



Heriot-Watt University
Research Gateway

Development and Comparison of an Improved Incremental Conductance Algorithm for Tracking the MPP of a Solar PV Panel

Citation for published version:

Huynh, DC & Dunnigan, MW 2016, 'Development and Comparison of an Improved Incremental Conductance Algorithm for Tracking the MPP of a Solar PV Panel', *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 1421-1429. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSTE.2016.2556678>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1109/TSTE.2016.2556678](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSTE.2016.2556678)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Heriot-Watt Research Portal](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy

Publisher Rights Statement:

© 2016 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Heriot-Watt Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

Heriot-Watt University has made every reasonable effort to ensure that the content in Heriot-Watt Research Portal complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact open.access@hw.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Development and Comparison of an Improved Incremental Conductance Algorithm for Tracking the MPP of a Solar PV Panel

Duy C. Huynh, *Member, IEEE*, and Matthew W. Dunnigan, *Member, IEEE*

Abstract—This paper proposes an adaptive and optimal control strategy for a solar photovoltaic (PV) system. The control strategy ensures that the solar PV panel is always perpendicular to sunlight and simultaneously operated at its maximum power point (MPP) for continuously harvesting maximum power. The proposed control strategy is the control combination between the solar tracker (ST) and MPP tracker (MPPT) that can greatly improve the generated electricity from solar PV systems. Regarding the ST system, the paper presents two drive approaches including open- and closed-loop drives. Additionally, the paper also proposes an improved incremental conductance (InC) algorithm for enhancing the speed of the MPP tracking of a solar PV panel under various atmospheric conditions as well as guaranteeing that the operating point always moves towards the MPP using this proposed algorithm. The simulation and experimental results obtained validate the effectiveness of the proposal under various atmospheric conditions.

Index Terms—Maximum power point tracker, solar tracker, solar PV panel

I. INTRODUCTION

ENERGY is absolutely essential for our life and demand has greatly increased worldwide in recent years. The research efforts in moving towards renewable energy can solve these issues. Compared to conventional fossil fuel energy sources, renewable energy sources have the following major advantages: they are sustainable, never going to run out, free and non-polluting. Renewable energy is the energy generated from renewable natural resources such as solar irradiation, wind, tides, wave, etc. Amongst them, solar energy is becoming more popular in a variety of applications relating to heat, light and electricity. It is particularly attractive because of its abundance, renewability, cleanliness and its environmentally-friendly nature. One of the important technologies of solar energy is photovoltaic (PV) technology which converts irradiation directly to electricity by the PV effect. However, it can be realized that the solar PV panels have a few disadvantages such as low conversion efficiency (9% to 17%) and effects of various weather conditions [1]. In order to overcome these issues, the materials used in solar

panel manufacturing as well as collection approaches need to be improved. Obviously, it is particularly difficult to make considerable improvements in the materials used in the solar PV panels. Therefore, increasing of the irradiation intensity received from the sun is an attainable solution for improving the performance of the solar PV panels. One of the major approaches for maximizing power extraction in solar PV systems is a sun tracking system. The sun tracking systems were introduced in [2]-[3] using a microprocessor, and in [4] using a programmable logic controller respectively. The closed-loop control schemes for automatic sun tracking of double-axis, horizon single-axis, and fixed systems were presented and compared in [5]. Furthermore, the idea of designing and optimizing a solar tracking mechanism was also proposed in [6]. Additionally, it can also be realized that the V-I characteristic of the solar cell is non-linear and varies with irradiation and temperature [1]. Generally, there is a unique point on the V-I or V-P curve which is called the Maximum Power Point (MPP). This means that the solar PV panel will operate with a maximum efficiency and produce a maximum output power. The MPP is not known on the V-I or V-P curve, and it can be located by search algorithms such as the Perturbation and Observation (P&O) algorithms [7]-[12], the Incremental Conductance (InC) algorithm [13]-[14], the Constant Voltage (CV) algorithm [15]-[16], the Artificial Neural Network (ANN) algorithm [17]-[18], the Fuzzy Logic (FL) algorithm [19]-[20], and the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm [21]-[24]. These existing algorithms have several advantages and disadvantages concerned with simplicity, convergence speed, extra-hardware and cost. This paper proposes an improved InC algorithm for tracking a MPP on the V-I characteristic of the solar PV panel. Based on the ST and MPPT, the solar PV panel is always guaranteed to operate in an adaptive and optimal situation for all conditions. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The mathematical model of solar PV panels is described in Section II. A proposal for adaptive and optimal control strategy of a solar PV panel based on the control combination of the solar tracker (ST) and MPP tracker (MPPT) with the improved InC algorithm is presented in Section III. The simulation and experimental results then follow to confirm the validity of the proposal in Sections IV and V. Finally, the advantages of the proposal are summarized through a comparison with other solar PV panels.

