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Abstract

Reinforced concrete (RC) structures are subjected to environmental actions and loading that could significantly affect their serviceability and safety. Among these actions these paper focuses on the interaction between concrete cracking produced by static and fatigue loading and chloride ingress. Given the complexity of the problem and its related uncertainties, we carried out an experimental study that provided information for the probabilistic characterization of chloride ingress model parameters by accounting for static and fatigue loading effects. In the experimental part of the study RC specimens (beams) were subjected to pre-exposure loading and wetting-drying chloride exposure. At the end of the simulated chloride environmental attack, φ100×120-mm cylinders were drilled out from the bottom side of the central zone of the beams and used to measure chloride profiles within the concrete cover. The probabilistic analysis of test results showed that static and fatigue loading increases both the mean and standard deviation of chloride content for concrete depths larger than 15 mm. In order to evaluate the effect of loading on modeling chloride ingress processes, experimental data was used to fit the surface chloride concentration and the chloride diffusion coefficient for an analytical chloride ingress model as random variables. The identified random variables are then used to determine the distribution of the time to corrosion initiation for the present experimental configuration and to evaluate the long-term probability of

* Corresponding author. E-mail: w_xiaoh@163.com
corrosion initiation for other environmental exposures and cover configurations. The overall results confirm that considering pre-exposure loading conditions has an important effect in lifetime assessment. This effect depends on the aggressiveness of the surrounding environment.
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1. Introduction

One of the main causes of reinforced concrete (RC) deterioration is the corrosion of the reinforcing bars due to chloride ingress from the surrounding environment [1]. Chloride-induced corrosion leads to loss of cross-section of reinforcing bars, loss of bond between steel and concrete [2, 3] and concrete cracking [4, 5]. These deterioration effects produce a significant reduction of structural lifetime, increase maintenance costs and compromise structural serviceability and safety [1, 6]. Consequently, in the past decades, both experimental and analytical works were devoted to study the chloride penetration into sound, uncracked concrete. However, in reality most RC structures are subjected to static and fatigue solicitations [6-8] that generate concrete cracks that significantly affecting the transport processes of chloride ions into concrete.

Experimental research works dealing with chloride ion penetration in cracked concrete considered realistic (destructive) or artificial (non-destructive) techniques for generating cracks. Several methods were used to produce realistic cracks in concrete specimens: (1) splitting tensile test [9-11]; (2) modified wedge splitting test [12]; (3) three-point loading tests [13-14] and four-point loading tests [15-17]; (4) mechanical expansive core [18]; (5) compressive loading [19-20] and (6) other methods, such as rapid freeze/thaw exposure [21], central tensile test [22] and two-beam stressed back to back [23]. While for artificial cracks, single and double smooth crack samples were produced by saw, cutting concrete cylinders longitudinally into two (or three for double crack samples) equal width parts. The cracks were created by clamping the cut cylinder parts back together and using brass shims of various thicknesses at the edges to keep the gap open [24]. Artificial cracks in concrete specimens were also made by means of the positioning and removal
after approximately 4 h of thin copper sheets inside the specimen at a depth in the concrete of 5 mm, 10 mm, 15 mm or 20 mm, where the copper sheets had a thickness of 0.2 mm, 0.3 mm or 0.5 mm [25]. Although the above-mentioned research works highlighted the importance of considering cracks as a major influencing factor for chloride ingress processes, most of the tests were realized in concrete specimens without reinforcing bars [9-11, 18-21, 24-25]. On the other hand, field surveys have reported the significant influence of the chloride penetration in cracked concrete on the corrosion initiation time of the reinforcing bars. For example, a field survey of 57 bridges in Kansas, USA, has indicated that the chloride concentration at a depth of 76 mm from the location of a surface crack can exceed the corrosion threshold of conventional reinforcement within the first year [26]. Another survey of 219 marine structures along the Norwegian coastline has shown that the signs of corrosion could be seen as early as 5-10 years [27]. This early time for corrosion initiation greatly influence the whole lifetime assessment of the RC structures.

