interval (L, ∞) requires information on the nature of the endpoints, in particular whether they are limit-point or limit-circle. An endpoint is a limit-point for an eigenvalue λ if there exist two linearly independent solutions of which one is locally square-integrable near that endpoint and the other is not [19]. We now show that for (4.22), both $r = L$ and $r = \infty$ are limit-points.

For the endpoint $r = L$ and a given $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we therefore look for independent solutions $g(r, \lambda)$ of (4.22) such that

$$\int_L^{L+\delta} \frac{|g(r, \lambda)|^2}{V(r)} dr, \quad \delta < \infty, \tag{4.35}$$

diverges for one solution and converges for another. Introducing the variable $\rho = r - L$ we observe that, for small ρ , we have $V \approx \rho/L$. Keeping only leading terms in equation (4.22), we obtain

$$g'' - \frac{n^2 - 1}{4\rho^2} g = 0. \tag{4.36}$$

Assuming solutions of the form $g = \rho^\beta$ we find that $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2}$ and hence the solutions

$$g_\pm^L(r) = A_\pm \rho^{\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2}}, \quad A_\pm \text{ constants.} \tag{4.37}$$

For these solutions the potentially most divergent term in the integral (4.35) is

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{L}{\rho} |g_\pm^L|^2 d\rho \propto \int_0^\delta \rho^{\pm n} d\rho. \tag{4.38}$$

The integral for precisely one of the signs (and hence one of the solutions) diverges provided $|n| \geq 1$. Since n is an integer, this is automatically satisfied when the condition (4.27) for an attractive Coulomb potential is satisfied. Thus $r = L$ is a limit-point.

Turning to the second endpoint $r = \infty$, we note from (4.26) that, in this limit, $V \approx 1$. Thus, keeping only leading terms, the eigenvalue equation (4.22) reduces to




Figure 2. Plot of the effective potential (4.44) for $j = 5$ (left) and $j = 6$ (right).

$$g'' + \left(\lambda - \frac{(n+p)^2}{4L^2} \right) g = 0, \quad (4.39)$$

which is solved by

$$g_{\pm}^{\infty}(r) = A_{\pm} e^{\pm \sqrt{\frac{(n+p)^2}{4L^2} - \lambda} r}, \quad A_{\pm} \text{ constants.} \quad (4.40)$$

Using again $V \approx 1$ in this limit, we see that the integral

$$\int_{\delta}^{\infty} |g_{\pm}^{\infty}(r)|^2 dr, \quad \delta < \infty, \quad (4.41)$$

converges for one solution and diverges for the other if and only if

$$\lambda < \frac{(n+p)^2}{4L^2}. \quad (4.42)$$

This condition is analogous to the condition

$$E < \frac{(2s - \tilde{p})^2}{4L^2} \quad (4.43)$$

for the energy of bound states in the gauged TN equation (4.29), see [9] for a detailed discussion.

Having established that both endpoints of (4.22) are limit-points we can now use SLEIGN2 [17, 18] to compute eigenvalues. Picking parameter values $L = 1$, $p = 10$, $n = -8$ (which satisfy condition (4.27)), the potential Q (4.23) occurring in the differential equation (4.22) becomes

$$Q(r) = -\frac{1}{4r^2(r-1)^2} + \frac{(r-5)^2}{(r-1)^2} + \frac{j(j+1) - 25}{r(r-1)}. \quad (4.44)$$

We consider the values $j = 5, 6, 7$ (which satisfy (4.8)), and plot the resulting potential (4.44) in figure 2 for $j = 5$ and $j = 6$. The potential has a repulsive core and an attractive Coulomb tail. As expected, the minimum becomes shallower and moves outwards as j increases.

Our numerical results for the 11 lowest lying eigenvalues are listed in table 1 together with the approximation computed via the TN formula (4.34) with $n = -8$, and $\tilde{p} = 10$, i.e.,

$$E(10, 4, N) = -8 + 2N(\sqrt{N^2 + 9} - N), \quad N = 5, 6, \dots \quad (4.45)$$

Table 1. Approximate eigenvalues computed via the TN approximation (4.33) and numerically computed eigenvalues λ of the Sturm–Liouville problem (4.22) for $p = 10$, $n = -8$ and $j = 5, 6, 7$.