D. C. Huynh is with Electrical and Electronics Engineering School, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (e-mail: duy.c.huynh@ieee.org).

M. W. Dunnigan is with Engineering and Physical Sciences School, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, U.K., (e-mail: m.w.dunnigan@hw.ac.uk).

II. SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC PANEL

A solar PV panel is used for generating electricity. A simple equivalent circuit model for a solar PV cell consists of a real diode in parallel with an ideal current source [25]. The mathematical model of the solar PV cell is given by:

$$I = I_{sc} - I_0 \left(e^{\frac{qV}{kT}} - 1 \right) \quad (1)$$

$$V_{oc} = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \left(\frac{I_{sc}}{I_0} + 1 \right) \quad (2)$$

$$P = V \times I = VI_{sc} - VI_0 \left(e^{\frac{qV}{kT}} - 1 \right) \quad (3)$$

where

- I : the current of the solar PV cell (A);
- V : the voltage of the solar PV cell (V);
- P : the power of the solar PV cell (W);
- I_{sc} : the short-circuit current of the solar PV cell (A);
- V_{oc} : the open-circuit voltage of the solar PV cell (V);
- I_0 : the reverse saturation current (A);
- q : the electron charge (C), $q = 1.602 \times 10^{-19}$ (C);
- k : Boltzmann's constant, $k = 1.381 \times 10^{-23}$ (J/K);
- T : the panel temperature (K).

It is realized that the solar PV panels are very sensitive to shading. Therefore, a more accurate equivalent circuit for the solar PV cell is presented to consider the impact of shading as well as account for losses due to the cell's internal series resistance, contacts and interconnections between cells and modules [25]. Then, the V-I characteristic of the solar PV cell is given by:

$$I = I_{sc} - I_0 \left(e^{\frac{q(V+IR_s)}{kT}} - 1 \right) - \left(\frac{V+IR_s}{R_p} \right) \quad (4)$$

where

R_s and R_p : the resistances used to consider the impact of shading and losses.

Although, the manufacturers try to minimize the effect of both resistances to improve their products, the ideal scenario is not possible. The maximum power is generated by the solar PV cell at a point of the V-I characteristic where the product ($V \times I$) is maximum. This point is known as the MPP and is unique, Fig. 1. It is obvious that two important factors which have to be taken into account in the electricity generation of a solar PV panel are the irradiation and temperature. These factors strongly affect the characteristics of solar PV panels. Thus, the solar PV panel needs to be perpendicular to sunlight to maximize the irradiation obtained. Additionally, as a result, the MPP varies during the day and the solar PV panel is essential to track the MPP in all conditions to ensure that the maximum available power is obtained. This problem is entrusted to the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithms through searching and determining MPPs in various conditions. This paper proposes the improved InC algorithm for searching MPPs which is presented in more detail in Section III.B.




Fig. 1. Important points in the V-I and V-P characteristics of a solar PV panel

III. CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR A SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC PANEL

A. Sun Tracking Control

The sun rises from the east and moves across the sky to the west everyday. In order to increase solar yield and electricity production from solar PV panels, the idea is to be able to tilt the solar PV panels in the direction which the sun moves throughout the year as well as under varying weather conditions. It can be realized that the more the solar PV panels can face directly towards the sun, the more power can be generated. This idea is called a solar tracker (ST) which orients the solar PV panels towards the sun so that they harness more sunlight. Considering basic construction principles and tracking drive approaches for the motion of the tracker, STs can be divided into open- and closed-loop STs.

In the open-loop tracking control strategy, the tracker does not actively find the sun's position but instead determines the position of the sun for a particular site. The tracker receives the current time, day, month and year and then calculates the position of the sun without using feedback. The tracker controls a stepper motor to track the sun's position. It can be realized that no sensor is used in this control strategy. Thus, it is normally called an open-loop ST. The sun's position can be described in terms of its altitude angle, β and its azimuth angle, ϕ_s at any time of day which depend on the latitude, the day number and the time of day, Fig. 2 [25].

