



Heriot-Watt University  
Research Gateway

## Corrigendum - Analytic representation theory of Lie groups: general theory and analytic globalizations of Harish-Chandra modules

### Citation for published version:

Gimperlein, H, Krötz, B & Schlichtkrull, H 2017, 'Corrigendum - Analytic representation theory of Lie groups: general theory and analytic globalizations of Harish-Chandra modules', *Compositio Mathematica*, vol. 153, no. 1, pp. 214-217. <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X16007818>

### Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1112/S0010437X16007818](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X16007818)

### Link:

[Link to publication record in Heriot-Watt Research Portal](#)

### Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

### Published In:

Compositio Mathematica

### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Heriot-Watt Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

Heriot-Watt University has made every reasonable effort to ensure that the content in Heriot-Watt Research Portal complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [open.access@hw.ac.uk](mailto:open.access@hw.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**CORRIGENDUM: “ANALYTIC REPRESENTATION  
THEORY OF LIE GROUPS: GENERAL THEORY AND  
ANALYTIC GLOBALIZATIONS OF  
HARISH–CHANDRA MODULES”  
[ COMPOS. MATH. 147 (2011), 1581–1607 ]**

HEIKO GIMPERLEIN, BERNHARD KRÖTZ,  
AND HENRIK SCHLICHTKRULL

---

*Date:* August 27, 2015.

For a representation  $\pi$  of a connected Lie group  $G$  on a topological vector space  $E$  we defined in [1] a vector subspace  $E^\omega$  of  $E$  of analytic vectors. Further we equipped  $E^\omega$  with an inductive limit topology. We called a representation  $(\pi, E)$  *analytic* if  $E = E^\omega$  as topological vector spaces.

Some mistakes in the paper have been pointed out by Helge Glöckner (see [2]). For a representation  $(\pi, E)$  and a closed  $G$ -invariant subspace  $F$  of  $E$  we asserted in Lemma 3.6 (i) that  $F^\omega = E^\omega \cap F$  as a topological space. Based on that we further asserted in Lemma 3.6 (ii) that the inclusion  $E^\omega/F^\omega \rightarrow (E/F)^\omega$  is continuous and in Lemma 3.11 that if  $(\pi, E)$  is analytic then so is the restriction to  $F$ . However, there is a gap in the proof of the first assertion, and presently it is not clear to us whether the above statements are then true in this generality (for unitary representations  $(\pi, E)$  they are straightforward). Our proof does give the following weaker version of the two lemmas:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(\pi, E)$  be a representation, and let  $F \subset E$  be a closed invariant subspace. Then*

- (i)  $F^\omega = E^\omega \cap F$  as vector spaces and with continuous inclusion  $F^\omega \rightarrow E^\omega$ .
- (ii)  $E^\omega/E^\omega \cap F \subset (E/F)^\omega$  continuously.
- (iii) If  $(\pi, E)$  is an analytic representation, then  $\pi$  induces an analytic representation on  $E/F$ .

Indeed, for (iii) note that if  $E$  is analytic,  $E/F = E^\omega/E^\omega \cap F \subset (E/F)^\omega$  continuously by (ii), and  $(E/F)^\omega \subset E/F$  continuously.

Further we asserted in Proposition 3.7 a general completeness property of the functor which associates  $E^\omega$  to  $E$ . However, there is a gap in the proof, which asserts that  $v_i \rightarrow v$  in the topology of  $E^\omega$ . As statements in this generality are not needed for the main result, we can leave out the proposition (together with Remark 3.8).

Attached to  $G$  we introduced a certain analytic convolution algebra  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ . A central theme of the paper is the relation of analytic representations of  $G$  to algebra representations of  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  on  $E$ :  $\mathcal{A}(G) \times E \rightarrow E$ . In Proposition 4.2 (ii) we claimed that the bilinear map  $\mathcal{A}(G) \times \mathcal{A}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G)$  is continuous. However, the proof shows only separate continuity. For a similar reason we need to weaken Proposition 4.6 to:

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $(\pi, E)$  be an  $F$ -representation. The assignment*

$$(f, v) \mapsto \Pi(f)v := \int_G f(g)\pi(g)v dg$$

defines a continuous bilinear map

$$\mathcal{A}_n(G) \times E \rightarrow E_n$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a separately continuous map

$$\mathcal{A}(G) \times E \rightarrow E^\omega$$

(with convergence of the defining integral in  $E^\omega$ ). Moreover, if  $(\pi, E)$  is a Banach representation, then the latter bilinear map is continuous.

*Proof.* The first statement is proved in the article, and thus only the statement for  $\pi$  a Banach representation remains to be proved. We repeat the first part of the proof, now with  $p$  denoting the fixed norm of  $E$ . The constants  $c, C$  such that

$$p(\pi(g)v) \leq C e^{cd(g)} p(v) \quad (g \in G, v \in E)$$

and  $N, C_1$  such that

$$C_1 := \int_G e^{(c-N)d(g)} dg < \infty,$$

are then all fixed, and so is  $\epsilon = 1/(CC_1)$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and an open 0-neighborhood  $W_n \subset E_n$  be given. We may assume

$$W_n = \{v \in E_n \mid p(\pi(K_n)v) < \epsilon_n\}$$

with  $K_n \subset GV_n$  compact and  $\epsilon_n > 0$ . Let

$$O_n := \{f \in \mathcal{O}(V_n G) \mid \sup_{z \in K_n, g \in G} |f(z^{-1}g)| e^{Nd(g)} < \epsilon \epsilon_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}_n(G).$$