Experimental results in cracked concrete are very useful for modeling and lifetime purposes. For example, Djerbi et al [10] and Jang et al [11] identified functions and factors to account for the effects of concrete cracking on the chloride diffusion coefficient. Such functions and factors could be introduced in chloride ingress model to estimate the time to corrosion initiation by considering cracking effects. To simulate the chloride ingress into concrete porous, Fick’s diffusion law [28] has been widely used and considered as an acceptable approach with more or less complex analytical [29-34] and numerical solutions [35-36]. However, the penetration of chlorides in concrete is controlled by complex interactions among physical and chemical mechanisms, which are inherent at this problem and related to large number of uncertainties; consequently, it can only be accurately analyzed considering probabilistic approaches [7-8, 30-31; 33, 35; 37-39]. These uncertainties are mainly related to material properties and exposure conditions [6, 30, 33-35]. They are also influenced by temporal and spatial variability of associated deterioration processes [40-42].

The main objective of this paper is to study the chloride ingress process in cracked RC specimens, where the cracks in RC beams were generated by three-point static and fatigue loading
tests. Taking into account that the problem is subjected to larger uncertainties related to material properties, exposure and loading, several concrete samples were considered for unloaded, static and fatigue loading conditions to determine probability distributions for model parameters in each case. Section 2 details the experimental setup used to obtain the experimental data reported in section 3. Section 4 discusses the main results about the influence of pre-exposure loading on chloride penetration including the identification of random variables for the considered loading conditions and the effects on lifetime assessment.

2. Experimental procedure and methods

In the present paper, we prepared 300×120×1500 (mm) RC test specimens (Fig.1) [43-44]. After 28-day curing, test specimens were subjected to pre-exposure in-service static (one-time 18kN load) and fatigue loads (500,000 cycles and 5.4 to 18kN), respectively. After loading test, the unloaded and loaded test specimens were repeatedly fully immersed in 3.5%~5% NaCl solution and air-dried; these wetting/drying cycles continued for 388 days. Once simulated chloride environmental attacks finished, φ100×120-mm cylinders were drilled out in the central 300mm length of bottom surface of test specimens. Then, the part of the cylinder corresponding to the bottom concrete cover was cut into 10mm slices. Those slices were dried in oven and each was ground to powder to make a complete mixing. And then, the powder was used to measure the total chloride content of concrete at several depths.

2.1 Specimen details and materials

All test specimens (RC beams) were designed with a rectangular cross section (Fig.1) [43-44] reinforced by three 12 mm diameter deformed bars (flexural reinforcements) and 8mm diameter smooth bars (distribution reinforcements). Reinforcing bars were bought from OSD Company in Jiangsu Province, China. The clear concrete cover of the tensile bars, c_t, is designed as 40mm, see Fig.1b, to meet the requirement of the minimum concrete cover of the RC members in the chloride-contained environment [45].

Three groups of test specimens were considered in the experimental tests (see Table 1), where
test specimens were identified with letters and numbers designation. Each group was defined depending on the loading condition.

Commercial concrete from the same batch was used to cast all the test specimens. The concrete had a target strength of 40 MPa at 28 days. The concrete mixture contained Portland cement PII 52.5 with micro furnace slag as cementitious materials with a water-cement ratio of 0.44. Additional details of the concrete mixture are provided in Table 2. The mean compressive strength of 150×150×150mm concrete cube at 28 days determined according to GB/T 50081-2016 [46] was 50 MPa.

2.2 Induction of cracks by loading test

Once 28-day curing finished, test specimens in Groups 2 and 3 were prepared for static and fatigue loading tests. The test specimen was placed in the test set-up with both ends simply supported and a single, mid-span load point. A 100 kN pulse fatigue testing machine was used in the static and fatigue loading tests. Displacements at mid-span and the two pivots of the supports of each test specimen were measured by displacement transducers. A load cell was used to control and record the magnitudes of static and fatigue loads [43]. Fatigue loading test details for test specimens in Group 3 are found in [47].

After finishing the loading test, the test specimen was moved from the test setup and turned upside down; location of reinforcing bars 1 and 3 on bottom surface was determined according to Fig.1b, where the depth of lateral concrete cover is 60mm. The design bottom concrete cover was 40 mm which is within the range of measured values reported in Table 1. Maximum crack width and crack spacing of the bottom surface of each specimen were measured and recorded.

2.3 Chloride exposure conditions

After loading tests, test specimens in Groups 1 to 3 (Table 1) were subjected to simulated seawater attacks. They were turned upside down, horizontally placed and covered by wet sponges on the bottom surfaces for two weeks, where sponges were wetted by 3.5%~ 5% NaCl solution to simulate the situation where RC elements are exposed to natural marine environments or deicing.
salts. Two weeks later, the plastic sheets and sponges were moved away to make the specimens air-dried for one week. Thus three weeks constituted one cycle of wetting and drying [43].