The altitude angle, β is given by:

$$\sin \beta = \cos L \cos \delta \cos H + \sin L \sin \delta \quad (5)$$

The azimuth angle, ϕ_s is given by:

$$\sin \phi_s = \frac{\cos \delta \sin H}{\cos \beta} \quad (6)$$

Additionally, it depends on the hour angle, H , the azimuth angle, ϕ_s can be estimated as follows:

$$\text{If } \cos H \geq \frac{\tan \delta}{\tan L}, \text{ then } |\phi_s| \leq 90^\circ; \text{ otherwise } |\phi_s| > 90^\circ \quad (7)$$

The declination angle, δ is given by:

$$\delta = 23.45 \sin \left[\frac{360}{365} (n - 81) \right] \quad (8)$$

where

- L : the latitude of the site (degrees);
- δ : the declination angle (degrees);

n : the number of days since January 1;
 H : the hour angle (degrees).




Fig. 2. Description of the sun's position

The solar declination angle, δ , is the angle between the plane of the equator and a line drawn from the center of the sun to the center of the earth. The hour angle, H , shows the time of day with respect to the solar noon. It is the angle between the planes of the meridian-containing observer and meridian that touches the earth-sun line. It is zero at solar noon and increases by 15° every hour since the earth rotates 360° in 24 hour. Then, the hour angle is described as follows:

$$H = 15^\circ(t_s - 12) \quad (9)$$

where

t_s : the solar time in hours. It is a 24-hour clock with 12:00 as the exact time when the sun is at the highest point in the sky.

The open-loop ST must turn the solar PV panel to the east at the sunrise time and stop its motion at the sunset time. It is realized that the altitude angle, β is equal to zero at the sunrise and sunset moments which is described as follows [25]:

$$\sin \beta = \cos L \cos \delta \cos H + \sin L \sin \delta = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\cos H = -\frac{\sin L \sin \delta}{\cos L \cos \delta} = -\tan L \tan \delta \quad (11)$$

$$H = -\cos^{-1}(\tan L \tan \delta) \quad (12)$$

The hour angle, H , is the inverse cosine function which has positive and negative values. The positive values are used for the sunrise whereas the negative values are used for the sunset. Then, the sunrise and sunset times are obtained by converting the hour angle as follows:

$$\text{Sunrise_time} = \text{Solar_noon} - \frac{H}{15^\circ} \quad (13)$$

$$\text{Sunset_time} = \text{Solar_noon} + \frac{H}{15^\circ} \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, the closed-loop ST is based on feedback control principles. In the closed-loop tracking control strategy, the search of the sun's position is implemented at any time of day; light sensors are used and positioned on the solar PV panel. In order to determine the sun's position, two similar light sensors are mounted on the solar PV panel. They are located at the east and west, or south and north, to sense the light source intensity. There is an opaque object between two sensors which is to isolate the light from other orientations to obtain a wide-angle search and to determine the sun's position

more quickly. Fig. 3 describes the rotating state of the closed-loop ST when the sun's position shifts.




Fig. 3. Rotating state of the closed-loop ST

The sensors used are light dependent resistors (LDR) in the closed-loop ST. The closed-loop ST receives the signals which are the resistance values of two LDRs, R_A and R_B respectively. Then, it makes a comparison between R_A and R_B as follows.

- * If $R_A = R_B$, then the solar PV panel will be kept its position.
- * If $R_A \neq R_B$ and $R_A < R_B$, then the solar PV panel will be rotated towards A.
- * If $R_A \neq R_B$ and $R_A > R_B$, then the solar PV panel will be rotated towards B.

The sample time is the Δt for the comparison and determination of the rotated direction. It is obvious that the solar tracking systems are a good choice for the solar PV systems. The comparisons between the open- and closed-loop STs are shown in Table I. It is easily realized that the open-loop ST is simpler, less expensive, more reliable, as well as in need of less maintenance than the closed-loop ST. Nevertheless, its performance can be sometimes lower than that of the closed-loop ST, because the open-loop ST does not observe the output of the processes that it is controlling. No feedback signal is required in this ST. While the closed-loop ST can produce a better tracking efficiency, its feedback signals tracking the sun's position will be lost when the LDRs are shaded or the sun is blocked by clouds. Additionally, the closed-loop ST is rather expensive and more complicated than the open-loop ST because it requires LDRs placed on the solar PV panel. A comparison is also performed between the open- and closed-loop STs through the experimental designs and results in the next section.

TABLE I
 COMPARISON BETWEEN THE OPEN- AND CLOSED-LOOP STS

Item	Open-loop	Closed-loop
Structure	Simple	Complicated
Extra-hardware	No required	LDRs
Cost	Cheap	Expensive
Feedback signal	No required	Required
Control	Simple	Complicated

B. MPP Tracking Control

The InC algorithm is reviewed in Part 1 of this section followed by a description of the improved InC algorithm.