N	$E(10, 4, N)$	λ for $j = 5$	λ for $j = 6$	λ for $j = 7$
5	0.3095	0.3133	0.5107	0.6371
6	0.4984	0.5008	0.6290	0.7153
7	0.6208	0.6223	0.7097	0.7711
8	0.7041	0.7051	0.7670	0.8122
9	0.7630	0.7637	0.8091	0.8432
10	0.8061	0.8066	0.8409	0.8672
11	0.8386	0.8390	0.8654	0.8861
12	0.8636	0.8639	0.8846	0.9013
13	0.8833	0.8835	0.9001	0.9136
14	0.8990	0.8992	0.9127	0.9238
15	0.9118	0.9119	0.9230	0.9323

Table 2. Approximate eigenvalues computed via the TN approximation (4.33) and numerically computed eigenvalues λ of the Sturm–Liouville problem (4.22) for $p = 6$, $n = -4$, $j = 3$ (left) and $p = 16$, $n = -14$, $j = 8$ (right).

N	$E(6, 2, N)$	λ	N	$E(16, 7, N)$	λ
3	0.4499	0.4618	8	0.2111	0.2123
4	0.6606	0.6664	9	0.3633	0.3641
5	0.7723	0.7754	10	0.4761	0.4768
6	0.8375	0.8394	11	0.5619	0.5624
7	0.8786	0.8798	12	0.6285	0.6289
8	0.9060	0.9068	13	0.6812	0.6815
9	0.9251	0.9257	14	0.7235	0.7237
10	0.9390	0.9395	15	0.7580	0.7582
11	0.9494	0.9496	16	0.7865	0.7866
12	0.9573	0.9576	17	0.8103	0.8104
13	0.9636	0.9637	18	0.8303	0.8304

In the table we use the label $N = 5, 6, \dots$ of the TN approximation also to label the numerically computed eigenvalues of (4.22) with the potential (4.44), so that the eleven lowest-lying eigenvalues we compute are labelled by $N = 5, \dots, 15$.

As expected, the eigenvalues accumulate at $\lambda = 1$. The TN approximation is remarkably accurate for the smallest allowed value $j = 5$, and the accuracy grows with N , reaching 0.01% for the eleventh eigenvalue. The approximation gets significantly worse as j is increased. In all cases, the TN formula give a smaller value than the numerically computed eigenvalue. Since increasing j makes the potential shown in figure 2 shallower, it is not surprising that eigenvalues increase with j . Since the TN approximation is independent of j it is also not surprising that it is not equally good for all values of j .

We have explored if the TN approximation is generally very good for the smallest allowed value of j by looking at other values of p and n . The results are shown in table 2, and confirm the same high level of accuracy found in table 1.

5. Conclusion

The spectral properties of the ES space studied in this paper show remarkable similarities to those of the TN space, as discussed in [8, 9]. On both the ES and TN spaces, the Dirac operator has no zero-modes and the (scalar) Laplace operator has no bound states. Both manifolds have a 1-parameter family of (anti-) self-dual and square-integrable two-forms. Interpreting them as abelian instantons, minimal coupling of the gauge field has the same qualitative effect on the Dirac and scalar Laplace operators in both cases. The Dirac operator acquires a non-trivial kernel, and the Laplace operator acquires bound states.

The kernel of the gauged Dirac operator decomposes into irreducible representations of $SU(2)$ in both cases, but here the details differ. On TN, the kernel is the direct sum of all irreducible representations of all dimensions up to the greatest integer strictly smaller than a suitably defined magnetic flux [8]. On the ES space, the kernel is the direct sum of $|p|$ copies of the $|p|$ -dimensional irreducible representation for the magnetic flux p defined in this paper. The zero-modes can be computed explicitly and take a rather simple form in terms of complex coordinates on the cigar-shaped submanifold which occurs in both spaces.