The computation in the given proof shows that if  $f \in O_n$  and  $p(v) < 1$  then  $\Pi(f)v \in W_n$ . The asserted bi-continuity of  $\mathcal{A}(G) \times E \rightarrow E^\omega$  follows.  $\square$

As a consequence we obtain as in Example 4.10(a), but only for Banach representations  $(\pi, E)$ , that  $E^\omega$  is  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -tempered. In particular  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  need not itself be  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -tempered, and we need to replace Lemma 5.1(i) by the following weaker version:

**Lemma 3.**  $V^{\min}$  is an analytic globalization of  $V$  and it carries an algebra action

$$(f, v) \mapsto \Pi(f)v, \quad \mathcal{A}(G) \times V^{\min} \rightarrow V^{\min},$$

of  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ , which is separately continuous.

The main result of the paper Theorem 5.7, has two statements concerning a Harish-Chandra module  $V$  with a globalization  $E$ :

- (1) If  $E$  is analytic  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -tempered then  $E = V^{\min}$

(2) If  $E$  is an  $F$ -globalization then  $E^\omega = V^{\min}$ .

The proof, which relied on Lemma 3.11 and Proposition 4.6 respectively, needs to be corrected. The proof of (1) if  $V$  is irreducible needs no modification. For the general case it can be adjusted as follows.

Like in the paper, it suffices to consider an exact sequence of Harish-Chandra modules  $0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow 0$ , where both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  have unique analytic  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -tempered globalizations. We show that the same holds for  $V$ .

Let  $E_1$  be the closure of  $V_1$  in  $E$  and  $E_2 = E/E_1$ . By Lemma 1(iii),  $E_2$  is an analytic  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -tempered globalization of  $V_2$ , so that by assumption  $E_2 = V_2^{\min} = \mathcal{A}(G)V_2$  as topological vector spaces.

In a first step we prove that  $E_1 = V_1^{\min} = \mathcal{A}(G)V_1$  as vector spaces. For that we note first that  $E_1$  is  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -tempered and that  $V_1^{\min} \subset E_1$  continuously. Next, by Proposition 5.3 (which holds for any  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -tempered representation), we may embed  $E_1 \subset F_1$  continuously into a Banach globalization of  $F_1$  of  $V_1$ . Moreover, the proof shows that the embedding is compatible with the action by  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ . It follows that  $E_1^\omega \subset F_1^\omega$  continuously and as  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -modules. Further note that since  $E$  is analytic, from Lemma 1(i) we also obtain  $E_1^\omega = E^\omega \cap E_1 = E_1$  as vector spaces. Hence  $V_1^{\min} \subset E_1 \subset F_1^\omega$ . By assumption  $V_1$  has unique  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -tempered globalization, hence  $F_1^\omega \simeq V_1^{\min}$ . Therefore  $V_1^{\min} \subset E_1 \subset F_1^\omega \simeq V_1^{\min}$ . As these maps respect the structure as  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -module, the inclusion is also surjective:  $V_1^{\min} = E_1$ .

Being an inductive limit,  $E_1 = F_1^\omega$  is an ultrabornological space, and  $V_1^{\min}$  is webbed (see the reference in the proof of Proposition 4.6). We conclude from the open mapping theorem that  $V_1^{\min} = E_1$  also as topological vector spaces.

With Lemma 5.2 we now have a diagram of topological vector spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & V_1^{\min} & \rightarrow & V^{\min} & \rightarrow & V_2^{\min} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & E_1 & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & E_2 & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow in the middle signifies the continuous inclusion  $V^{\min} = \mathcal{A}(G)V \subset E$ , and where the rows are exact. The five-lemma implies  $V^{\min} = E$  as a vector space, and as in the article we conclude from [DS79] that this is then a topological identity.

Finally, for (2) we recall from Corollary 3.5 that  $(E^\infty)^\omega = E^\omega$ . The Casselman-Wallach smooth globalization theorem asserts the existence of a Banach globalization  $F$  of  $V$  such that  $F^\infty = E^\infty$  and therefore  $F^\omega = E^\omega$ . In particular,  $E^\omega$  is  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ -tempered by Proposition 2. Now (1) applies.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors wish to thank Helge Glöckner for pointing out the discussed mistakes.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Gimperlein, B. Krötz and H. Schlichtkrull, *Analytic representation theory of Lie groups: General theory and analytic globalizations of Harish–Chandra modules*, *Compos. Math.* **147** (2011), 1581–1607.
- [2] H. Glöckner, *Continuity of LF-algebra representations associated to representations of Lie groups*, *Kyoto J. Math.* **53** (2013), 567–595.

MAXWELL INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HERIOT–WATT UNIVERSITY, EDINBURGH, EH14 4AS,  
AND INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT PADERBORN, WARBURGER STR.  
100, 33098 PADERBORN, EMAIL: H.GIMPERLEIN@HW.AC.UK

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT PADERBORN, WARBURGER STR.  
100, 33098 PADERBORN, EMAIL: BKROETZ@GMX.DE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, UNIVER-  
SITETSPARKEN 5, DK-2100 COPENHAGEN, EMAIL: SCHLICHT@MATH.KU.DK