1) InC Algorithm

The principle of the InC algorithm is that the derivative of the power with respect to the voltage or current becomes zero at the MPP, the power increases with the voltage in the left

side of the MPP and the power decreases with the voltage in the right side of the MPP [26]-[27]. This description can be rewritten in the following simple equations:

$$\frac{dp}{dv} = 0 \text{ at the MPP} \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{dp}{dv} > 0 \text{ to the left of the MPP} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{dp}{dv} < 0 \text{ to the right of the MPP} \quad (17)$$

where

$$\frac{dp}{dv} = \frac{d(iv)}{dv} = I + V \frac{di}{dv} \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{1}{V} \frac{dp}{dv} = \frac{I}{V} + \frac{di}{dv} \quad (19)$$

Therefore, the voltage of the PV panels can be adjusted relative to the MPP voltage by measuring the incremental conductance, di/dv and the instantaneous conductance, I/V . It can be realized that the InC algorithm overcomes the oscillation around the MPP when it is reached. When $di/dv = -I/V$ is satisfied, this means that the MPP is reached and the operating point is remained. Otherwise, the operating point must be changed, which can be determined using the relationship between di/dv and $-I/V$. Furthermore, the equation (19) shows that:

If $\frac{di}{dv} < -\frac{I}{V}$, then $\frac{dp}{dv} < 0$: the operating point is to the right of the MPP.

If $\frac{di}{dv} > -\frac{I}{V}$, then $\frac{dp}{dv} > 0$: the operating point is to the left of the MPP.

Additionally, the InC algorithm can track the MPP in the case of rapidly changing atmospheric conditions easily, because this algorithm uses the differential of the operating point, dp/dv . Basically, the algorithm can move the operating point towards the MPP under varying atmospheric conditions. Nevertheless, the InC algorithm has the disadvantage of requiring a control circuit with an associated higher system cost. It also requires a fast computation for the incremental conductance. If the speed of computation is not satisfied under varying atmospheric conditions, the operating point towards the MPP cannot be guaranteed. Additionally, the search space is larger in the InC algorithm. This directly affects the search performance of the algorithm.

2) Improved InC Algorithm

An improved InC algorithm is proposed in order to overcome the disadvantages of the InC algorithm.

Firstly, the computation for the differential of the operating point, dp/dv is simplified by the following approximation:

$$\frac{dp}{dv} = \frac{P(k) - P(k-1)}{V(k) - V(k-1)} \quad (20)$$

Secondly, the InC algorithm is combined with the Constant Voltage (CV) algorithm [28]-[29] for the estimation of the MPP voltage which can limit the search space for the InC

algorithm. Basically, the CV algorithm applies the operating voltage at the MPP which is linearly proportional to the open circuit voltage of PV panels with varying atmospheric conditions. The ratio of V_{MPP}/V_{oc} is commonly used around 76% [30]. Thus, the improved InC algorithm is implemented to divide the P-V characteristic into three areas referred to as area 1, area 2 and area 3, where area 1 is from 0 to 70% V_{oc} , area 2 is from 70% V_{oc} to 80% V_{oc} and area 3 is from 80% V_{oc} to V_{oc} . Area 2 is the area including the MPP, Fig. 4. It can be realized that the improved InC algorithm only needs to search the MPP within area 2, from 70% V_{oc} to 80% V_{oc} . This means that:

$$V_{ref} = (70\% - 80\%)V_{oc} = (V_1 - V_2) \quad (21)$$

In the improved InC algorithm, the MPPT system momentarily sets the PV panels current to zero allowing measurement of the panels' open circuit voltage. The operation of the improved InC algorithm is shown in the flow chart, Fig. 5. Finally, the ST and MPPT are combined to control the solar PV panel so that the obtained electricity is maximized under all atmospheric conditions.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

Simulations are performed using MATLAB/SIMULINK software for tracking MPPs of the solar PV array with 7 panels, RS-P618-22 connected in series whose specifications and parameters are in Table II. The solar PV panel provides a maximum output power at a MPP with V_{MPP} and I_{MPP} . The MPP is defined at the standard test condition (STC) of the irradiation, 1 kW/m² and module temperature, 25 °C but this condition does not exist most of the time. The following simulations are implemented to confirm the effectiveness of the improved InC algorithm which is compared with those of the InC and P&O algorithms.

Case 1: It is assumed that the module temperature is constant, $T=25^\circ\text{C}$. Fig. 6 describes the variation of the solar irradiation where $0s \leq t < 1s$: $G=0.25$ kW/m²; $1s \leq t < 2s$: $G=0.5$ kW/m²; $2s \leq t < 3s$: $G=0.75$ kW/m²; $3s \leq t < 4s$: $G=1$ kW/m² and $4s \leq t \leq 5s$: $G=0.25$ kW/m². Then, the obtained output powers are shown as in Figs. 7-8 using the P&O, InC and improved InC algorithms, respectively under the various solar irradiances.