The gauged Laplace operator on TN has rather special properties, related to a dynamical symmetry and resulting in additional degeneracies in the bound states similar to that found in the hydrogen atom [9]. The gauged Laplace operator on the ES space does not appear to have any dynamical symmetries, and the bound states had to be computed numerically. Nonetheless, their existence can be understood, as in the TN case, in terms of the geometry of the cigar-shaped submanifolds and the form of the magnetic fields that they inherit from the abelian instantons. Moreover, the eigenvalues can be approximated with surprising accuracy by matching the ES and TN eigenvalue problems, and using the exact formula for TN eigenvalues.

The accuracy of the TN approximation to the bound state energies of the gauged ES Laplacian is striking, and echoes a similar surprise in the study of bound states of the Laplace operator on the Atiyah–Hitchin manifold. As shown in [6] and discussed further in [20], the Atiyah–Hitchin Laplacian supports bound states even without twisting by a gauge field, with bound state energies which can be approximated with unreasonable accuracy by the energies of bound states in the negative mass (but ungauged) TN Laplacian.

We end with a speculative remark, and a question. The speculation relates to the interpretation of our results in the context of geometric models of matter, where the ES space is a potential model for a neutron, with the zero-modes of the Dirac operator modelling the spin degrees of freedom. From this point of view, the occurrence of one spin 1/2 doublet for $|p| = 2$ is welcome, but the presence of two such doublets which are distinguished by their charge under the $U(1)$ symmetry is a puzzle. (Almost) degenerate spin doublets do, of course, occur in nuclear physics in the form of the neutron and the proton. There the degeneracy is usually interpreted in terms of isospin symmetry; here, the origin of the degeneracy is topological (an index). Nonetheless one could speculate that the ‘doublet of spin 1/2 doublets’ that we have inadvertently found could serve as model for the quantum states of the neutron and proton. However, in that case one would have to interpret the $U(1)$ charge which distinguishes the doublets in terms of the charge which distinguishes the proton from the neutron, namely the electric charge. This would be dual to the philosophy of [3] where the $U(1)$ symmetry is interpreted as a magnetic symmetry, dual to the $U(1)$ of electromagnetism.

The question that we would like to raise is what our results mean in the context of (quantum) gravity. The Lorentzian Schwarzschild solution is the most studied solution of the Einstein equations, and the various wave equations on it have equally been under intense scrutiny. The ES solution is the simplest ‘gravitational instanton’ and, as such, plays a key

role in semiclassical approaches to quantum gravity. The periodicity of its ‘Euclidean time coordinate’ can be related to the thermal nature of black body radiation [13]. What, then, is the interpretation of the abelian instanton on the ES space, and of the bound states which the Dirac and Laplace operators develop when twisted by the instanton?

Acknowledgments

RJ thanks MACS at Heriot-Watt University for a PhD scholarship. BJS acknowledges support through the EPSRC grant ‘Dynamics in Geometric Models of Matter’ (EP/K00848X/1), and thanks Gary Gibbons for correspondence and for pointing out [12]. This research was supported in part by the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at the Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Economic Development and Innovation.

Appendix. The gauged Laplace operator on the two-sphere in complex coordinates

In terms of the stereographic complex coordinates (3.1) on the two-sphere without the south pole, the metric on the two-sphere of unit radius is

$$ds^2 = \frac{4}{q^2} dz d\bar{z}, \quad q = 1 + z\bar{z}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and the gauge potential of the Dirac monopole of charge p is

$$A_N^p = \frac{p}{2q} (z d\bar{z} - \bar{z} dz). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The Laplace operator on the two-sphere in terms of these coordinates is simply

$$\Delta_S^2 = q^2 \partial_z \bar{\partial}_z = \frac{q^2}{2} (\partial_z \bar{\partial}_z + \bar{\partial}_z \partial_z). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Minimal coupling to (A.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{S^2,p} &= \frac{q^2}{2} \left(\left(\partial_z - \frac{p}{2q} \bar{z} \right) \left(\bar{\partial}_z + \frac{p}{2q} z \right) + \left(\bar{\partial}_z + \frac{p}{2q} z \right) \left(\partial_z - \frac{p}{2q} \bar{z} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(q \partial_z - \frac{p+2}{2} \bar{z} \right) \left(q \bar{\partial}_z + \frac{p}{2} z \right) + \left(q \bar{\partial}_z + \frac{p-2}{2} z \right) \left(q \partial_z - \frac{p}{2} \bar{z} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Using the relations (3.21) we can also write