After four such wetting-drying cycles test specimens were fully immersed in a pool filled with a 3.5%~5% NaCl rich solution to accelerate chloride ingress. Test specimens were subjected to one-month fully immersion period followed by one-month air-drying period. A total of three fully immersion-drying cycles were performed in the pool and the whole simulated chloride environmental exposure was 388 days, see Wang et al [44].

2.4 Location of samples in RC test specimens

After 388 days of exposure, four concrete cores (ϕ100×120-mm) were extracted from the bottom central part of the beams (see Fig.1a). Figs. 2 to 4 present the positions of concrete cores for Groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively. For test specimens in Group 1, there were no cracks in central 300mm length of bottom surface of test specimen, see Fig. 2. On the contrary, various concrete cracks were observed for each test specimen in Groups 2 and 3, see Figs.3-4. The location of drilled-cylinder for each specimen was identified with numbers, where the first number denotes the location of the reinforcing bar 1 or 3 on the bottom surface (Fig. 1b) while the second number just describes the different positions along the bars (1 or 2). A total of 12 concrete cores were therefore extracted for each group.

2.5 Samples preparation for measuring chloride content in concrete

Once extracted, concrete cores were transported to the laboratory of Shanghai Research Institute of Building Science CO., LTD for measuring chloride content in concrete. Since the design bottom concrete cover of the test specimen is \( c_t = 40\text{mm} \) (Fig.1b), from the outmost layer of concrete cover to the surface of tensile bar, four slices with the same depth were cut. Considering the 1mm thickness of saw blade, the actual thickness of each slice is about 9mm. Then, those slices were dried in an oven. Afterwards, each slice was ground to powder and 1.5g fully-mixed powder was used to determine the total chloride content in each slice after an acid extraction procedure in accordance with the standard JTJ 270-98 [48].
3. Test Results

3.1 Concrete cover

The average clear concrete cover is summarized in Table 1. The maximum dimension error of actual average clear concrete cover in tensile zone is 1mm, indicating a comparatively accurate dimension control.

3.2 Test results of loaded test specimens

The test results of loaded test specimens in Group 2 are shown in Table 3, including actual maximum static load, maximum mid-span deflection at maximum load and residual mid-span deflection at zero load, maximum crack width and crack spacing of the bottom surface after unloading. It can be seen from Table 3, that difference in maximum crack widths at the bottom surfaces of the three test specimens is very small.

The test results of loaded test specimens in Group 3 are shown in Table 4. At 0, 50,000, 100,000, 300,000 and 500,000 cycles, maximum actual load, maximum crack width at two lateral surfaces and the increment in mid-span deflection are presented. Maximum crack width and crack spacing of the bottom surface after unloading are also shown. It can be seen that, with the increase of the repetition of loading cycles, increased maximum crack widths in two lateral surfaces and increment in mid-span deflection are presented. Among the three test specimens, the largest increment in mid-span deflections at each load stopping is shown in specimen E0.2FE3. This indicates more load-induced concrete damage in this specimen. Once the fatigue loading test finished, the maximum crack width varied between 0.15 and 0.25mm which are large values in comparison with crack widths measured for Group 2. The average crack spacing range remained similar for both groups.

3.3 Penetration of chloride into the bottom concrete cover of test specimens

Test results of the measurements of the chloride content in the concrete cover are presented in Figs. 5-7. Actual clear concrete cover of each cylinder was designed to cut into four nominal10-mm thick slices and, it is assumed that the chloride content obtained for each slice corresponds to the
depth from the surface to the midpoint of the slice, i.e., the measured chloride contents correspond to the depths of 5, 15, 25 and 35 mm.

3.3.1 Penetration of chloride into the bottom concrete cover of test specimens in Group 1

The total chloride content in concrete weight (%) in relation to concrete cover depth in test specimens of Group 1 is shown in Fig. 5. In most cases, the chloride content gradually decreases with the concrete cover depth except for cylinders 11/U1 and 32/E0.2E2. This can be explained by the possible existence of a convection zone in the first centimeter due to alternate immersion and wetting cycles.