Case 2: It is assumed that both the module temperature and solar irradiation are changed, where the module temperature variation is as follows: $0s \leq t < 1s$: $T=25^\circ\text{C}$; $1s \leq t < 2s$: $T=30^\circ\text{C}$; $2s \leq t < 3s$: $T=35^\circ\text{C}$; $3s \leq t < 4s$: $T=40^\circ\text{C}$; $4s \leq t \leq 5s$: $T=25^\circ\text{C}$, Fig. 6 and the solar irradiation variation is as in case 1. Then, the obtained output powers are shown as in Figs. 9-10 using the P&O, InC and improved InC algorithms under the variation of both the temperature and solar irradiation. Figs. 11-12 show the MPPs of the solar PV panel under the variations of the solar irradiation and temperature. It can be realized that the simulation results of the cases using the improved InC algorithm are always better than the cases using the P&O and InC algorithms, Figs. 7-8 and Figs. 9-10. The better results are shown through the algorithm convergence and the MPPs' tracking ability, especially with the rapid variation of both the temperature and solar irradiation. This means that the

drawbacks of the InC algorithm have been overcome using the proposed InC algorithm.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The experimental results are also implemented with the same solar PV panel, RS-P618-22. In the solar tracking strategies, a stepper motor is used as the drive source to rotate the solar PV panel. This motor is run with the output signals which are received from the LDRs. The block diagram and setup of the experiment are shown in Figs. 13-14. The experimental result of obtained maximum output power using the improved InC algorithm under the variation of the solar irradiation of the simulation case 1 is shown in Fig. 15. This experimental result also shows that the output power always tracks the MPPs. Furthermore, the experiment for the control strategies, described in Table III of the solar PV panel with the proposed ST and MPPT algorithms, is also implemented outdoors from 07:00 AM to 05:00 PM by measuring the voltage and current for the same load at different times; and calculating the total power. Table III describes the control strategies for the solar PV panel as follows.

- * **Strategy 1:** A PV is not controlled by the ST and MPPT.
- * **Strategy 2:** A PV is controlled by the open-loop ST.
- * **Strategy 3:** A PV is controlled by the closed-loop ST.
- * **Strategy 4:** A PV is controlled by the open-loop ST and the InC algorithm based MPPT.
- * **Strategy 5:** A PV is controlled by the open-loop ST and the improved InC algorithm based MPPT.
- * **Strategy 6:** A PV is controlled by the closed-loop ST and the InC algorithm based MPPT.
- * **Strategy 7:** A PV is controlled by the closed-loop ST and the improved InC algorithm based MPPT.

Table IV shows that the total powers generated by the solar PV panel are 137.91 W using strategy 1; 173.72 W using strategy 2 and 183.42 W using strategy 3. It is obvious that the total power of the solar PV panel using strategy 3 is largest. The total powers generated by the solar PV panel are 176.35 W using strategy 4; and 188.03 W using strategy 5. It is obvious that the total power of the solar PV panel using strategy 5 is larger than that using strategy 4. The total powers generated by the solar PV panel are 185.86 W using strategy 6; and 197.58 W using strategy 7. It is obvious that the total power of the solar PV panel using strategy 7 is larger than that using strategy 6. The comparison of the obtained powers of the solar PV panel between seven strategies is shown in Fig. 16. Additionally, the improvement percentage of the obtained powers of the solar PV panel using the control strategies is shown in Table V. Table V shows that strategies 2-7 with the ST and MPPT algorithms are better than strategy 1. Obviously, strategy 7 is the best one with the improvement percentage, 43.27%. This clearly shows the benefit of the improved InC algorithm based MPPT when used in conjunction with the closed-loop ST. Strategy 3 with the closed-loop ST is better than strategy 2 with the open-loop ST. However, it can be realized that the structure and operating principle of the closed-loop ST is more complicated than that of the open-loop ST and not as reliable, Table I. Additionally,

the cost of the closed-loop ST is more expensive. Thus there is an economic reason not to use it. The comparisons between strategies 5 and 4; as well as 7 and 6 confirm the effectiveness of the improved InC algorithm based MPPT strategy.