$$\Delta_{S^2,p} = \frac{1}{2} (q^{\frac{p}{2}+2} \partial_z q^{-p} \bar{\partial}_z q^{\frac{p}{2}} + q^{-\frac{p}{2}+2} \bar{\partial}_z q^p \partial_z q^{-\frac{p}{2}}). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Adopting the conventions of [8], we write H for the hyperplane bundle over $S^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, which is the dual bundle to the tautological bundle over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. Then, denoting the space of sections of the p th power H^p by $C^\infty(H^p)$, we have

$$q \partial_z - \frac{p}{2} \bar{z} : C^\infty(H^p) \rightarrow C^\infty(H^{p-2}), \quad q \bar{\partial}_z + \frac{p}{2} z : C^\infty(H^p) \rightarrow C^\infty(H^{p+2}), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

so that

$$\Delta_{S^2,p} : C^\infty(H^p) \rightarrow C^\infty(H^p). \tag{A.7}$$

As discussed in detail in [8], we can obtain local sections of H^p by pulling back ordinary functions on S^3 obeying a constraint. Working with such equivariant functions has several advantages: there is no need to introduce local sections, and many of the interesting differential operators acting on sections of H^p are naturally associated to $S^3 \simeq SU(2)$. Referring the reader to [8] for details, we use complex coordinates (z_1, z_2) satisfying the constraint $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1$ to parametrise S^3 .

In terms of these coordinates, the $SU(2)$ right-action on itself has the generators

$$\begin{aligned} X_+ &= i(z_1 \bar{\partial}_2 - z_2 \bar{\partial}_1), \\ X_- &= i(\bar{z}_2 \partial_1 - \bar{z}_1 \partial_2), \\ X_3 &= \frac{i}{2}(\bar{z}_1 \bar{\partial}_1 + \bar{z}_2 \bar{\partial}_2 - z_1 \partial_1 - z_2 \partial_2), \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

while the left-action is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_+ &= i(z_2 \partial_1 - \bar{z}_1 \bar{\partial}_2), \\ Z_- &= i(z_1 \partial_2 - \bar{z}_2 \bar{\partial}_1), \\ Z_3 &= \frac{i}{2}(z_1 \partial_1 - z_2 \partial_2 - \bar{z}_1 \bar{\partial}_1 + \bar{z}_2 \bar{\partial}_2). \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

The complex coordinate z parametrising S^2 without the south pole is related to (z_1, z_2) via $z = z_2/z_1$, and we can use the following local section of the Hopf bundle

$$s_N : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S^3 \quad z \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}(1, z) \tag{A.10}$$

for pulling back functions on S^3 to local sections of line bundles over S^2 . Defining the space of equivariant functions

$$C^\infty(S^3, \mathbb{C})_s = \{F : S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} | iX_3 F = sF\}, \tag{A.11}$$

the results of [8] then imply the following commutative diagrams involving the pull-back via (A.10):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(S^3, \mathbb{C})_{\frac{p}{2}} & \xrightarrow{X_+} & C^\infty(S^3, \mathbb{C})_{\frac{p+2}{2}} \\ \downarrow s^*_N & & \downarrow s^*_N \\ C^\infty(H^p) & \xrightarrow{i(q\bar{\partial}_z + \frac{p}{2}z)} & C^\infty(H^{p+2}), \end{array} \tag{A.12}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(S^3, \mathbb{C})_{\frac{p}{2}} & \xrightarrow{X_-} & C^\infty(S^3, \mathbb{C})_{\frac{p-2}{2}} \\ \downarrow s^*_N & & \downarrow s^*_N \\ C^\infty(H^p) & \xrightarrow{-i(q\partial_z - \frac{p}{2}\bar{z})} & C^\infty(H^{p-2}). \end{array} \tag{A.13}$$