For test specimens of Group 1, similar chloride profiles are found for specimens E0.2E2 and E0.6E2. However, near the reinforcing bar surface (35-mm depth), the average total chloride contents in concrete for specimens E0.2E2 and E0.6E2 are lower than those for specimen U1. The chloride content at the level of reinforcement in the specimen U1 varies between 0.027% and 0.093% by the weight of concrete and sign of corrosion was observed in both bars at the end of the test. The chloride contents in the U1 are also lower near the concrete surface, indicating that the chloride diffusion in the U1 was higher than that in specimens E0.2E2 and E0.6E2. Since no cracks were observed in those three specimens (see Fig. 2), the differences may be related to concrete compaction resulting from different vibration times for each test specimen and/or to the natural variability of concrete.

3.3.2 Penetration of chloride into the bottom concrete cover of test specimens in Group 2

The total chloride content in weight of concrete (%) in relation to concrete cover depth in test specimens of Group 2 is shown in Fig. 6. Similarly to Group 1, the chloride ion concentration decreased gradually with concrete cover depth, except of cylinder 31/UD1 at the 5-mm depth. A possible reason for that behavior has been explained previously.

Compared with the microstructure of concrete in unloaded test specimens, the external loading may induce increased microcracking density in the concrete [22], indicating the effect of external loading on chloride penetration into the concrete. For test specimens of Group 2, it is observed that
the average chloride content at depths larger than 15 mm is larger than the average values obtained for Group 1.

3.3.3 Penetration of chloride into the bottom concrete cover of test specimens in Group 3

The total chloride content in weight of concrete (%) in relation to concrete cover depth in test specimens of Group 3 is shown in Fig. 7. The average chloride profile indicated that the chloride ion concentration also decreased with concrete cover depth.

The specimens in this group were subjected to pre-exposure fatigue loading that induced damage to the concrete in the form of visible cracks and invisible but much more numerous micro-cracks. This led to an increase in the concrete diffusivity and an increase in the chloride content at the level of reinforcement in comparison with Groups 1 and 2. Sign of corrosion was also observed in bars of 12/E0.2FE3 at the end of the test.

3.4 Analysis and discussion

The mean and standard deviation of chloride profiles for each group are presented and compared in Fig.8. It is observed that static and fatigue loading increases both the mean and standard deviation for concrete depths larger than 15 mm. For example, the mean 35 mm chloride concentration depth increases from 0.036% (Group 1) up to 0.06% and 0.085% for Groups 2 and 3, respectively. It was also noted that there is a large scatter on the profiles shown in Figs.6-7 in comparison to those of Fig. 5 by increasing the standard deviation when the specimens are loaded (Fig. 8). These higher standard deviations are related to the complex chloride ingress process in a cracked concrete that is influenced by of both fatigue load-induced micro-cracks and visible cracks.

The effect of loading on the chloride ingress process can be also evaluated by studying the cumulated probability of chloride content at given depths (Fig. 9). By comparing cumulative probabilities of chloride concentrations at 25 and 35 mm depth for the same loading group, it is generally observed that larger chloride contents are found at 35 mm for a fixed cumulative probability. A distribution fitting was performed for each group and depth. The values were fitted to a lognormal distribution because it provided best maximum likelihood estimate. It is observed in
Fig. 9 that the best fitting for both depths correspond to the unloaded conditions (Group 1). By comparing the results between the considered groups for a fixed depth, it is confirmed that for a given cumulative probability, the loading process increases the chloride concentration. For example, by considering the fitted distributions and for a probability of 0.5, static and fatigue load increase respectively chloride content, with respect to the unloaded conditions, by 1.4 and 1.6 times at 25 mm depth and by 1.8 and 2.5 times at 35 mm depth. These larger chloride contents will increase corrosion initiation risks as will be studied in the following section.

4. Effects of loading conditions on the structural lifetime

The objective of this section is to evaluate the effect of loading on modeling chloride ingress processes. This section starts by characterizing the parameters for an analytical chloride ingress model as random variables (section 4.1). The identified random variables are then used to (i) determine the distribution of the time to corrosion initiation for the experimental configuration consider in previous sections, and (ii) evaluate the probability of corrosion initiation for other environmental exposures and cover configurations.