TABLE II
SPECIFICATIONS AND PARAMETERS OF THE SOLAR PV PANEL RS-P618-22

Maximum power, P_{max} (W)	22
Voltage at P_{max} , V_{MPP} (V)	17.64
Current at P_{max} , I_{MPP} (A)	1.25
Short-circuit current, I_{sc} (A)	1.34
Open-circuit voltage, V_{oc} (V)	21.99

TABLE III
CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR A SOLAR PV PANEL

Strategy	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Open-loop ST	x	✓	x	✓	✓	x	x
Closed-loop ST	x	x	✓	x	x	✓	✓
InC based MPPT	x	x	x	✓	x	✓	x
Improved InC based MPPT	x	x	x	x	✓	x	✓




Fig. 4. Area partition of the P-V characteristic




Fig. 5. Flow chart of the improved InC algorithm




Fig. 6. Description of the variations of the solar irradiation and temperature




Fig. 7. Obtained maximum output power with the P&O and improved InC algorithms under the variation of the solar irradiation




Fig. 8. Obtained maximum output power with the InC and improved InC algorithms under the variation of the solar irradiation




Fig. 9. Obtained maximum output power with the P&O and improved InC algorithms under both the variations of the solar irradiation and temperature




Fig. 10. Obtained maximum output power with the InC and improved InC algorithms under both the variations of the solar irradiation and temperature




Fig. 11. MPPs of the solar PV panel under the variation of the solar irradiation




Fig. 12. MPPs of the solar PV panel under both the variations of the solar irradiation and temperature




Fig. 13. Block diagram of the experimental setup



Fig. 14. Experimental setup




Fig. 15. Experimental result of obtained maximum output power with the improved InC algorithm under the variation of the solar irradiation




Fig. 16. Comparison of the obtained powers of the solar PV panel between strategies 1-7

VI. CONCLUSION

It is obvious that the adaptive and optimal control strategy plays an important role in the development of solar PV systems. This strategy is based on the combination between the ST and MPPT in order to ensure that the solar PV panel is capable of harnessing the maximum solar energy following the sun's trajectory from dawn until dusk and is always operated at the MPPs with the improved InC algorithm. The proposed InC algorithm improves the conventional InC algorithm with an approximation which reduces the computational burden as well as the application of the CV algorithm to limit the search space and increase the convergence speed of the InC algorithm. This improvement overcomes the existing drawbacks of the InC algorithm. The simulation and experimental results confirm the validity of the