Since the Laplacian on the 3-sphere can be written as

$$\Delta_{S^3} = \frac{1}{2}(X_-X_+ + X_+X_-) + X_3^2, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

the expression (A.4) for $\Delta_{S^{2,p}}$ gives rise to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(S^3, \mathbb{C})_{\frac{p}{2}} & \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{2}(X_-X_+ + X_+X_-)} & C^\infty(S^3, \mathbb{C})_{\frac{p}{2}} \\ \downarrow S^*N & & \downarrow S^*N \\ C^\infty(H^p) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{S^{2,p}}} & C^\infty(H^p). \end{array} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

This shows that we can obtain eigensections of $\Delta_{S^{2,p}}$ by pulling back simultaneous eigenfunctions of Δ_{S^3} (total angular momentum) and X_3^2 (body-fixed angular momentum).

To obtain a unique labelling of eigenfunctions in terms of eigenvalues we include the operator Z_3 (space-fixed angular momentum). One checks that, for $F \in C^\infty(S^3, \mathbb{C})_{\frac{p}{2}}$,

$$iZ_3F = \left(-\frac{p}{2} + z_2\partial_2 - \bar{z}_2\bar{\partial}_2\right)F. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Using this, we can relate Z_3 to the generator of rotations about the ‘vertical’ axis of S^2

$$L_3 = \partial_\phi = i(z\partial_z - \bar{z}\bar{\partial}_z), \quad (\text{A.17})$$

where we used the angular coordinate defined in (3.1). The relation is again best shown in a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(S^3, \mathbb{C})_{\frac{p}{2}} & \xrightarrow{-iZ_3 - \frac{p}{2}} & C^\infty(S^3, \mathbb{C})_{\frac{p}{2}} \\ \downarrow S^*N & & \downarrow S^*N \\ C^\infty(H^p) & \xrightarrow{iL_3} & C^\infty(H^p). \end{array} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

As explained in [8, 9], one obtains simultaneous eigenfunctions of the Hermitian operators Δ_{S^3} , iZ_3 and iX_3 from polynomials in $z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2$ which are annihilated by the four-dimensional Laplacian $\square_4 = 4(\partial_1\bar{\partial}_1 + \partial_2\bar{\partial}_2)$. One checks easily that the following polynomials are in the kernel of \square_4 :

$$Y_{sm}^j = C_{jms} \sum_k \frac{(-1)^{-k}}{(j+m-k)!k!(j-s-k)!(s-m+k)!} z_1^{s-m+k} z_2^{j+m-k} \bar{z}_1^k \bar{z}_2^{j-s-k}, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

where C_{jms} is an overall normalisation constant

$$C_{jms} = (-1)^{j-s} ((j+s)!(j-s)!(j+m)!(j-m)!)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (\text{A.20})$$

and

$$j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^0, \quad s, m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j-1, j. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

The summation index k runs over the values so that the factorials are well defined.

These functions are orthonormal and satisfy

$$\Delta_{S^3} Y_{sm}^j = -j(j+1)Y_{sm}^j, \quad iZ_3 Y_{sm}^j = mY_{sm}^j, \quad iX_3 Y_{sm}^j = sY_{sm}^j. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

It now follows from the relation (A.14) and the commutative diagram (A.15) that the pullbacks of the functions (A.19) to the two-sphere without the south pole

$$y_{\frac{p}{2}m}^j = s_N^* Y_{\frac{p}{2}m}^j \quad (\text{A.23})$$

satisfy

$$\Delta_{S^2,p} y_{\frac{p}{2}m}^j = \left(-j(j+1) + \frac{p^2}{4} \right) y_{\frac{p}{2}m}^j, \quad (\text{A.24})$$

and

$$iL_3 y_{\frac{p}{2}m}^j = -\left(m + \frac{p}{2} \right) y_{\frac{p}{2}m}^j. \quad (\text{A.25})$$