4.1 Chloride ingress model and identification of random variables

In saturated concrete, the Fick’s diffusion equation [28] is usually used to predict the unidirectional diffusion (in x-direction):

$$\frac{\partial C_{fc}}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 C_{fc}}{\partial x^2}$$

(1)

where $C_{fc}$ (kg/m$^3$) is the concentration of chloride dissolved in pore solution, $t$ (year) is the time and $D$ (m/s$^2$) is the effective chloride diffusion coefficient. Assuming that concrete is a homogeneous and isotropic material with the following initial conditions (i) the chloride concentration is zero at time $t = 0$ and (ii) the chloride surface concentration is constant during the exposure time, the free chloride ion concentration $C(x,t)$ at depth $x$ after time $t$ for a semi-infinite medium is:

$$C(x,t) = C_s \left[ 1 - \text{erf} \left( \frac{x}{2\sqrt{D\cdot t}} \right) \right]$$

(2)

where $C_s$ (kg/m$^3$) is the chloride surface concentration and $\text{erf}(.)$ is the error function.
Equation (2) remains valid when RC structures are saturated and subjected to constant concentration of chlorides on the exposure surfaces. In real structures, these conditions are rarely present because concrete is a heterogeneous material and the chloride concentration in the exposed surfaces could be time-variant. Besides, this solution does not consider chloride binding capacity, concrete aging and other environmental factors such as the influence of surrounding temperature and humidity in chloride ingress process [35-36, 39, 49]. Although this solution neglects some important physical phenomena, this model will be used herein to identify the random variables and evaluate the effects of loading on lifetime assessment because its complexity is sufficient to account for non-linear effects in x-direction and in time. The methodology can be after extended to more realistic chloride ingress and concrete cracking models.

Experimental data is used to fit the surface chloride concentration and the chloride diffusion coefficient from equation (2). The results of the fitting are summarized in Table 5. For all parameters and cases, lognormal distributions provided the best maximum likelihood estimates. Consequently, lognormal distributions will be used to model $C_s$ and $D$. Concerning $C_s$, the results indicate that the mean and standard deviation are very close for all groups. This result is expected because all specimens were exposed to the same environmental chloride concentrations. On the contrary, it is noted that loading conditions increase the identified values for the mean and standard deviation of $D$ with respect to the unloaded condition. Similarly, Konin et al [22] also pointed out that, chloride rate and content increase with concrete microcracking density. The following section will illustrate the effect of these larger diffusivities and related uncertainties on structural lifetime assessment.

4.2 Probabilistic assessment of loading conditions on the RC durability

The integration of the chloride ingress described by equation (2) into a suitable probabilistic framework allows to estimate the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the time to corrosion initiation, $F_{\text{ini}}$, as [35]:

$$F_{\text{ini}}(t) = 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^\kappa}$$
\[ F_{t_{\text{ini}}} (t) = \Pr \{ t_{\text{ini}} \leq t \} = \int_{t_{\text{ini}}} f(x) dx \]  

(3)

where \( x \) is the vector of the random variables to be taken into account and \( f(x) \) is the joint probability density function of \( x \). Failure is related herein to corrosion initiation and is reached when the chloride concentration at the cover depth, \( c_r \), reaches a critical value \( (C_{th}) \) depassivating corrosion. In such a case the limit state function, \( g(x, t) \), becomes:

\[ g(x, t) = C_{th}(x) - C_c(x, c_r, t) \]

(4)

where \( C_{tc}(x, c_r, t) \) is the total concentration of chlorides at the cover depth \( c_r \) and time \( t \). The failure probability, \( p_f \), represents, in this case, the probability of corrosion initiation and is obtained by integrating the joint probability function on the failure domain:

\[ p_f(t) = \int_{g(x, t) \leq 0} f(x)dx \]

(5)

Monte Carlo simulations will be used in this study to estimate both the probability distribution function (PDF) of the time to corrosion initiation and the probability of failure. Section 4.2.1 will focus on the assessment of the PDF of \( t_{\text{ini}} \) for the data considered in the experimental study. Finally, Section 4.2.2 will study the effect of several loading conditions on long-term probability of corrosion initiation.

4.2.1 PDF of the time to corrosion initiation

The objective of this section is to estimate the effects of the considered loading conditions on the PDF of the time to corrosion initiation. \( t_{\text{ini}} \) is estimated from equation (2) as the time at which a threshold concentration of chlorides that induces corrosion reaches the cover depth:

\[ t_{\text{ini}} = \frac{c_r^2}{4D} \left[ \text{erf}^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{C_{th}}{C_r} \right) \right]^{-2} \]

(6)

Three random variables are considered in this study: \( D \), \( C_s \) and \( C_{th} \). \( D \), \( C_s \) are modeled as lognormal random variables defined according to the experimental data (Table 5). \( C_{th} \) was modeled as a uniform random variable [29]. Its mean was defined according to the recommendations of European Standards [50] as 0.4% cement weight. The coefficient of variation (COV) of \( C_{th} \) was
fixed at 19% according to [30, 37]. The concrete cover was modeled as a deterministic value \(c_t = 4\) cm because the variability of this parameter was very low according to Table 1.