proposed adaptive and optimal control strategy in the solar PV panel through the comparisons with other strategies.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Faranda and S. Leva, "Energy comparison of MPPT techniques for PV systems," *Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 446-455, 2008.
- [2] X. Jun-Ming, J. Ling-Yun, Z. Hai-Ming and Z. Rui, "Design of track control system in PV," *IEEE Int. Conf. Software Engineering and Service Sciences, ICSESS2010*, pp. 547-550, 2010.
- [3] Z. Bao-Jian, G. Guo-Hong and Z. Yan-Li, "Designment of automatic tracking system of solar energy system," *2nd Int. Conf. Industrial Mechatronics and Automation, ICIMA2010*, pp. 689-691, 2010.
- [4] W. Luo, "A solar panels automatic tracking system based on OMRON PLC," *Proc. 7th Asian Control Conf.*, pp. 1611-1614, 2009.
- [5] W. Chun-Sheng, W. Yi-Bo, L. Si-Yang, P. Yan-Chang and X. Hong-Hua, "Study on automatic sun-tracking technology in PV generation," *Third Int. Conf. Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies, DRPT2008*, pp. 2586-2591, 2008.
- [6] C. Alexandru and C. Pozna, "Different tracking strategies for optimizing the energetic efficiency of a photovoltaic system," *Int. Conf. Automation, Quality and Testing, Robotics*, pp. 434-439, 2008.
- [7] R. Sridhar, S. Jeevananthan, N. T. Selvan and P. V. SujithChowdary, "Performance improvement of a photovoltaic array using MPPT P&O technique," *Int. Conf. Control and Comput. Technol.*, pp. 191-195, 2010.
- [8] N. M. Razali and N. A. Rahim, "DSP-based maximum peak power tracker using P&O algorithm," *IEEE First Conf. Clean Energy and Technol.*, pp. 34-39, 2011.
- [9] L. Chun-Xia, L. Li-qun, "An improved perturbation and observation MPPT method of photovoltaic generate system," *4th IEEE Conf. Ind. Electron. and Appl., ICIEA2009*, pp. 2966-2970, 2009
- [10] Y. Jung, J. So, G. Yu and J. Choi, "Improved perturbation and observation method (IP&O) of MPPT control for photovoltaic power systems," *31st IEEE Photov. Specialists Conf.*, pp. 1788-1791, 2005.
- [11] X. Liu, L. A. C. Lopes, "An improved perturbation and observation maximum power point tracking algorithm for PV arrays," *IEEE 35th Annual Power Electron. Specialists Conf.*, pp. 2005-2010, 2004.
- [12] D. C. Huynh, T. A. T. Nguyen, M. W. Dunnigan and M. A. Mueller, "Maximum power point tracking of solar photovoltaic panels using advanced perturbation and observation algorithm," *IEEE Conf. Industrial Electronics and Applications 2013*, pp. 864-869, 2013.
- [13] B. Liu, S. Duan, F. Liu and P. Xu, "Analysis and improvement of maximum power point tracking algorithm based on incremental conductance method for photovoltaic array," *7th Int. Conf. Power Electron. and Drive Syst., PEDS2007*, pp. 637-641, 2007.
- [14] W. Ping, D. Hui, D. Changyu and Q. Shengbiao, "An improved MPPT algorithm based on traditional incremental conductance method," *4th Int. Conf. Power Electron. Syst. and Appl., PESA2011*, pp. 1-4, 2011.
- [15] Y. Zhihao and W. Xiaobo, "Compensation loop design of a photovoltaic system based on constant voltage MPPT," *Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Eng. Conf., APPEEC2009*, pp. 1-4, 2009.
- [16] K. A. Aganah and A. W. Leedy, "A constant voltage maximum power point tracking method for solar powered systems," *IEEE 43rd Southeastern Sym. Syst. Theory, SSS2011*, pp. 125-130, 2011.
- [17] P. Q. Dzung, L. D. Khoa, H. H. Lee, L. M. Phuong and N. T. D. Vu, "The new MPPT algorithm using ANN based PV," *Int. Forum on Strategic Technology, IFOST2010*, pp. 402-407, 2010.
- [18] R. Ramaprabha, V. Gothandaraman, K. Kanimozhi, R. Divya and B. L. Mathur, "Maximum power point tracking using GA-optimized artificial neural network for solar PV system," *1st Int. Conf. Electr. Energy Syst., ICEES2011*, pp. 264-268, 2011.
- [19] S. J. Kang, J. S. Ko, J. S. Choi, M. G. Jang, J. H. Mun, J. G. Lee and D. H. Chung, "A novel MPPT control of photovoltaic system using FLC algorithm," *11th Int. Conf. Contr., Autom. and Syst.*, pp. 434-439, 2011.
- [20] V. Padmanabhan, V. Beena and M. Jayaraju, "Fuzzy logic based maximum power point tracker for a photovoltaic system," *Int. Conf. Power, Signals, Contr. and Comput., EPSCICON2012*, pp. 1-6, 2012.
- [21] Md. A. Azam, S. A. A. Nahid, M. M. Alam and B. A. Plabon, "Microcontroller based high precision PSO algorithm for maximum solar power tracking," *Conf. Electron. and Vision*, pp. 292-297, 2012.
- [22] K. Ishaque, Z. Salam, M. Amjad and S. Mekhilef, "An improved particle swarm optimization (PSO)-based MPPT for PV with reduced steady-state oscillation," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, pp. 3627-3638, 2012.

[23] D. C. Huynh, T. N. Nguyen, M. W. Dunnigan and M. A. Mueller, "Dynamic particle swarm optimization algorithm based maximum power point tracking of solar photovoltaic panels," *IEEE International Symp. Industrial Electronics 2013, ISIE2013*, pp. 1-6, 2013.

[24] D. C. Huynh, T. M. Nguyen, M. W. Dunnigan and M. A. Mueller, "Global MPPT of solar PV modules using a dynamic PSO algorithm under partial shading conditions," *IEEE Int. Conf. Clean Energy & Technology 2013, CEAT2013*, pp. 133-138, 2013.

[25] G. M. Master, "Renewable and efficient electric power systems," in *Renewable and efficient electric power systems*, A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Publication, pp. 385-604, 2004.

[26] B. Liu, S. Duan, F. Liu and P. Xu, "Analysis and improvement of maximum power point tracking algorithm based on incremental conductance method for photovoltaic array," *7th Int. Conf. Power Electron. and Drive Syst., PEDS2007*, pp. 637-641, 2007.

[27] W. Ping, D. Hui, D. Changyu and Q. Shengbiao, "An improved MPPT algorithm based on traditional incremental conductance method," *4th Int. Conf. Power Electron. Syst. and Appl, PESA2011*, pp. 1-4, 2011.

[28] Y. Zhihao and W. Xiaobo, "Compensation loop design of a photovoltaic system based on constant voltage MPPT," *Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Eng. Conf., APPEEC2009*, pp. 1-4, 2009.