The special cases $s = \pm j$ in (A.19) occur as the angular dependence of the zero-modes of the Dirac operator discussed in the main text. The summations in (A.19) collapse to a single term. The case $s = j$ only allows for $k = 0$, giving the holomorphic functions

$$Y_{jm}^j = \sqrt{\frac{(2j)!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}} z_1^{j-m} z_2^{j+m}, \quad (\text{A.26})$$

while in the case $s = -j$ the only allowed term is $k = j + m$, producing the anti-holomorphic functions

$$Y_{(-j)m}^j = (-1)^{j-m} \sqrt{\frac{(2j)!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}} \bar{z}_1^{j+m} \bar{z}_2^{j-m}. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

The pull-backs for $s = j$, are now

$$y_{jm}^j = \sqrt{\frac{(2j)!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}} q^{-j} z^{j+m}, \quad (\text{A.28})$$

while for $s = -j$ we have

$$y_{(-j)m}^j = (-1)^{j-m} \sqrt{\frac{(2j)!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}} q^{-j} \bar{z}^{j-m}. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

With m ranging over $-j, -j+1, \dots, j-1, j$, both of these span $(2j+1)$ -dimensional irreducible representations of $SU(2)$, see [8] for details.

References

- [1] Hawking S W 1977 Gravitational instantons *Phys. Lett. A* **60** 81–3
- [2] Gibbons G W and Hawking S W 1979 Classification of gravitational instanton symmetries *Commun. Math. Phys.* **66** 291–310
- [3] Atiyah M, Manton N S and Schroers B J 2012 Geometric models of matter *Proc. R. Soc. A* **468** 1252–79
- [4] Atiyah M, Franchetti N and Schroers B J 2015 Time evolution in a geometric model of a particle *J. High Energy Phys.* [JHEP02\(2015\)062](#)
- [5] Gibbons G W and Manton N S 1986 Classical and quantum dynamics of BPS monopoles *Nucl. Phys. B* **274** 183–264
- [6] Manton N S 1987 Monopole and Skyrmion bound states *Phys. Lett. B* **198** 226–30
- [7] Schroers B J 1991 Quantum scattering of BPS monopoles at low energy *Nucl. Phys. B* **367** 177–214
- [8] Jante R and Schroers B J 2014 Dirac operators on the Taub-NUT space, monopoles and $SU(2)$ representations *J. High Energy Phys.* [JHEP01\(2015\)114](#)

- [9] Jante R and Schroers B J 2016 Taub-NUT dynamics with a magnetic field *J. Geom. Phys.* **104** 305–28
- [10] Pope C N 1978 Axial-vector anomalies and the index theorem in charged Schwarzschild and Taub-NUT spaces *Nucl. Phys. B* **141** 432–44
- [11] Etesi G and Hausel T 2001 Geometric interpretation of Schwarzschild instantons *J. Geom. Phys.* **37** 126–36
- [12] Fawcett M S 1983 The energy-momentum tensor near a black hole *Commun. Math. Phys.* **89** 103–15
- [13] Wald R M 1984 *General Relativity* (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press)
- [14] Newman E T and Penrose R 1966 Note on the Bondi–Metzner–Sachs group *J. Math. Phys.* **7** 863–79
- [15] Dray T 1986 A unified treatment of Wigner \mathcal{D} functions, spin-weighted spherical harmonics, and monopole harmonics *J. Math. Phys.* **27** 781–92
- [16] Jost J 1998 *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis* 2nd edn (Berlin: Springer)
- [17] Bailey P B, Everitt W N and Zettl A 1991 Computing eigenvalues of singular Sturm–Liouville problems *Results Math.* **20** 391–423
- [18] Bailey P B, Everitt W N and Zettl A 2001 The SLEIGN2 Sturm–Liouville code *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* **27** 143–92
- [19] Reed M and Simon S 1975 *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics vol. II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness* (New York: Academic)
Reed M and Simon S 1978 *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics vol. IV: Analysis of Operators* (New York: Academic)
- [20] Boulton L, Schroers B J and Smedley-Williams K Quantum bound states in Yang–Mills–Higgs theory at critical coupling, in preparation