After propagating uncertainties by using Monte Carlo simulations in equation (6), the simulated values of \(t_{ini}\) for the considered loading conditions are given in Fig. 10 in the form of histograms. Fig.10 also includes the fitting of the PDFs of \(t_{ini}\) to lognormal distributions. Distribution fitting was carried out by using the Statistics Toolbox of Matlab® that estimates the distribution parameters using the maximum likelihood method. A detailed description of the maximum likelihood estimates method for the lognormal distribution can be found in [51].

It is noted that static and fatigue loading decrease mean of the time to corrosion \(\mu_{t_{ini}}\) by 1 and 1.5 years, respectively. The standard deviation also decreases; then the coefficient of variation was reduced from 61% (Group 1) to approximately 50% (Groups 2 and 3). The early mean corrosion initiation times reported in Fig. 10 are explained by the fact that the surface chloride concentration (Table 5) is very high and corresponds to an extreme exposure case [7]. In addition, even if the chloride ingress model is an approximation of the real process and experimental data was not used to characterize \(C_{th}\), the experimental observations of section 3.3 indicated that corrosion initiation took place for one case after 388 days. Since this section focused on a case where \(C_s\) was very large, next section will consider other environmental exposures to illustrate the potential effect of loading conditions on long-term RC durability.

### 4.2.2 Probability of Corrosion Initiation

The aim of this section is to use the experimental results and the chloride penetration model to estimate the effects of loading conditions on probability of corrosion initiation for two environmental conditions (Table 6). Exposure conditions were defined according to [7] and modeled by considering different values for the random variable \(C_s\). According to McGee [38], \(C_s\) will follow a lognormal distribution whose mean and COV increase with the proximity to the seashore. For each exposure a different mean value of concrete cover was defined according to the European Standards [50]. As expected, the mean value of \(c_t\) increases for the more aggressive
environments. According to Val and Stewart [31], $c_t$ will be represented by a normal distribution truncated at 10mm.

Fig. 11 presents the probabilities of corrosion initiation for all considered cases. As expected, $p_f$ increases with time due to the accumulation of chlorides in the surrounding area of the rebar during the exposure time. The increase of the probability of corrosion initiation is mainly driven by the exposure conditions. For example after 20 years and for the unloaded case (Group 1), the probabilities of corrosion initiation are 0.07 and 0.51 for the moderate and high exposure scenarios, respectively. The effect of loading conditions depends therefore on the exposure.

For moderate exposure conditions, the effects of loading are almost negligible because $C_s$ is small and an increase of $D$ (as a consequence of loaded-induced concrete cracking) has a lower influence on the corrosion initiation time predicted by equation (6) (Fig. 11). However, these results could be different if $C_{th}$ considers the effects of concrete cracking that increase oxygen and water availability at the corrosion cell. The effect of loading is more important for high exposure conditions. For example, the times to reach a fixed probability of corrosion initiation (e.g., $p_f = 0.5$) are 19.2, 12.8 and 10.3 for Groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively. This implies a lifetime reduction with respect to the case of unloaded condition (Group 1) of 6.4 and 8.9 years for Groups 2 and 3, respectively. This increase in corrosion initiation risks will imply additional maintenance costs when structures are subjected to static and fatigue loads. On the basis on these results it is possible to conclude that the combined effects of loading conditions and chloride ingress depend on the type of mechanical solicitation and environmental exposure. Further studies will focus on the improvement and/or development of deterioration models more representative of the considered deterioration processes.

5. Conclusions

The main findings of this study are summarized as follows:

1) The differences in total chloride contents in various samples may result from the original differences in concrete compaction and/or related to the natural variability of concrete for
unloaded test specimens in Group 1. For loaded test specimens in Groups 2 and 3, the load-induced microcracking density and visible cracks added more variability to the chloride profiles, especially for test specimens subjected to pre-exposure fatigue loading.

2) The comparison of the mean and standard deviation of chloride profiles for each group showed that static and fatigue loading increase both the mean and standard deviation of chloride content for concrete depths larger than 15 mm.