[29] K. A. Aganah and A. W. Leedy, "A constant voltage maximum power point tracking method for solar powered systems," *IEEE 43rd Southeastern Sym. Syst. Theory, SSST2011*, pp. 125-130, 2011.

[30] J. H. R. Enslin, M. S. Wolf, D. B. Snyman and W. Sweigers, "Integrated photovoltaic maximum power point tracking converter," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Elec.*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 769-773, 1997.

became a Lecturer at Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology. His research interests include the areas of energy efficient control and parameter estimation methods of induction machines and renewable sources.



Matthew W. Dunnigan received his B.Sc. in Electrical and Electronic Engineering (with First-Class Honours) from Glasgow University, Glasgow, U.K., in 1985 and his M.Sc. and Ph.D. from Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, UK, in 1989 and 1994, respectively. He was employed by Ferranti from 1985 to 1988 as a Development Engineer in the design of power supplies and control systems for moving optical assemblies and device temperature stabilisation. In 1989, he became a Lecturer at Heriot-Watt University, where he was concerned with the evaluation and reduction of the dynamic coupling between a robotic manipulator and an underwater vehicle. He is currently a Senior Lecturer, Associate Professor and his research grants and interests include the areas of hybrid position/force control of an underwater manipulator, coupled control of manipulator-vehicle systems, nonlinear position/speed control and parameter estimation methods in vector control of induction machines, frequency domain self-tuning/adaptive filter control methods for random vibration, and shock testing using electro-dynamic actuators.



Duy C. Huynh received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in electrical and electronic engineering from Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, in 2001 and 2005, respectively and Ph.D. degree from Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, U.K., in 2010. In 2001, he

TABLE IV
COMPARISON OF THE OBTAINED POWERS OF THE SOLAR PV PANEL BETWEEN STRATEGIES 1-7

Time	P _{Strategy1} (W)	P _{Strategy2} (W)	P _{Strategy3} (W)	P _{Strategy4} (W)	P _{Strategy5} (W)	P _{Strategy6} (W)	P _{Strategy7} (W)
07:00 AM	0.16	0.48	0.72	0.51	0.54	0.76	0.8
07:30 AM	0.74	1.51	1.98	1.61	1.72	2.01	2.21
08:00 AM	0.76	3.14	3.25	3.50	3.57	3.29	3.63
08:30 AM	1.06	3.60	3.80	4.06	4.10	4.21	4.33
09:00 AM	3.30	5.19	5.23	5.23	5.92	5.18	5.96
09:30 AM	4.76	7.85	8.84	8.22	8.94	9.38	10.08
10:00 AM	11.48	12.86	13.26	13.05	13.75	13.04	14.00
10:30 AM	11.04	13.34	13.35	13.49	14.26	13.62	14.10
11:00 AM	12.43	13.92	13.78	14.30	14.89	13.96	14.55
11:30 AM	12.84	14.66	14.79	14.96	15.68	14.94	15.62
12:00 PM	13.07	15.98	16.17	16.21	16.65	16.54	17.08
12:30 PM	13.53	15.58	15.95	16.18	16.23	16.17	16.83
01:00 PM	13.13	14.90	15.44	15.40	16.19	15.45	16.31
01:30 PM	10.19	11.10	11.42	10.87	12.06	11.12	12.13
02:00 PM	6.57	7.27	8.48	7.36	7.90	8.41	9.00
02:30 PM	5.58	9.19	10.18	8.76	9.98	10.58	11.50
03:00 PM	5.01	6.70	7.64	6.40	7.28	7.75	8.62
03:30 PM	4.37	5.60	5.70	5.36	6.25	5.73	6.20
04:00 PM	3.15	4.22	5.21	4.14	4.71	5.21	5.67
04:30 PM	2.84	3.66	4.28	3.51	4.08	4.47	4.66
05:00 PM	1.89	2.98	3.94	3.23	3.32	4.03	4.28
Total	137.91	173.72	183.42	176.35	188.03	185.86	197.58

TABLE V
IMPROVEMENT PERCENTAGE OF THE OBTAINED POWERS OF THE SOLAR PV PANEL USING THE VARIOUS CONTROL STRATEGIES

Comparison between strategies	Strategies 2 and 1	Strategies 3 and 1	Strategies 4 and 1	Strategies 5 and 1	Strategies 6 and 1	Strategies 7 and 1	Strategies 3 and 2	Strategies 5 and 4	Strategies 7 and 6
Improvement (%)	25.97	33.00	27.87	36.34	34.77	43.27	5.58	6.62	6.31