3) The analysis of the cumulative probabilities of chloride concentrations confirmed that for a given cumulative probability at a fixed depth, the loading process increased the chloride concentration;

4) The fitting of the chloride diffusion coefficient from experimental data showed that loading conditions increased the identified values for the mean and standard deviation of this parameter with respect to the unloaded condition.

5) The probabilistic assessment of the time to corrosion initiation for the experimental configuration indicated that static and fatigue loading decrease mean of the time to corrosion by 1 and 1.5 years, respectively. Loading also decreased the coefficient of variation from 61% (unloaded case) to approximately (50%).

6) The probabilistic long-term lifetime assessment carried out for moderate and high exposure conditions showed that the effects of loading were almost negligible for moderate exposure conditions while it is more important for high exposure conditions.
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Table 1 Description of groups, notation and cover

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups and description</th>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Average clear concrete cover (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1: subjected to simulated chloride environmental attacks</td>
<td>U1, E0.2E2, E0.6E2</td>
<td>40.5, 40.5, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2: subjected to 18kN static load and simulated chloride environmental attacks</td>
<td>UD1, E0.2DE4, E0.6DE3</td>
<td>40, 41, 40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3: subjected to 500,000 cycles fatigue load (5.4 to 18kN) and simulated chloride environmental attacks</td>
<td>UF1, E0.2FE3*, E0.6FE3</td>
<td>40, 40, 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * E0.2FE3 had a 60mm length crack in the opposite surface before loading, resulting from the transporting damage.
Table 2 Characteristics of the concrete mixture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target strength</th>
<th>C40</th>
<th>Slump</th>
<th>140±20mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix proportion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varieties and specifications</td>
<td>PII 52.5</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>5-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount (kg/m³)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test specimen</td>
<td>Actual maximum load (kN)</td>
<td>Maximum mid-span deflection (mm)</td>
<td>Residual mid-span deflection (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD1</td>
<td>18.36</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0.2DE4</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0.6DE3</td>
<td>18.27</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Loading test results of test specimens in Group 2
Table 4 Loading test results of test specimens in Group 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test specimens</th>
<th>Fatigue cycles (x10000)</th>
<th>Load (kN)</th>
<th>Maximum crack width at lateral surfaces (mm)</th>
<th>Increment in mid-span deflection (mm)</th>
<th>After the finishing of loading test</th>
<th>Maximum crack width at bottom surface</th>
<th>Average crack spacing (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Opposite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UF1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.27</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.23</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0.2FE3*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17.98</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0.6FE3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17.98</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17.98</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * E0.2FE3 had a 60mm length crack in the opposite surface before loading, resulting from the transporting damage.
Table 5 Mean and standard deviation of chloride ingress models parameters for different loading conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean of $C_s$ (Total Cl$^-$ in conc. Weight %)</th>
<th>Std. Dev. of $C_s$ (Total Cl$^-$ in conc. Weight %)</th>
<th>Mean of $D$ (mm$^2$/day)</th>
<th>Std. Dev. of $D$ (mm$^2$/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Unloaded)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (Static load)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Fatigue load)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6 Mean and COV of $C_s$ and $c_t$ for various exposures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Mean of $C_s$*</th>
<th>COV of $C_s$</th>
<th>Mean of $c_t$ (mm)</th>
<th>COV of $c_t$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderate:</strong> Structures located between 0.1 and 2.84 km from the coast without direct contact with seawater</td>
<td>0.0489 (1.15)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High:</strong> Structures situated to 0.1 km or less from the coast, but without direct contact to seawater. RC structures subjected to de-icing salts can also be classified in this level</td>
<td>0.1255 (2.95)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* in % of total Cl$^-$ in concrete weight and kg/m$^3$ of concrete within brackets
Research highlights

The research highlights of paper “Probabilistic analysis of chloride penetration in reinforced concrete subjected to pre-exposure static and fatigue loading and wetting-drying cycles” are given as follows:

- Experimental study of RC beam specimens subjected to pre-exposure loading and wetting-drying chloride exposure;
- Measuring chloride content in concrete cover;
- Using the experimental data to characterize the parameters for an analytical chloride ingress model as random variables by accounting for static and fatigue loading effects;
- Determining the distribution of the time to corrosion initiation for the present experimental configuration;
- Evaluating the long-term probability of corrosion initiation for other environmental exposures and cover configurations.